Sta Hearing Departme	ate Bar Court of Californic ant 🖾 Los Angeles 🛛) San Francisco
Counsel for the State Bar The State Bar of California Office of the Chief Trial Cour	Case number(s) 05-0-00327-RAP [02-0-11534; 02-0-13905] sel	(for Court's use)
Eli D. Morgenstern Enforcement 1149 S. Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015 Bor # 190560 (213) 765-1334 Direct Dial	PUBLIC MATTER	FILED APR 12 2005
 Counsel for Respondent In Pro Per, Respondent John T. Coates 555 E. Pacific Coast Hwy.,#218 Long Beach, CA 90806 	kwiktag [∞] 022 605 808	CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES
Bar # 207175	Submitted to 🛛 assigned judge	e 🗆 settlement judge
in the Matter of John T. Coates	STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCI DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPI	
Bar # 207175 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	REPROVAL PRIVATE PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	DE PUBLIC

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted June 2, 2000
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation, and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation and order consist of <u>12</u> pages.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law."
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.

ORIGINAL

- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
 - (a) 🖾 costs added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public reproval)
 - (b) Case ineligible for costs (private reproval)
 - (c) Costs to be paid in equal amounts for the following membership years: 2006, 2007, and 2008

(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)

- (d) 🛛 costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Walver of Costs"
- (e) Costs entirely waived
- (9) The parties understand that:
 - (a) A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquires and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.
 - (b) A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
 - (c) A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
- B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts Supporting Aggravating Circumstances are required.
- - (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case _____
 - (b) Date prior discipline effective
 - (c) 🛛 Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: ____
 - (d) 🔲 Degree of prior discipline

(e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline".

(2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.

- (3) Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) 🔲 Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (8) 🖾 No aggravating circumstances are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) O No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) I No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) C Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.

		rite above this line.)		
(5)	ņ	Restitution: Respondent paid \$ restitution to criminal proceedings.	on on without the threat or force of di	scipiinary, civil or
6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were exce Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.	ssively delayed. The delay is n	ot attributable to
7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.		
8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotion testimony would establish was directly responsible were not the product of any illegal conduct by the and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties.	onal difficulties or physical disa le for the misconduct. The diffic ne member, such as illegal drug	blittles which expert
9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the miscor stress which resulted from circumstances not reason and which were directly responsible for the miscond	ably foreseeable or which were	n severe financial beyond his/her contre
0)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, I personal life which were other than emotional or ph	Respondent suffered extreme di ysical in nature.	fficulties in his/her
1)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is legal and general communities who are aware of the	s attested to by a wide range of ne full extent of his/her miscondu	references in the ct.
2)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed sinc followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabili	e the acts of professional misco Itation.	onduct occurred
	x	No mitigating circumstances are involved.		

Additional mitigating circumstances:

n	not write ab	
D.	Discipl	line:
(1)		Private reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)
		(a) Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).
or	•	(b) Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).
<u>(2)</u>	. 🛛	Public reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)
Ε.	Conditi	ons Attached to Reproval:
(1)	X	Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of
		one (1) year.
(2)	X	During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent must comply with the provision of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
(3)	X	Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office an to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
(3) (4)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office an to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar
		 Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code. Within 30 days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation,
(4)		 Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code. Within 30 days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request. Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Ruless of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding against hir or her in the State Bar Court and, if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than thirty (30) days, that report must be submitted on the next

•

. .

Ļ

)o not w	rite a	bove [.]	this	line.	1
----------	--------	-------------------	------	-------	---

(7)	X	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.
(8)	X	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance of the Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
		No Ethics School ordered. Reason:
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report required to be filed with the Office of Probation.
(10)	X	Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reproval.
		No MPRE ordered. Reason:
(11)		The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
		Substance Abuse Conditions Law Office Management Conditions
		Medical Conditions Financial Conditions

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

In the Matter of	Case number(s):		
John T. Coates	05-0-00327-RAP [02-0-00527-RAP 02-0-13905]		

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

05 John T. Coates 's signature Print name Date Responde Counsel's signature **Print name** L

7

Deputy Trial Counsel's signature

Eli D. Morgenstern Printname

(Do not write above this line.)		
In the Matter of	Case number(s):	
John T. Coates	02-O-11534-RAP	
	02-O-13905-RAP	
	05-O-00327-RAP	

ORDER

Finding that the stipulation protects the public and that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions attached to the reproval, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSED.

All Hearing dates are vacated.

Page 2, (8)(a) - Delete check mark from box.

Page 2, (9)(c) - Add check mark to box.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or futher modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after service of this order.

Failure to comply with any conditions attached to this reproval may constitute cause for a separate proceeding for williful breach of rule 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct.

04-06-05

Date

what

RICHARD A. PLATEL Judge of the State Bar Court

Page 8

Reproval

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: JOHN T. COATES

CASE NUMBER(S):

Case Nos. 05-O-00327-RAP [02-O-11534; 02-O-13905]

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 05-O-00327

Facts

1. On or about June 17, 2004, Respondent entered into a Stipulation as to Facts and Agreement in Lieu of Discipline (the "Agreement") with the State Bar, agreeing that the Agreement constituted the appropriate disposition of State Bar Case Nos. 02-O-11534 and 02-O-13905.

2. Under the terms of the Agreement, effective June 22, 2004, Respondent was required to complete six (6) hours of classes in attorney-client relations and legal ethics above and beyond the Minimum Continuing Legal Education requirements within six (6) months or by the compliance due date of December 22, 2004. Respondent was also required to complete State Bar Ethics School by December 22, 2004.

3. The Agreement required that Respondent submit to the Office of Probation proof of completion of the six (6) hours of MCLE classes in attorney-client relations and legal ethics and of State Bar Ethics School.

4. On or about June 24, 2004, the Office of Probation sent Respondent a letter reminding him of the terms and conditions of the Agreement.

5. On or about December 22, 2004, Respondent failed to submit to the Office of Probation proof of completion of the six (6) hours of MCLE classes in attorney-client relations and legal ethics and of State Bar Ethics School.

Attachment Page 1

6. On or about January 5, 2005, the Office of Probation sent Respondent a letter reminding him again of the terms and conditions of the Agreement and requesting that Respondent contact the Office of Probation.

7. In or about April 2005, Respondent submitted proof of completion of the six (6) hours of MCLE classes in attorney-client relations and legal ethics to the Office of Probation. To date, Respondent has failed to provide to the Office of Probation proof of completion of the State Bar Ethics School.

Legal Conclusions

By failing to complete six (6) hours of MCLE classes in attorney-client relations and legal ethics by December 22, 2004, and by never attending the State Bar Ethics School, and by failing to timely submit to the Office of Probation proof of completion of the six (6) hours of MCLE classes in attorneyclient relations and legal ethics, and by never submitting to the Office of Probation proof of completion of the State Bar Ethics School, Respondent wilfully failed to keep all agreements made in lieu of disciplinary prosecution with the State Bar in violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(1).

Case No. 02-0-11534

Facts

1. On or about October 27,2001, Leslie Kassoy ("Kassoy") retained Respondent to prepare immigration papers for Kassoy's two sons so that the sons would be allowed to leave China and come to the United States. Kassoy paid Respondent \$800 advanced attorney fees and \$220 filing fees.

2. On or about February 24, 2002, Kassoy telephoned Respondent to inquire about the status of the immigration papers. At that time, Respondent advised Kassoy that he would complete the immigration papers.

3. Thereafter, Respondent failed to complete the immigration papers and take any legal action on behalf of Kassoy's sons. Between April 23, 2002 and September 8, 2003, Respondent refunded the advanced attorney fees and the filing fees to Kassoy.

Legal Conclusions

By failing to complete the immigration papers and take any legal action on behalf of Kassoy's sons, Respondent intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence in wilful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).

Case No. 02-0-13905

Facts

1. On or about March 2001, Vladimir Svidersky ("Svidersky") retained Respondent to represent Svidersky in an immigration matter before the United States Immigration and Naturalization Service ("INS"). Svidersky paid Respondent \$1,500 in advanced attorney fees.

2. From in or about May 2001 through June 2001, Svidersky telephoned Respondent on several occasions, each time leaving a message requesting a return call from Respondent. On or about June 24, 2001, Svidersky sent Respondent a letter, inquiring as to the status of his immigration matter. At no time did Respondent respond to Svidersky's calls or correspondence.

3. On or about November 11, 2002, Respondent returned Svidersky's client file to Svidersky.

4. On or about July 29, 2003, Respondent refunded the \$1,500 advanced attorney fees to Svidersky.

Legal Conclusions

By failing to represent Svidersky in his immigration matter before the INS and take any legal action on behalf of Svidersky, Respondent intentionally, recklessly, or repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence in wilful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).

By wilfully failing to respond to Svidersky's calls and correspondence, Respondent failed to respond promptly to reasonable status inquiries of a client in violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m).

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(7), was April 5, 2005.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed him that as of April 5, 2005, the estimated prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$3,608.09. Respondent acknowledges that this figure is an estimate only and that it does not include State Bar Court costs which will be included in any final cost assessment. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

The costs shall be paid in equal parts and shall be added to the membership fees for the years 2006, 2007, and 2008.

STATE BAR ETHICS SCHOOL.

Respondent may receive Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit upon the satisfactory completion of State Bar Ethics School.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Standards

Standard 2.4(b) of the Standards For Attorney Sanctions For Professional Misconduct, Title IV of the Rules of Procedure ("Standards") provides that: "Culpability of a member of wilfully failing to perform services in an individual matter or matters not demonstrating a pattern of misconduct or culpability of a member of wilfully failing to communicate with a client shall result in reproval or suspension depending upon the extent of the misconduct and the degree of harm to the client."

Attachment Page 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE [Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on April 12, 2005, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING, filed April 12, 2005

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

JOHN T COATES ESQ 555 PACIFIC COAST HWY #218 LONG BEACH CA 90806

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ELI MORGENSTERN ESQ, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on April 12, 2005.

ar pentel

Angela(Owens-Carpenter Case Administrator State Bar Court