



### REVIEW DEPARTMENT OF THE STATE BAR COURT IN BANK

In the Matter of JOSHUA M. DALE A Member of the State Bar 00-O-14350 ORDER

Joshua M. Dale's motion for reconsideration is denied, the Review Department noting that it did not consider the exhibits attached to the State Bar's opposition filed June 15, 2005. On the Review Department's own motion, it modifies its opinion in this matter filed May 6, 2005, as follows:

1) On page one, paragraph two of the typed opinion, deletes the text of the first sentence and replaces it with the following text:

"The confession respondent obtained from Geyer could have been used as evidence to convict Geyer of murder at his re-trial if his first conviction were reversed on appeal."; and

2) On page eighteen, paragraph one of the typed opinion, starts a new paragraph two with the fourth sentence:

"In reality, respondent's explanation to Geyer was only a half-truth."

and continues by deleting the fifth sentence of current paragraph one and replacing it with the following text immediately thereafter: "At the hearing below, the prosecuting D.A. testified that if the police-obtained confession was unlawful, he would have been unable to retry the murder case on the basis of the remaining evidence. Thus, according to the D.A., the second confession Geyer made to respondent would have been 'a very useful piece of evidence in a possible re-trial of Mr. Geyer [for murder]'. Accordingly, the unvarnished truth was that if Geyer



succeeded on appeal, his declaration would have provided the crucial evidence to convict him on his re-trial."

Concludes the new second paragraph with all the current remaining text on pages eighteen and nineteen through line eight, with the exception that as to the seventh line currently on page nineteen, adds the words "he thought" between the words "that" and "could".

esiding Judge



# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE [Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on June 27, 2005, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

### ORDER FILED JUNE 27, 2005

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

JOSHUA M. DALE P O BOX 590818 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94159 - 0818

# JEROME FISHKIN 369 PINE ST #627 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

#### Robin B. Brune, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on June 27, 2005.

**Rosalie Ruiz** Case Administrator State Bar Court