e Bar C	Court of	the State Bar	of Cali	isini <mark>ù</mark>
Hearing Departmen	t 🗆	Los Angeles	X	San Francisco

Counsel fo	r the State Bar	Case number(s)	(for Court's use)
Erica L	.M. Dennings	02-0-12174	
180 Ho	#145755 ward St., 7th floor		FILED /
San Fr	ancisco, CA 94105	. 	
Tele.:	(415) 538–200 0	PUBLIC MATTER	AUG 1 3 2003
			STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
1	or Respondent	kwiktag* 022 607 442	SAN FRANCISCO
	M. Davis, #065589 fices of Daniel M. Davis		
816 A1	hambra Blvd.		
Sacram	ento, CA 95816	1	
		Submitted to 🖾 assigned ju	dge 🗆 settlement judge
In the Ma	tter of	STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUS	SIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION
 Daniel	M. Davis	AND ORDER APPROVING	
Bar # 06		STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUA	SUSPENSION
A Membe (Respond	r of the State Bar of California enti	☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION RE.	PECTED
			
A. Pones	s' Acknowledgments:		
(1) Resp	ondent is a member of the Stat	e Bar of California, admitted <u>Dece</u>	mber 15, 1975 (date)
	parties agree to be bound by the sition are rejected or changed	he factual stipulations contained her I by the Supreme Court.	•
resol		sted by case number in the captice deemed consolidated. Dismissed or consist of 9 pages.	
	atement of acts or omissions a aded under "Facts."	cknowledged by Respondent as c	ause or causes for discipline is
(5) Cond of Lo		t specifically referring to the facts at	e also included under "Conclusions
		iling of this stipulation, Respondent he not resolved by this stipulation, exce	
	0.7. (Check one option only):	pondent acknowledges the provision see for calendar year following effect	•
ā		ounts prior to February 1 for the follow	
0	· •	es or other good cause per rule 284 th under "Partial Walver of Costs"	l, Rules of Procedure)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, shall be set forth in the text component of this stipulation under specific headings, i.e. "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law."

		vating Circumstances (for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, rd 1.2(b).) Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.
(1)	D F	rior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]
	(a)	State Bar Court case # of prior case
	(b)	date prior discipline effective
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
	(d)	degree of prior discipline
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or under "Prior Discipline".
		See attachment
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
(4)	•	Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a tack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrong-doing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Add	lifiono	aggravating circumstances:

C. I	Mitigating Circumstances (see standard 1.2(e).) Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.
(1)	□ No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
(2)	□ No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
(3)	Tondor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation to the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. Respondent cooperated throughout the disciplinary proceedings.
(4)	Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)	Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution
	to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)	☐ Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)	☐ Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
(8)	Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product or any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
(9)	☐ Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(10)	☐ Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
(11)	☐ Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
(12)	☐ Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
(13)	□ No mitigating circumstances are involved.
Add	litional mitigating circumstances:

D. Discipline 1. Stayed Suspension. A. Respondent shall be suspended from the practice of law for a period of 6ne year I. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct ii. and until Respondent pays restitution to [payee(s)] (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate), in the amount of , plus 10% per annum accruing from and provides proof thereof to the Probation Unit, Office of the Chief Trial Counse! \Box iii. and until Respondent does the following: B. The above-referenced suspension shall be stayed. 2. Probation. Respondent shall be placed on probation for a period of $\underline{\qquad}$ $\underline{\qquad}$ which shall commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein. (See rule 953, California Rules of Court.) E. Additional Conditions of Probation: (1)During the probation period, Respondent shall comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct. (2)Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent shall report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Probation Unit, all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code. Respondent shall submit written quarterly reports to the Probation Unit on each January 10, April (3) 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, respondent shall state whether respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report shall be submitted on the next quarter date. and cover the extended period. In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation. (4) Respondent shall be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent shall promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, respondent shall furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Probation Unit. Respondent shall cooperate fully with the probation monitor. (5) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent shall answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Probation Unit of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.

(6)	, A	Proba		endar	the discipline herein, respondent shall provide to the nice at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of
			No Ethics School recommende	∍d.	
(7)	۵	matte			s of probation imposed in the underlying criminal of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to
(8)		The fo	ollowing conditions are attached	d here	eto and incorporated:
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions
(9)	D	Other	conditions negotiated by the p	arties	16 − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − − −
			•		
					·
;					
			·		₹.
Þ	Multistate Bar Exam the MPRE	e Profes niners, t E results	ssional Responsibility Examination the Probation Unit of the Office	n ("M e of th ther h	spondent shall provide proof of passage of the PRE"), administered by the National Conference of the Chief Trial Counsel within one year. Failure to pass the earing until passage. But see rule 951(b), California edure.
		MPRE	recommended.		
			. =		·

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

DANIEL M. DAVIS ET AL.

CASE NUMBER (S): 02-O-12174

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Case No. 02-O-12174

On or about May 3, 1994, respondent was employed by Lottie M. King, to probate her mother's will and, in particular, to determine the succession to real property left to decedent's children and grandchildren. King paid respondent \$182.00 for the filing fee.

Between in or about June 1994 and in or about November 1995, King left numerous messages for respondent, requesting information on the status of her case. Respondent received these messages but failed to respond to them.

In or about November 1995, respondent prepared the Petition to Determine Succession to Real Property and the Inventory and Appraisement. The petition was executed by all descendants between May 1997 and September 1998. Thereafter, respondent did not file these documents with the court.

In or about the beginning of June 2001, respondent represented that he would be filing papers shortly and requesting a hearing. Thereafter, respondent failed to file papers or set a hearing.

Between in or about August 2001 and in or about January 2002, King called respondent on several occasions, leaving messages to determine the status of her case. Respondent received the messages but failed to respond to them.

In or about February 2002, after being contacted by the State Bar, respondent communicated with King regarding her mother's probate matter.

On or about January 3, 2003, respondent filed the Petition to Determine Succession to Real Property in Sacramento County Superior Court, case no. 03 PR 00006. The hearing was set for February 3, 2003.

On February 18, 2003, respondent prepared and filed A Notice of Petition to Administer Estate and the Petition for Probate. The hearing was set for March 26, 2003.

Attachment Page 1

Respondent, by agreement of the parties, continues to represent Ms. King in the matter. Respondent has agreed to waive all compensation on the case.

By failing to file any documents in this matter between in or about May 1994 and January 2003, respondent intentionally, recklessly, and repeatedly failed to perform legal services with competence.

By failing to respond to King's reasonable telephone status inquiries, Respondent wilfully violated Business and Professions Code section 6068(m).

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

(1) Prior Discipline

In case number 90-O-12625, effective December 2, 1991, respondent was ordered publicly reproved with conditions. The underlying misconduct involved one client matter, violations of rules 3-110(B), 3-200(B), 3-500, 3-700(D)(1), and 3-700(D)(2) of the Rules of Professional Conduct and a violation of sections 6068(a) and 6068(m) of the Business and Professions Code.

In case number 93-O-12950, effective February 26, 1995, respondent was ordered publicly reproved with conditions. The underlying misconduct involved two client matters in which respondent violated rule 3-700(A)(2) of the Rules of Professional Conduct and section 6068(m) of the Business and Professions Code.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A. (6), was July 3, 2003.

STATE BAR ETHICS SCHOOL.

Because respondent has agreed to attend State Bar Ethics School as part of this stipulation, respondent may receive Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit upon the satisfactory completion of State Bar Ethics School.

Attachment Page 2

7/14/03	Respondent's signature	DANIEL M. DAVIS
1/15/03	Respondent's Counsel's signature Deputy Itial Counsel's signature	print name Erica Degning Buck Shill est print name ERICA L.M. DENNINGS
IT IS ORDERED that prejudice, and:	t the requested dismissal of counts, d facts and disposition are APPROV	nat it adequately protects the public, /charges, if any, is GRANTED without /ED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED
☐ The stipulated		ED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, preme Court.
		•

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 953(a), California Rules of Court.)

Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE [Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on August 13, 2003, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

DANIEL M DAVIS 816 ALHAMBRA BLVD SACRAMENTO CA 95816

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ERICA DENNINGS, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on August 13, 2003.

George Hue
Case Administrator
State Bar Court