


Counsel for the State Bar Cydney Batchelor, #114637 State Bar of California 180 Howard St., 7th Fl. San Francisco, CA 94105 Tele: 415/538-2204	Case number(s) 03-C-00600-JMR kwiktag® 031 978 449 	(for Court's use) PUBLIC MATTER FILED <i>[Signature]</i> SEP 25 2003 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO
Counsel for Respondent Michael E. Wine, #58657 3218 E. Holt Ave., #100 West Covina, CA 91791 Tele: 626/858-0602	Submitted to <input type="checkbox"/> assigned judge <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> settlement judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING ACTUAL SUSPENSION <input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	
In the Matter of STEVEN R. YOUNT Bar # 141671 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)		

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted 8/30/89 (date)
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation, are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation and order consist of 12 pages.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts." See attachment.
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law." See attachment.
- (6) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (7) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
 - until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 284, Rules of Procedure.
 - costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years:
2004, 2005
 (hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)
 - costs waived in part as set forth under "Partial Waiver of Costs"
 - costs entirely waived

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, shall be set forth in the text component of this stipulation under specific headings, i.e. "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law."

B. Aggravating Circumstances (for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b).) Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

(1) Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]

(a) State Bar Court case # of prior case _____

(b) date prior discipline effective _____

(c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: _____

(d) degree of prior discipline _____

(e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or under "Prior Discipline".

(2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.

(3) Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.

(4) Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice. See attachment.

(5) Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.

(6) Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.

(7) Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. See attachment.

(8) No aggravating circumstances are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

None.

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e).] Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation ~~to the victims of his/her misconduct and~~ to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
See attachment.
- (4) Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) Restitution: Respondent paid \$ _____ on _____ in restitution to _____ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) No mitigating circumstances are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

See attachment.

D. Discipline

1. Stayed Suspension.

A. Respondent shall be suspended from the practice of law for a period of two (2) years

- i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
- ii. and until Respondent pays restitution to _____ [payee(s)] (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate), in the amount of _____, plus 10% per annum accruing from _____ and provides proof thereof to the Probation Unit, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel
- iii. and until Respondent does the following: _____

B. The above-referenced suspension shall be stayed.

2. Probation.

Respondent shall be placed on probation for a period of three (3) years which shall commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein. (See rule 953, California Rules of Court.)

3. Actual Suspension.

A. Respondent shall be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of sixty (60) days

- i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
- ii. and until Respondent pays restitution to _____ [payee(s)] (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate), in the amount of _____, plus 10% per annum accruing from _____ and provides proof thereof to the Probation Unit, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel
- iii. and until Respondent does the following: _____

E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she shall remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- (2) During the probation period, Respondent shall comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent shall report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Probation Unit, all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) Respondent shall submit written quarterly reports to the Probation Unit on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, respondent shall state whether respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all

conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report shall be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (5) Respondent shall be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent shall promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, respondent shall furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Probation Unit. Respondent shall cooperate fully with the probation monitor. See attachment.
- (6) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent shall answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Probation Unit of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (7) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, respondent shall provide to the Probation Unit satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- No Ethics School recommended.
- (8) Respondent shall comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and shall so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Probation Unit.
- (9) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions |
- (10) Other conditions negotiated by the parties:
See attachment.
- Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent shall provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Probation Unit of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 951(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.
- No MPRE recommended.
- Rule 955, California Rules of Court: Respondent shall comply with the provisions of subdivisions (a) and (c) of rule 955, California Rules of Court, within 30 and 40 days, respectively, from the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein.
- Conditional Rule 955, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she shall comply with the provisions of subdivisions (a) and (c) of rule 955, California Rules of Court, within 120 and 130 days, respectively, from the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein.
- Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent shall be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension.

ATTACHMENT TO
STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: STEVEN R. YOUNT

CASE NUMBER(S): 03-C-00600-JMR

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Facts: On March 10, 2001, Respondent was observed leaving a convenience store, and getting into an automobile and trying to drive out of a parking space, by a store security guard. The guard believed that Respondent was intoxicated, and notified the Sacramento police department, which sent uniformed officers to investigate. After observing Respondent at close range, the police officers took Respondent into custody and placed him in the back of a patrol car. Respondent became loud and verbally abusive, and kicked out a rear side window in the car, breaking the glass and resulting in cuts and abrasions to one of the arresting officers. Respondent also kicked another officer in the leg. On June 19, 2001, Respondent was charged with felony violations of Penal Code sections 69 [resisting arrest] and 243(c)(2) [battery on a peace officer], and felony violations of Vehicle Code sections 23152(a) and 23152(b) [driving under the influence of alcohol, with three prior convictions in Virginia on April 7, 1997, December 18, 1998, and March 22, 1999]. The same day, Respondent entered pleas of nolo contendere to a felony violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a) [admitting the three prior convictions], and to a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 148 [resisting arrest]. Respondent was sentenced to five years probation, on the condition that he serve 180 days in the county jail (through work furlough).

Legal Conclusions: The facts and circumstances surrounding Respondent's felony violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a) [driving under the influence of alcohol], and misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 148 [resisting arrest] do not involve moral turpitude but do involve other conduct warranting discipline. The Respondent acknowledges that by the conduct described above, he willfully violated Business and Professions Code section 6068(a).

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(6), was September 15, 2003.

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND IN CONVICTION PROCEEDING (03-C-600):

1. This is a proceeding pursuant to sections 6101 and 6102 of the Business and Professions Code and rule 951 of the California Rules of Court.
2. On June 19, 2001, Respondent was convicted of violating California Vehicle Code section 23152(a) [driving under the influence of alcohol], with three admitted priors, a felony, and of violating California Penal Code section 148 [resisting arrest], a misdemeanor.
3. On April 9, 2003, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order referring the matter to the Hearing Department for a hearing and decision as to whether the facts and circumstances surrounding the convictions involved moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline, and if so found, the discipline to be imposed.

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Facts Supporting Aggravating Circumstances.

Multiple Acts of Misconduct: The facts admitted to herein regarding the prior criminal convictions, and the current misconduct, involve multiple acts of misconduct.

Harm: While resisting arrest, Respondent kicked out and broke the window of a patrol car, resulting in cuts and abrasions to one of the arresting officers. He also kicked another officer in the leg.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Facts Supporting Mitigating Circumstances.

Candor and cooperation: Respondent has been completely candid and cooperative with the State Bar during its investigation and resolution of this case.

Additional Mitigating Circumstances.

No prior discipline: Although the misconduct described herein is serious, it is noteworthy that Respondent has no prior record of discipline, nor even a single client complaint, since being admitted to practice 14 years ago.

Compliance with Criminal Probation: Respondent has complied with his probation conditions in the criminal proceeding which underlies the conviction referral here.

Chemical Dependency and Subsequent Abstinence: At the time Respondent was arrested, he was addicted to alcohol. If called as a witness, Respondent would testify that he has been abstinent from all alcohol use since March 2001, and that he has been affiliated with Alcoholics Anonymous since that time.

Cooperation with State Bar Request for Expert Evaluation: Respondent self-referred to the State Bar Lawyer Assistance Program in January 2003; however, Respondent declined to sign the participation agreement to be formally enrolled in that program. Therefore, since the facts and circumstances of the underlying conviction here, as well as the prior criminal convictions, involved alcohol dependency, the State Bar requested that Respondent submit to a full evaluation and treatment recommendation by a physician who is board certified by the American Society of Addiction Medicine. Respondent complied, and at his own expense, was evaluated by Daniel G. Lewis, M.D. On August 18, 2003, Dr. Lewis issued his report, which was certified by ASAM. Respondent provided the full, unredacted report to the State Bar immediately thereafter. Respondent also agreed to participate in a program of chemical dependency treatment, monitoring and testing, as approved by Dr. Lewis.

STATE BAR ETHICS SCHOOL.

Because respondent has agreed to attend State Bar Ethics School as part of this stipulation, he may receive Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit upon the satisfactory completion of State Bar Ethics School.

CALIFORNIA RULE 955 EXCLUSION.

It is not recommended that the California Supreme Court order Respondent to comply with the provisions of California Rule of Court 955 because he did so after he was placed on interim suspension on May 10, 2003. Respondent has not practiced law since that time.

OTHER CONDITIONS NEGOTIATED BY THE PARTIES.

Probation Monitor: Respondent shall be assigned a probation monitor for the first two years of the probation hereunder. If Respondent has been fully compliant with the

chemical dependency terms of probation at the conclusion of those two years, this requirement shall end. However, if Respondent fails to comply with even a single chemical dependency probation condition during the first two years of his probation, then the probation monitor shall remain assigned for the full three years of Respondent's probation.

Abstinence: During the entire period of probation herein, Respondent shall abstain from use of any alcoholic beverages, and shall not use or possess any narcotics, dangerous or restricted drugs, controlled substances, marijuana, or associated paraphernalia, except with a valid prescription.

Chemical Dependency Treatment, Monitoring and Testing Conditions: In the ASAM-certified report, Dr. Lewis stated, "By all accounts [Respondent] has been abstinent from alcohol since the DUI in March 2001." However, Dr. Lewis opined, "I recommend [Respondent] be involved with Chemical Dependency Treatment. It would not necessarily need to be day treatment, it could be evening or morning group treatment, 4-8 hours per week with required twelve-step meeting attendance and individual therapy as needed. I would recommend treatment be for a full year (hours per week could taper down gradually throughout the year). I recommend [Respondent] be required to attend a minimum of three Alcoholics Anonymous meetings per week for at least six months. [Para.] [Respondent] should have regular compliance monitoring through some entity familiar with monitoring professionals with substance abuse problems. This monitoring would include but not necessarily be limited to frequent urine testing (at least once or twice per month), verification of twelve-step attendance, reports/telephone check in with staff from the treatment programs regarding progress. . ."

In accordance with his recommendations, Dr. Lewis communicated with Tom Evans, M.D., the director of Maynard's Chemical Dependency Recovery Center ("Maynard CDRC"), an outpatient treatment, testing and monitoring program in Sacramento, and they determined that Respondent should participate in that program for a period of one year, beginning immediately. (A copy of the home page from Maynard CDRC is attached hereto.) Therefore, within ten calendar days from the date this stipulation is finally signed by all parties, Respondent shall commence the Maynard CDRC program, and within twenty calendar days, Respondent shall provide satisfactory written proof thereof from the Maynard CDRC program to the Probation Department of the State Bar. Respondent shall continue in the Maynard CDRC program thereafter for one full calendar year, and shall provide satisfactory written proof of compliance thereof from the Maynard program to the Probation Department of the State Bar, during each month of that year, on or before the tenth day of the following month.

If Respondent wishes to terminate his participation in the Maynard CDRC program before one full calendar year from the date he started, he shall comply with rules 550 to 554 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar before doing so. Respondent understands and acknowledges that any failure to comply with rules 550 to 554 before terminating his participation in the Maynard CDRC program may serve as grounds for revocation of probation.

No further action on criminal convictions in Virginia: Respondent was also convicted of misdemeanor violations of driving under the influence of alcohol in Virginia on April 7, 1997, December 18, 1998, and March 22, 1999. Since those convictions are considered as an aggravating circumstances in this stipulation, the State Bar will take no further action on these additional violations.

Waiver of rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure of the State Bar: The parties agree to waive the provisions of rule 135(b), and agree to be bound by the terms of this Stipulation, if approved without modification, and Order, as of the date of the filing of such Order by the State Bar Court hearing department.

State Bar statement of non-opposition to motion for relief from actual suspension: Upon the approval of the State Bar Court of this stipulation, the State Bar will not oppose any motion Respondent may file for relief from actual suspension. Respondent has been on interim suspension since May 10, 2003.

Treatment
Options

Maynard's Chemical Dependency Recovery Center

Home

Treatment Options

Treatment

After Care

20 Questions

About Us

Photo Tour

Contact Us

CALL
(800) 228-8200
HELP
24 hours a day
Email Us

- **Residential Treatment**

Maynard's Recovery Center is licensed and certified by the State of California, Department of Alcohol and Drugs and is accredited by the Commission on Accreditation of Rehabilitation Facilities (CARF). In addition to the finest in residential treatment, Maynard's offers other levels of care at our main facility near Sonoma and in outpa locations.

- **Day Treatment**

Day Treatment provides an intensive treatment experience for clients who need consistent, daily support, but are motivated and able to abstain from drugs and alcohol. Day Treatment includes many of the features of the Residential Program, while clients continue living at home or in a sober environment. This program is fully engaging and clients are not usually able to work during treatment.

- **Outpatient Programs**

Maynard's provides outpatient programs in Sacramento and Tuolumne. These programs are ideal for clients who can benefit from education and counseling while continuing to work and live at home. These locations offer free outpatient assessment, educational programs, treatment options and continuing care groups.

- **"D.O.T." Programs**

Maynard's understands the needs of clients who test positive in alcohol and drug testing programs at work. We offer specific educational programs - outpatient and residential for those who are covered under the regulations of the Department of Transportation (D.O.T.) We also offer help to those who want to do something before that positive happens.

- **Clean and Sober Living**

Date 9/16/2003

Steven R. Yount
Respondent's signature

STEVEN R. YOUNT
print name

Date _____

Respondent's Counsel's signature _____

MICHAEL E. WINE
print name

Date _____

Deputy Trial Counsel's signature _____

CYDNEY BATCHELOR
print name

ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 953(a), California Rules of Court.)

Date

Judge of the State Bar Court

Date

9/16/03

Date

9/14/03

Date

Respondent's signature

[Handwritten Signature]

Respondent's Counsel's signature

[Handwritten Signature]

Deputy Trial Counsel's signature

VEN R. YOUNT

print name

MICHAEL E. WINE

print name

CYDNEY BATCHELOR

print name

ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

See attached Modifications.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 953(a), California Rules of Court.)

Date

9/24/03

J. M. Runk
Judge of the State Bar Court

IN THE MATTER OF STEVEN R. YOUNT
Case No. 03-C-00600-JMR

COURT'S MODIFICATIONS TO STIPULATED FACTS,
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

1. On page 1, the caption shall provide that the Stipulation is submitted to the assigned judge. Following the settlement conference on July 14, 2003, the settlement conference order provides: "The parties are unable to compromise. Returned to assigned judge."
2. On page 1, under paragraph (A)(3), the Stipulation and order consist of 14 pages, including the court's modifications.
3. On page 5, under the section "Other conditions negotiated by the parties," the "x" in the box indicating a "Conditional Rule 995" requirement shall be deleted. There are no conditions or terms in the Stipulation under which Respondent may remain actually suspended for 90 days or more.
4. On page 8, under the section "Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties," the paragraph regarding the "Probation Monitor" condition shall be deleted. Respondent shall be assigned a probation monitor for the entire period of his probation as provided for on page 5, paragraph (5).

Dated: September 24, 2003


JOANN M. REMKE
Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on September 25, 2003, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

**STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION
AND ORDER APPROVING**

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

**MICHAEL E. WINE
3218 E HOLT AVE #100
WEST COVINA CA 91791**

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

CYDNEY BATCHELOR, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on **September 25, 2003.**



Bernadette C. O. Molina
Case Administrator
State Bar Court