State Bar Court of the State Bar of California Hearing Department 🗋 Los Angeles 🔠 San Francisco

Counsel for the State Bar	Case number(s)	(for Court's use)
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEN ENFORCEMENT DESIREE T. WASHINGTON, No. 217368 180 Howard Street	•	
San Francisco, CA 94105-1639 Telephone: (415) 538-2000	PUBLIC MATTER	FILED
Counsel for Respondent		MAR 0 0 2004
Jeffrey C. Stotter 1768 West Street Redding, CA 96001 Telephone: (530) 241-6304	kwiktag * 031 975 102	STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO
(In Propria Persona)		dge 🖾 settlement judge
In the Matter of		ONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND
JEFFREY C. STOTTER	ORDER APPROVING	
Bar # 148090 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	REPROVAL PRIVATE PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECT	X PUBLIC

- A. Parties' Acknowledgments:
- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 4, 1990 (date)
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation, and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation and order consist of <u>10</u>& pages.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts." See Attachment.
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law."
- (6) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (7) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
 - costs added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public reproval)
 - case ineligible for costs (private reproval)
 - Costs to be paid in equal amounts for the following membership years: 2005, 2006, 2007

(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)

- costs waived in part as set forth under "Partial Waiver of Costs"
- costs entirely waived
- Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, shall be set forth in the text component of this stipulation under specific headings, i.e. "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law."

(Stipulation form approved by SBC Executive Committee 10/16/00)

In the Matter of JEFFREY C. STOTTER

Case Number(s):

03-C-00173

A Member of the State Bar

NOLO CONTENDERE PLEA TO STIPULATION AS TO FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

Bus. & Prof. Code §6085.5 Disciplinary Charges; Pleas to Allegations

There are three kinds of pleas to the allegations of a notice of disciplinary charges or other pleading which initiates a disciplinary proceeding against a member:

(a) Admission of culpability.

(b) Denial of culpability.

(c) Nolo contendere, subject to the approval of the State Bar Court. The court shall ascertain whether the member completely understands that a plea of nolo contendere shall be considered the same as an admission of culpability and that, upon a plea of nolo contendere, the court shall find the member culpable. The legal effect of such a plea shall be the same as that of an admission of culpability for all purposes, except that the plea and any admissions required by the court during any inquiry it makes as to the voluntariness of, or the factual basis for, the pleas, may not be used against the member as an admission in any civil suit based upon or growing out of the act upon which the disciplinary proceeding is based. (Added by Stats. 1996, ch. 1104.) (emphasis supplied)

RULE 133, Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California STIPULATIONS AS TO FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

(a) A proposed stipulation as to facts, conclusions of law, and disposition shall set forth each of the following:

(5) a statement that respondent either

(i) admits the facts set forth in the stipulation are true and that he or she is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct or

(ii) pleads noto contendere to those facts and violations. If the respondent pleads noto contendere, the stipulation shall include each of the following:

(a) an acknowledgment that the respondent completely understands that the plea of nolo contendere shall be considered the same as an admission of the stipulated facts and of his or her culpability of the statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct specified in the stipulation; and

(b) If requested by the Court, a statement by the deputy trial counsel that the factual stipulations are supported by evidence obtained in the State Bar investigation of the matter. (emphasis supplied)

I, the Respondent in this matter, have read the applicable provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §6085.5 and rule 133(a)(5) of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. I plead nois contendere to the charges set forth in this stipulation and I completely understand that my plea shall be considered the same as an admission of culpability except as stated in Business and Professions Code section 6085.5(c).

frey C. Stotle Signature print name

(Nolo Contendere Plea form approved by SBC Executive Committee 10/22/97)

1A

- The parties understand that:
 - (a) A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquires and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.
 - (b) A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
 - (c) A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
- B. Aggravating Circumstances (for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.
- Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)] (1) (\mathbf{O}) State Bar Court case # of prior case (b) Date prior discipline effective (Ĉ) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: (d) degree of prior discipline (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or under "Prior Discipline".

- (2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (4) 📋 Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.

- (5) Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) X No aggravating circumstances are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

- C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.
- (1) IN No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) 💥 No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) X Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation to the victims of his/ her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) Restitution: Respondent paid \$______ on _____ in restitution to ______ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) 🔲 Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) XX Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.

•				isiderable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followers of subsequent rehabilitation.
(13) (🗆 No m	nitigatin	ig circu	mstances are involved.
Additi	ional miti	gating	citcum	stances:
D, Di	iscipline:			
(1)	D	Private	e reprov	al (check applicable conditions, if any, below)
		(a)		Approved by the Court prior to Initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).
		(b)		Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).
ত				
	<i>"</i> ^			
(2)		Public	: reprov	al (check applicable conditions, if any, below)
	anditions		-	
		Attach Resp	ed to R	eproval: shall comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of
E, Co	onditions	Attach Resp or Durin	ed to R ondent ne (1)	eproval: shall comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of year
E. Co (1)	onditions X3	Attach Resp or Durin of the Within the P or ott	and to R ondent ne (1) ag the ca e State n ten (10 robation	eproval: shall comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of year ondition period attached to the reproval, Respondent shall comply with the provisior Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct. D) days of any change, Respondent shall report to the Membership Records Office and h Unit, all changes of Information, including current office address and telephone numb
E. Co (1) (2)	onditions XX XX	Attach Respo Or Durin of the Within the Pi or off sions Resp 10, a dent Conc would	ed to R ondent <u>ne (1)</u> of the ca e State n ten (10 robation ner addi Code. ondent shall sta duct, an d cover	eproval: shall comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of year ondition period attached to the reproval, Respondent shall comply with the provisior

(Stipulation form approved by SBC Executive Committee 10/16/00)

e.

.

 $\gamma = x$

Respondent shall be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent shall promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, respondent shall furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Probation Unit. Respondent shall cooperate fully with the monitor.

- Subject to assertion of applicable privileges. Respondent shall answer fully, promptly and truthfully (6) 1 any inquiries of the Probation Unit of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.
- Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, respondent shall provide to the (7) Probation Unit satisfactory proof of attendance of the Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
 - No Ethics School ordered.
- XX Respondent shall comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and (8) shall so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report required to be filed with the Probation Unit.
- (9) Respondent shall provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Probation Unit of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel within one year of the effective date of the reproval. DTW
 - দ্ব No MPRE ordered.

(10)The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:

- Substance Abuse Conditions Law Office Management Conditions
- Medical Conditions
- Financial Conditions
- (11)Other conditions negotiated by the parties:

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: Jeffrey Craig Stotter

CASE NUMBER(S): 03-C-00173 ET AL.

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violating the specified statutes.

FACTS:

On or about September 10, 2003, Respondent plead *no contest* to violating Penal Code § 242 [Battery] for striking his wife in response to his wife striking him (Shasta County Municipal Court, Case no. 02M9143).

Respondent acqnowledges that he is remedying the cause of his misconduct through appropriate counseling.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

By striking his wife in response to his wife striking him, respondent violated Penal Code § 242, Respondent violated Penal Code

§ 242.

The facts and circumstances surrounding Respondent's conviction did not involve moral turpitude, but did involve other misconduct warranting discipline. Respondent acknowledges that by the conduct described above, he failed to support the laws of the State of California in wilful violation of California Business and Professions Code § 6068(a).

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND IN CONVICTION PROCEEDING:

On or about September 10, 2003, Respondent pled no contest to violating Penal Code § 242, a one count misdemeanor.

On or about November 23, 2003, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order referring the matter to the Hearing Department on the following issues:

(A) whether the facts and circumstances of the violations of Penal Code § 242 involve moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline; and

(B) a decision recommending discipline.

Attachment Page 1

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(6), was February 5, 2004.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel informed Respondent that as of February 5, 2004, the estimated prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$1,636.00. Respondent acknowledges that this figure is an estimate only and that it does not include State Bar Court costs which will be included in any final cost assessment. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

STATE BAR ETHICS SCHOOL.

Respondent shall attend State Bar Ethics School as part of this stipulation. Respondent may receive Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit upon the satisfactory completion of State Bar Ethics School.

Respondent admits that the foregoing facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Page #

Attachment Page 2

3/1/04	Respondent's signature	JEFFREY C. STOTTER
ate	Respondent's Counsel's signature	print name
3/1/04	Deputy Incl Counsel's signature	DESIREE T. WASHINGTON print name
	ORDER	·
be served by any a	oulation protects the public and that conditions attached to the reproval, charges, if any, is GRANTED without pre	IT IS ORDERED that the requested
be served by any or dismissal of counts/or The stipulated to The stipulated for	conditions attached to the reproval,	IT IS ORDERED that the requested Judice, and: EPROVAL IMPOSED.
be served by any o dismissal of counts/o	conditions attached to the reproval, charges, if any, is GRANTED without pre acts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE RI	IT IS ORDERED that the requested Judice, and: EPROVAL IMPOSED.
be served by any or dismissal of counts/or The stipulated for The stipulated for	conditions attached to the reproval, charges, if any, is GRANTED without pre acts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE RI	IT IS ORDERED that the requested judice, and: EPROVAL IMPOSED.
 be served by any or dismissal of counts/or dismissal of cou	conditions attached to the reproval, charges, if any, is GRANTED without pre acts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE RI	IT IS ORDERED that the requested ojudice, and: EPROVAL IMPOSED. The as set forth below, and the REPROVAL ess: 1) a motion to withdraw or this order, is granted; or 2) this . (See rule 135(b), Rules of Proce-
 be served by any or dismissal of counts/or displayed for imposed. The stipulated for imposed. The stipulated for imposed. The parties are bound for displayed for displa	conditions attached to the reproval, charges, if any, is GRANTED without pre acts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE R acts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED acts acts acts acts acts acts acts acts	IT IS ORDERED that the requested bludice, and: EPROVAL IMPOSED. The as set forth below, and the REPROVAL ess: 1) a motion to withdraw or this order, is granted; or 2) this . (See rule 135(b), Rules of Proce- rs after service of this order.

· · .

•

2



•

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE [Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on March 8, 2004, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

JEFFREY C. STOTTER 1768 WEST ST REDDING CA 96001

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

DESIREE WASHINGTON, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on March 8, 2004.

Laine Silber Case Administrator State Bar Court