Hearing Department 🗆 Los Angeles 🕱 San Francisco

| Counsel for the State Bar | Case number(s) | (for Court's use) | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office of the Chief Trial Counse Enforcement | # 02-0-14849-PEM | M. M. C. M. S. C. M. S. | | | | |
| Wonder J. Liang | , | ORIGINAL | | | | |
| SBN 184357 | PUBLIC MATTER | l / | | | | |
| 180 Howard Street San Francisco, California 94105 | TO MAILER | FILED & | | | | |
| (415) 538-2372 | kwiktag* 035 115 361 | DEC 1 0 2003 | | | | |
| Counsel for Respondent | HILL BRIE CONCENTRATE LANGUAGE | STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE | | | | |
| In Pro Per Kenneth Martin Christison | | SAN FRANCISCO | | | | |
| SBN 52281 | | 0/11/1/1/0/0000 | | | | |
| 3 Ash Avenue | | • | | | | |
| Kentfield, California 94904 | | | | | | |
| (415) 459–3432 | | | | | | |
| | Submitted to 🔯 assigned jud | dge 🗌 settlement judge | | | | |
| In the Matter of KENNETH MARTIN CHRISTISON | STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING | | | | | |
| | ACTUAL SUSPENSION | ACTUAL SUSPENSION | | | | |
| Bar # 52281 | | | | | | |
| A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent) | PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJI | ECTED | | | | |
| (2) The parties agree to be bound by t | te Bar of California, admittedIun the factual stipulations contained her | (date) | | | | |
| disposition are rejected or changed | | | | | | |
| resolved by this stipulation and are | All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation, are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation and order consist of <u>11</u> pages. | | | | | |
| (4) A statement of acts or omissions actincluded under "Facts." | A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is ncluded under "Facts." | | | | | |
| Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law." | | | | | | |
| | No more than 30 days prior to the filling of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations. | | | | | |
| (7) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Resp& 6140.7. (Check one option only): | Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 6140.7. (Check one option only): | | | | | |
| 📆 until costs are paid in full, Resp | ondent will remain actually suspende | ed from the practice of law unless | | | | |
| relief is obtained per rule 284, R | • | | | | | |
| | costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: | | | | | |
| (hardship, special circumstance | 84, Rules of Procedure) | | | | | |
| costs waived in part as set forth costs entirely waived | raived in part as set forth under "Partial Waiver of Costs" Intirely waived | | | | | |

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, shall be set forth in the text component of this stipulation under specific headings, i.e. "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law."

| | | | Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, b).) Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required. | | | | |
|-----|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| (1) |) 🗽 Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)] | | | | | | |
| | (a) | ∑ Sto | ate Bar Court case # of prior case 99-0-10165 (\$098179) | | | | |
| | (b) | X O do | ate prior discipline effective September 6, 2001 | | | | |
| | (c) | Pr | eles of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: Rule 4-100(A) of the Rules of cofessional Conduct; sections 6106 and 6068(i) of the Business and Professions ede. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | (d) | | egree of prior discipline <u>Five (5) years stayed suspension</u> , five (5) years probation th conditions including six (6) months actual suspension. | | | | |
| | (e) | _ | Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or oder "Prior Discipline". | | | | |
| | | Da Vi Co | ate Bar Court case # of prior case: 88-0-13696 (S032302) te prior discipline effective: July 22, 1993 olations: Rule 8-101(A) [current rule 4-100] of the Rules of Professional nduct (two counts); sections 6106 and 6103 of the Business and Professions de. | | | | |
| (2) | | pr Dishone | gree of prior discipline: One (1) year stayed suspension, two (2) years obation with conditions including sixty (60) days actual suspension. sty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, lment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct. | | | | |
| (3) | | Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property. | | | | | |
| (4) | | Harm: | Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice. | | | | |
| (5) | | | ence: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the uences of his or her misconduct. | | | | |
| (6) | | | Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her duct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings. | | | | |
| (7) | 0 | - | /Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrong- r demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. | | | | |
| (8) | | No agg | ravating circumstances are involved. | | | | |
| Add | itiono | ıl aggra | vating circumstances: | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| C. | Mitig | ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e).) Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required. |
|------|-------------|---|
| (1) | | No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious. |
| (2) | | No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct. |
| (3) | X | Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation to the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. |
| (4) | | Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct. |
| (5) | | Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil |
| | | restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings. |
| (6) | | Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her. |
| (7) | | Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith. |
| (8) | | Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities. |
| (9) | (X) | Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct. |
| (10) | | Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature. |
| (11) | | Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extention his/her misconduct. |
| (12) | | Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation. |
| (13) | | No mitigating circumstances are involved. |

Additional mitigating circumstances:

| | 1. | Stc | yed Su | uspei | nsion. | |
|------|--|-------|--|-------|---|--|
| | | Α. | A. Respondent shall be suspended from the practice of law for a period of FIVE (5) YEARS | | | |
| | | | а | i. | and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct | |
| | | | | ü. | and until Respondent pays restitution to [payee(s)] (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate), in the amount of, plus 10% per annum accruing from, and provides proof thereof to the Probation Unit, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel | |
| | | | | | dia provides proof intereor to the Propulson of the Office of the Chief that Counsel | |
| | | | | iii. | and until Respondent does the following: | |
| | | В. | The a | bove | e-referenced suspension shall be stayed. | |
| | 2. | Pro | bation | ١. | | |
| | Respondent shall be placed on probation for a period of <u>FIVE (5) YEARS</u> which shall commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein. (See rule 953, California Rules of Court.) | | | | | |
| | 3. | Ac | tual Su | sper | sion. | |
| | | Α. | | | nt shall be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a SEVENTY—FIVE (75) DAYS | |
| | | | | i. | and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct | |
| | | | | ii. | and until Respondent pays restitution to [payee(s)] (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate), in the amount of, plus 10% per annum accruing from | |
| | | | | | and provides proof thereof to the Probation Unit, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel | |
| | | | . 🗆 | iii. | and until Respondent does the following: | |
| E. / | A dd | ition | al Con | ditio | ns of Probation: | |
| (1) | X | r | If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she shall remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct | | | |
| (2) | × | | During the probation period, Respondent shall comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct. | | | |
| (3) | K | S | itate Bo | ar an | 0) days of any change, Respondent shall report to the Membership Records Office of the old to the Probation Unit, all changes of information, including current office address and umber, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the | |

Business and Professions Code.

(4)

D. Discipline

Respondent shall submit written quarterly reports to the Probation Unit on each January 10, April 10,

July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, respondent shall state whether respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all

| ١ | , conditions of probation . Ing the preceding calendar quarter, It me first report would cover less than 30 days, that report shall be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period. | | | | | | |
|------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation. | | | | | |
| (5) | | Respondent shall be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent shall promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, respondent shall furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Probation Unit. Respondent shall cooperate fully with the probation monitor. | | | | | |
| (6) | ХX | Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent shall answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Probation Unit of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions. | | | | | |
| (7) | O | Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, respondent shall provide to the Probation Unit satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session. | | | | | |
| | | No Ethics School recommended. (Please see page 10; State Bar Ethics School Exclusion. | | | | | |
| (8) | | Respondent shall comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and shall so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Probation Unit. | | | | | |
| (9) | The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated: | | | | | | |
| | | ☐ Substance Abuse Conditions ☐ Law Office Management Conditions | | | | | |
| | | ☐ Medical Conditions | | | | | |
| (10) | 0 | Other conditions negotiated by the parties: | | | | | |
| 0 | Mul | Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent shall provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Probation Unit of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 951(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure. | | | | | |
| | Ŕ | No MPRE recommended. (Please see page 10; MPRE Exclusion.) | | | | | |
| ם | Rule | ule 955, California Rules of Court: Respondent shall comply with the provisions of subdivisions (a) and (c) of rule 955, California Rules of Court, within 30 and 40 days, respectively, from the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein. | | | | | |
| XX | Con | onditional Rule 955, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she shall comply with the provisions of subdivisions (a) and (c) of rule 955, California Rules of Court, within 120 and 130 days, respectively, from the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein. | | | | | |
| | Cre | credit for Interim Suspension (conviction referral cases only): Respondent shall be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. | | | | | |

| In the M | affe | rof | Case Number(s): |
|--------------|----------------|---|---|
| l | | TH MARTIN CHRISTISON, SBN 52281 f the State Bar | 02-0-14849-PEM |
| Financia | ıl C | onditions | |
| a. Q | CI 10 pr | [payee(s)] (or the , plus , and sel, uncial Conditions, | |
| b. XX | 1. | If respondent possesses client funds at any time during the period coverreport, respondent shall file with each required report a certificate from recertified public accountant or other financial professional approved by that: a. respondent has maintained a bank account in a bank authorized of California, at a branch located within the State of California, at designated as a "Trust Account" or "Clients' Funds Account"; b. respondent has kept and maintained the following: i. a written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are he in the name of such client; 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on be in the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursent such client; and, 4. the current balance for such client. ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets for interest the date, amount and client affected by each debit and interest the date, amount and client affected by each debit and interest the date, amount and cancelled checks for each client trust in the current balance in such account. iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust in each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), about differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (in reasons for the differences. c. respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other in that specifies: i. each item of security and property held; iii. the person on whose behalf the security or property; and, v. the date of distribution of the security or property; and, v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed. | espondent and/or a the Probation Unit, certifying to do business in the State and that such account is eld that sets forth: ehalf of such client; ment made on behalf of orth: d credit; and, st account; and, ve, and if there are any i), (ii), and (iii), above, the |
| | 2. | If respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities covered by a report, respondent must so state under penalty of perjur the Probation Unit for that reporting period. In this circumstance, the accountant's certificate described above. | ry in the report filed with |

3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

c. Use Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, respondent shall supply to the Probation Unit satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

KENNETH MARTIN CHRISTISON, SBN 52281

CASE NUMBER(S):

02-O-14849-PEM

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Facts: Count One:

- 1. On March 29, 2001, the State Bar Court Hearing Department, following a hearing, filed its Decision in *In the Matter of Kenneth Martin Christison*, case number 99-O-10165-EEB ("Decision").
- 2. On August 7, 2001, the Supreme Court of California filed its order in *In Re Kenneth Martin Christison On Discipline*, case number S098179 (State Bar Court case number 99-O-10165) ("Order"). The Court ordered that respondent be suspended from the practice of law for five (5) years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five (5) years subject to the conditions of probation, including six (6) months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on March 29, 2001.
- 3. Pursuant to the Order, respondent was ordered to comply with the following terms and conditions of probation, among others:
 - a. Respondent shall submit written quarterly reports to the Probation Unit on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, respondent shall state whether respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. If the first report will cover less than thirty (30) days, that report shall be submitted on the next following quarter date, and cover the extended period. In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the probation period and no later than the last day of the probation period;
 - b. Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, respondent shall provide to the Probation Unit satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, given periodically by the State Bar at either 180 Howard Street, San Francisco, California, 94105-1639, or 1149 South Hill Street, Los Angeles, California, 90015-2299, and passage of the test given at the end of that session. Arrangements to attend Ethics School must be made in advance by calling (213) 765-1287, and paying the required fee. This requirement is separate from any Minimum Continuing Legal

Education Requirement ("MCLE"), and respondent shall not receive MCLE credit for attending Ethics School (rule 3201, Rules of Procedure of the State Bar);

- c. Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, respondent shall supply to the Probation Unit satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, given periodically by the State Bar at either 180 Howard Street, San Francisco, California, 94105-1639, or 1149 South Hill Street, Los Angeles, California, 90015-2299, and passage of the test given at the end of that session. Arrangements to attend Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School must be made in advance by calling (213) 765-1287, and paying the required fee. This requirement is separate from any MCLE Requirement, and respondent shall not receive MCLE credit for attending Trust Accounting School (rule 3201, Rules of Procedure of the State Bar); and
- d. Respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE") administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, MPRE Application Department, P.O. Box 4001, Iowa City, Iowa, 52243, (telephone 319-337-1287) and provide proof of passage to the Probation Unit, within one year of the effective date of the discipline.
- 4. The Order became effective on September 6, 2001, thirty days after it was entered.
- 5. On September 13, 2001, Probation Deputy Lydia G. Dineros ("Dineros") of the Probation Unit wrote a letter to respondent in which she reminded respondent of the terms and conditions of his suspension and probation imposed pursuant to the Order. In addition, Dineros specifically advised respondent regarding his obligations to file quarterly probation reports; to promptly report changes of address for State Bar purposes; and the deadlines regarding providing proof of taking and passing the MPRE, the State Bar Ethics School and the Client Trust Accounting School. Enclosed with her letter to respondent were, among other documents, a copy of the Order, the relevant portions of the Decision setting forth the conditions of respondent's probation, a sheet showing the schedule and contact information to take the MPRE, a Quarterly Report Instructions sheet, and a Quarterly Report form specifically tailored for respondent to use in submitting his quarterly reports.
- 6. Dineros' letter of September 13, 2001, was placed in a sealed envelope correctly addressed to respondent at his official membership record's address at the State Bar. The letters were properly mailed by first class mail, postage prepaid, by depositing it for collection by the United States Postal Service in the ordinary course of business. The United States Postal Service did not return the Dineros' letter as undeliverable or for any other reason.
- 7. On February 13, 2002, Dineros sent a letter to respondent informing him that his quarterly report for January 10, 2002, was incomplete and not acceptable. Respondent did not include the second page of the report and the report was not signed under the penalty of perjury. Dineros requested respondent to re-submit the complete report.

- 8. On February 28, 2002, respondent's complete quarterly report for January 10, 2002, was filed with the Probation Unit.
- 9. On April 18, 2002, respondent's quarterly report for April 10, 2002, was filed with the Probation Unit.
- 10. On July 1, 2002, Dineros called respondent at his official membership record's telephone number at the State Bar. She left a message on respondent's answering machine reminding respondent to file his July 10, 2002 quarterly report and to provide proof of taking and passing the MPRE, the State Bar Ethics School and the State Bar Client Trust Accounting School.
- 11. On July 15, 2002, respondent's quarterly report for July 10, 2002, was filed with the Probation Unit.
- 12. On July 24, 2002, Dineros attempted to reach respondent by telephone to inform him that the Probation Unit had not received any proof that respondent attended and passed the MPRE, the State Bar Ethics School and the State Bar Client Trust Accounting School. When Dineros called respondent's official membership record's telephone number at the State Bar an automated message informed Dineros that the number she was calling was no longer in service and was disconnected.
- 13. On September 6, 2002, September 11, 2002, and October 30, 2002, Dineros attempted to reach respondent at his official membership record's telephone number at the State Bar and she was informed by an automated message on each occasion that the number she was calling was no longer in service and was disconnected.
- 14. By October 31, 2002, respondent failed to comply with several terms and conditions of his probation imposed by the Order. Specifically, respondent failed to file his October 10, 2002, quarterly report with the Probation Unit; failed to provide proof of taking and passing the State Bar Ethics School; failed to provide proof of taking and passing the State Bar Client Trust Accounting School; and failed to notify the Probation Unit and the Membership Records Office of the State Bar of changes to his telephone number for State Bar purposes.

Conclusions of Law: Count One:

By not submitting his October 10, 2002 quarterly report, by failing to provide proof of taking and passing the State Bar Ethics School and the State Bar Client Trust Accounting School within one (1) year of the effective date of the Order, and by failing to notify the Probation Unit and the Membership Records Office of the State Bar of changes to his telephone number for State Bar purposes as set forth in the Decision and Order, respondent failed to comply with the conditions attached to his disciplinary probation in violation of section 6068(k) of the Business and Professions Code.

Facts: Count Two:

- 15. The facts contained in Count One are incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.
 - 16. By October 31, 2002, respondent did not provide proof of taking and passing the MPRE.

Conclusions of Law: Count Two:

By not providing proof of taking and passing the MPRE as set forth in the Order, respondent disobeyed and violated an order of the Supreme Court requiring him to do an act connected with and in the course of respondent's profession which he ought in good faith to do in violation of section 6103 of the Business and Professions Code.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(6), was November 26, 2003.

STATE BAR ETHICS SCHOOL EXCLUSION.

It is not recommended that respondent attend State Bar Ethics School since respondent attended Ethics School within the last two years on April 10. 2003, in connection with Stat Bar Court case number 99-O-10165 (California Supreme Court case number S098179).

MULTISTATE PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY EXAMINATION EXCLUSION.

It is recommended that respondent <u>not</u> be required to take the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination because he took and passed the examination on November 8, 2002, in connection with State Bar Court case number 99-O-10165 (California Supreme Court case number S098179).

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of November 26, 2003, the estimated prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$2,541.50. Respondent acknowledges that this figure is an estimate only and that it does not include State Bar Court costs which will be included in any final cost assessment. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

Respondent admits that the above facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes.

IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, If any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below. and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 953(a), California Rules of Court.)

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY REGULAR MAIL

CASE NUMBER: 02-O-14849-PEM

I, the undersigned, over the age of eighteen (18) years, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 180 Howard Street, San Francisco, California 94105, declare that I am not a party to the within action; that I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; that in the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day; that I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit; and that in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of San Francisco, on the date shown below, a true copy of the within

10

1

2

3

5

6

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

11

12

in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing at San Francisco, on the date shown below, addressed to:

13

14

15

Kenneth Martin Christison 3 Ash Avenue Kentfield, California 94105

16

Courtesy copy sent to:

17

18

Kenneth Martin Christison Attorney at Law 1400 Technology Lane, #801 Petaluma, California 94954

19

in an inter-office mail facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to:

21

22

20

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, California, on the date shown below.

23

24

25

26

DATED: December 2, 2003

N/A

27 28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on December 10, 2003, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

KENNETH MARTIN CHRISTISON 3 ASH AVE KENTFIELD CA 94904

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

WONDER LIANG, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on **December 10, 2003**.

Lauretta Cramer

Case Administrator

State Bar Court