

**F I L E D**

*MOS*

**AUG 25 2003**

**STATE BAR COURT  
CLERK'S OFFICE  
LOS ANGELES**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**THE STATE BAR COURT  
HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES**

In the Matter of	)	Case No. 02-C-10961-RAH
<b>John Carlos Montano Jr.,</b>	)	
<b>Member No. 166382,</b>	)	<b>Modification Order</b>
<u>A Member of the State Bar.</u>	)	

This court's May 29, 2003, order approving the parties' stipulation regarding facts, conclusions of law, and disposition is MODIFIED, sua sponte, to make the following modifications to the parties' stipulation.


1. On page 5 of the stipulation, under item number 10, an "x" is deemed to be marked in the box next to the paragraph regarding the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination, and that paragraph is modified to provide as follows: "Respondent must take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this proceeding and provide proof his passage of that examination to State Bar's Probation Unit in Los Angeles within that same year. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.)"

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

2. On page of 5 of the stipulation, the paragraph under item 10 requiring respondent to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court is modified to provide that respondent shall not be required to comply with that rule if the Supreme Court accepts the parties' stipulation and this court's recommendation that credit be given, towards the stipulated 90-day period of actual suspension, for the more than 10 months on which respondent was on interim suspension, which interim suspension began on August 10, 2002, and ended on June 11, 2003. (See, generally, *In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 270),

Any objection to this modification order must be filed within 15 days after its service. If a party timely files an objection, the stipulation is deemed rejected on the date the objection is filed without the necessity of further court order. If no timely objection is filed, the stipulation as modified remains approved, and the Clerk of the State Bar Court is directed to transmit the record in this matter to the Supreme Court without further delay.

DATED: August 20, 2003

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RICHARD A. HONN  
Judge of the State Bar Court

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**  
**[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]**

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on August 25, 2003, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

**MODIFICATION ORDER, filed August 25, 2003**

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

**ARTHUR LEWIS MARGOLIS  
MARGOLIS & MARGOLIS LLP  
2000 RIVERSIDE DR  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90039 3758**

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

**Charles Murray, Enforcement, Los Angeles**

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on **August 25, 2003.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Milagro del R. Salmeron**  
Case Administrator  
State Bar Court