Hearing Devartment 🖾 Los Angeles Sun Francisco Counsel for the State Bar Case number(s) (for Court's use) THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL 02-0-11417 ENFORCEMENT SHARI SVENINGSON, BAR NO. 195298 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015-2299 STATE BAR COURT **CLERK'S OFFICE** Telephone: (213) 765-1004 LOS ANGELES kwiktag * 031 975 418 Counsel for Respondent **PUBLIC MATTER** ARNOLD MELVIN JOHNSON STATE BAR NO. 52505 1200 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 206 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 250-9577 苎 Submitted to assigned judge settlement judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND In the Matter of ORDER APPROVING LARRY D. LEWELLYN K **REPROVAL** П PRIVATE **PUBLIC** 141687 Bar # PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent) A. Parties' Acknowledgments: Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted (1)(2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court. All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by (3) this stipulation, and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation and order consist of 9 pages. A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included (4) under "Facts." Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of (5) Law." No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any (6) pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations. Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & (7)6140.7. (Check one option only): costs added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public reproval) \square case ineligible for costs (private reproval) costs to be paid in equal amounts for the following membership years: (hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure) costs waived in part as set forth under "Partial Waiver of Costs" costs entirely waived Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, shall be set forth in the text component of this stipulation under specific headings, i.e. "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law."

te Bar Court of the State Bar of Calif

(Stipulation form approved by SBC Executive Committee 10/16/00)

*

The parties understand that A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to (a) initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquires and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of (b) the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page. A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official (C) State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required. ☑ Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)] (1) 00-0-15002 (a) 図 State Bar Court case # of prior case November 8, 2001 Date prior discipline effective (b) Rules of Professional Conduct, Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: (C) rule 3-110(A) degree of prior discipline _private reproval \mathbf{x} (d) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or (e) under "Prior Discipline". Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, conceal-(2)ment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct, Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account (3)to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property. Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.

(5)		'Indifference: Responder. Jemonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrong- doing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Add	dition	al aggravating circumstances:
C.	Mitig	ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation to the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)	図 fu	Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith. Respondent had a good faith belief that he had lauthority under the IAEA when he allowed the property to be sold.
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.

-

(12)					nsideraa ilme has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed of of subsequent rehabilitation.		
(13)		No n	nitigati	ng circu	umstances are involved.		
Addi	tional	l miti	gating	circum	nstances:		
D. E	Discipl	ine:					
(1)			Private	e reprov	ral (check applicable conditions, if any, below)		
			(a)		Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).		
<u>or</u> .			(b)		Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).		
<u>(2)</u>	Ď		Public	: reprovo	al (check applicable conditions, if any, below)		
, - ,			Tublic	, (op. 0)			
E. C	onditi	ions ,	Attach	ed to Re	eproval:		
(1)		Ā		Respondent shall comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of ONE (1) YEAR			
(2)		Ø		_	ondition period attached to the reproval, Respondent shall comply with the provisions Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.		
(3)		I	Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent shall report to the Membership Records Office and to the Probation Unit, all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.				
4)		.	10, ardents Cond would	nd Octol shall stat luct, and d cover le	hall submit written quarterly reports to the Probation Unit on each January 10, April 10, July ber 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty of perjury, response whether respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional I all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. If the first report ess than thirty (30) days, that report shall be submitted on the next following quarter date extended period.		
			twent		all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than lys before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the od.		

(5)	; · · □	Respondent shall be signed a probation monitor. Respondent and promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, respondent shall furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Probation Unit. Respondent shall cooperate fully with the monitor.				
(6)	D 3:	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent shall answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Probation Unit of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.				
(7)		Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, respondent shall provide to the Probation Unit satisfactory proof of attendance of the Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session.				
(8)	, 🗆	No Ethics School ordered. Respondent completed Ethics School within the last 2 years in connection with case No. 00-0-15002 Respondent shall comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and shall so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report required to be filed with the Probation Unit.				
(9)		Respondent shall provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Probation Unit of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel within one year of the effective date of the reproval. No MPRE ordered. Respondent provided proof of passage of the MPRE on 08/09/02 in connection with case No. 00-0-15002.				
(10)		The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:				
		☐ Substance Abuse Conditions ☐ Law Office Management Conditions				
		☐ Medical Conditions ☑ Financial Conditions See page 8				
(11)		Other conditions negotiated by the parties:				

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

LARRY D. LEWELLYN

CASE NUMBER(S):

02-O-11417

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the foregoing facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct:

- 1. In or about April 1998, LaVar Thompson ("Thompson") employed Respondent to represent her as administrator of the estate of Willie Mae Franklin (the "probate matter"). Respondent promptly filed the court documents required for administration of the estate, and the court appointed Thompson as administrator on June 3, 1998.
- 2. On or about October 5, 1998, Thompson paid Respondent \$3,250.00 for his legal fees. There were no liquid assets in the estate, therefore the Administrator agreed to be responsible for the legal fees.
- 3. On or about September 10, 1999, a deed of the real property in the estate was executed by Thompson for the purpose of its sale. Respondent failed to obtain full authority to administer the estate without court supervision under the Independent Administration of Estates Act ("the IAEA") until September 30, 1999 when he appeared at an ex parte hearing.
- 4. On or about October 30, 2001, the court ordered that the sale of real property in the estate be rescinded because the deeds had been executed before Respondent obtained full authority under the IAEA to sell real property.
- 5. In or about November 2001, Thompson hired a new attorney, Sandra DeMeo ("DeMeo"), to represent her in the probate matter. DeMeo was successful in reversing the sale of the real property transaction.

6. On or about April 15, 2002, the court filed an Order Approving Final Report of Administrator ("Order") in the probate matter. The Order approved fees to Respondent in the amount of \$1,975 and ordered that Respondent disgorge \$1,275 of the fees he previously received from Thompson to DeMeo. The Order further ordered Thompson to pay DeMeo an additional \$2,757.50 for extraordinary attorney fees for her work in reversing the sale of the real property.

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

By representing that he had authority to administer the estate without court supervision under the IAEA, which resulted in an invalid sale of the real property, which caused Thompson to incur extraordinary attorney fees, Respondent failed to perform legal services with competence in violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(6), was by letter dated April 14, 2004

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of **April 5th**, 2004, the estimated prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$1,983. Respondent acknowledges that this figure is an estimate only and that it does not include State Bar Court costs which will be included in any final cost assessment. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

In the Matter of Roger S. Hanson (1994) 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 703: The attorney received a public reproval for misconduct involving failure to prevent foreseeable prejudice to his client.

FINANCIAL CONDITIONS, RESTITUTION.

Within three (3) months from the effective date of discipline in this matter, respondent must make restitution to LaVar Thompson or the Client Security Fund if it has paid, in the principal amount of \$2,757.50 plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum from April 15, 2002 and furnish satisfactory evidence of restitution to the Probation Unit. Respondent shall include in the first and second quarterly report required herein satisfactory evidence of all restitution payments made by him during that reporting period.

This amount represents the fees LaVar Thompson had to pay her new attorney to invalidate the improper sale of the real property.

דסדמי פי זמ		
(10 C)	15.2004 Jan to 21/1	LARRY LEWELLYN
Date	15,2004 Cospondent's algorithm	print name
Λ .	1 1 1/1 1 7	
Daril	15 200d 6 10/11/1/1-	·
W C	Respondent's Counsel's signature	ARNOLD MELVIN JOHNSON
gare.	Kesbougerit's Cookissis signordie	Shin udina
	Mani Da	; ; ;
4-2	20-04 Fran Gr	SHARI SVENINGSON
Dale	Deputy Itial Counsel's signature	print name
() () () () () () () () () ()		,
3.		,
		·
	OBBER	
	ORDER	
	·	
Finding	g that the stipulation protects the public and th	at the interests of Respondent will
	ved by any conditions attached to the reprove	
C 5, 20 7, 3, 4	al of counts/charges, If any, is GRANTED without	· ·
	-	•
<u></u>	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AND TH	E REPROVAL IMPOSED.
1	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	UED AC MODIFIED as set forth
X	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPRO	VED AS MODIFIED as see for sa
li li	below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSED.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	And the second s	
No.	All references to "Probation Unit" or "Probation U	Init of the Office of the Chief Trial
F	All references to "Probation Ont of Trobation of Counsel" shall be deemed deleted and replaced with	1 "Office of Probation."
96.35	Counsel" shall be deemed deleted and representation	'
18 18 18	•	
ingline tr (≛), v		
The po	rties are bound by the stipulation as approved u	inless: 1) a motion to withdraw or
	the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service	
	nodifies or further modifies the approved stipulat	
	Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 c	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
court m	·	•
court m		,
court m dure.) Fallure	to comply with any conditions attached to this re	proval may constitute cause for a
court m dure.) Fallure	to comply with any conditions attached to this re te progeeding for willful breach of rule 1-110,/Rul	proval may constitute cause for a es of Professional Conduct.
court m dure.) Fallure	te proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rul	proval may constitute cause for a less of Professional Conduct.
court m dure.) Fallure	te proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rule $6/7/07$	proval may constitute cause for a les of Professional Conduct. State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on June 10, 2004, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING, filed June 10, 2004

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

ARNOLD MELVIN JOHNSON ATTORNEY AT LAW 1200 WILSHIRE BLVD #206 LOS ANGELES, CA 90017 1976

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Shari Sveningson, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on **June 10, 2004**.

Milagro del R. Salmeron

Melayo, dil Refalmusa

Case Administrator State Bar Court