State Bar Court of the State Bar of California Hearing Department Read Gele Read Republic Read Repub

Counsel for the State Bar		Case number(s)	(for Court's use)
Cydney Batchelor, #114637			
State Bar of California 180 Howard St.			
	Francisco, CA 94105		PUBLIC MATTER
	: 415/538-2204	22 2 2/67 75	
	•	03-C-2467-JMR	
		·	FILED
1.	el for Respondent	louilete 6 022 602 806	
	ome Fishkin, Esq., # 47798 Pine St., #627	kwiktag* 022 602 896	APR 1 9 2004
	Francisco, CA 94104	1 1888 88 1 18 2 1812 881 81 18 11 8 8118 1	STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
	e: 415/403-1300		SAN FRANCISCO
		Submitted to xx assigned jud	ige 🗌 settlement judge
in the	Matter of	STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIO	NS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ORDER APPROVING	
KAR	EN L. WITTE	REPROVAL 💥 PRIVATE	
Bar #	69545		
	nber of the State Bar of California	PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTI	:U
i (kespi	ondent)	<u> </u>	
A. Par	ties' Acknowledgments:	•	
(1) R	espondent is a member of the State I	Bar of California, admitted <u>June</u>	24, 1976 (date)
(2) Th	ne parties daree to be bound by the	factual stipulations contained herein	
	isposition are rejected or changed b		ever in conclusions of law of
(3) A	Il investigations or proceedings listed	by case number in the caption of thi	s stimulation are entirely resolved by
th	is stipulation, and are deemed cons	olidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s)	
st	ipulation and order consist of $\frac{9}{}$ p	pages.	
(4) A	statement of acts or omissions acknowledge	owledged by Respondent as cause of	r causes for discipline is included
	nder "Facts." See attach	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.7
	onclusions of law, drawn from and sp	pecifically referring to the facts are als	o included under "Conclusions of
Lo	lw." See attach	ment	
		g of this stipulation, Respondent has b	
þ	ending investigation/proceeding not	resolved by this stipulation, except fo	or criminal investigations.
(7) Po	ayment of Disciplinary Costs—Respor	ndent acknowledges the provisions of	Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 &
6	140.7. (Check one option only):		
	costs added to membership fee fo	or calendar year following effective da	le of discipline (public reproval)
x52	_		to available (pablic tobletal)
		ts for the following membership years:	•
			·
		or other good cause per rule 284, Ru	les of Procedure)
		inder "Partial Walver of Costs"	
	costs entirely waived		

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, shall be set forth in the text component of this stipulation under specific headings, i.e. "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law."

The parties understand that: (8)A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to (a) initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquires and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of (b) the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page. A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official (c) State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page. B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required. (1)☐ Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)] State Bar Court case # of prior case _____ (a) Date prior discipline effective (b) (cî Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: (d)degree of prior discipline If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or (e) under "Prior Discipline". (2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct. (3)Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.

(4)

Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.

(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.		
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.		
(7)	жжж	Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrong-doing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. See attached		
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.		
Adc	dition	al aggravating circumstances:		
	None	2.		
C.	Mitig	ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.		
(1)	XXX XXX	No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious. See attachment		
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.		
(3)	*XXX	Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation to the victims of his/ her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. See attachment.		
(4)	ж <mark>ж</mark> ж	Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct. See attachment.		
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to		
		without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.		
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.		
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.		
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.		
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.		
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.		
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal		

(12)			abilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional miscondu convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.	ct occurred followed	
(13)		No n	mitigating circumstances are involved.		
Add	ition	al miti	itigating circumstances:		
		See a	attachment.		
D. I	Disci	pline:			
(1)	X	KK KX	Private reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)		
			(a) Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court propublic disclosure).	oceedings (no	
<u>10</u>			(b) x Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court procedusclosure).	edings (public	
		٦			
(2)	Ļ		Public reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)		
E. (Conc	ditions	s Attached to Reproval:	•	
(1)		xx [X	Respondent shall comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of Two (2) years		
(2)		XZZ	During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent shall comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.		
(3)		xxxx	Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent shall report to the Membership Records Office and to the Probation Unit, all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number,		
		•	or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Busions Code.	Isiness and Profes-	
10, and October 10 of the condition period dent shall state whether respondent has conduct, and all conditions of the reprove		XXXX	10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under pendent shall state whether respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarte would cover less than thirty (30) days, that report shall be submitted on the next follows:	alty of perjury, respon- s of Professional er. If the first report	
			In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the condition period.		

(5)		Respondent shall be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent shall promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, respondent shall furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Probation Unit. Respondent shall cooperate fully with the monitor.		
(6)	ko kx	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent shall answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Probation Unit of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.		
(7)	xx £ k	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, respondent shall provide to the Probation Unit satisfactory proof of attendance of the Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session.		
		No Ethics School ordered.		
(8)	xx <u>k</u>]	Respondent shall comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and shall so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report required to be filed with the Probation Unit.		
(9)		Respondent shall provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Probation Unit of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel within one year of the effective date of the reproval. No MPRE ordered. See attachment.		
(10)		The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:		
	٠.	☐ Substance Abuse Conditions ☐ Law Office Management Conditions		
		☐ Medical Conditions ☐ Financial Conditions		
(11)	ж <mark>ж</mark> ж	Other conditions negotiated by the parties:		
		See attachment.		

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

KAREN L. WITTE

CASE NUMBER(S):

03-C-2467-JMR

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Procedural Background: This is a proceeding pursuant to sections 6101 and 6102 of the Business and Professions Code and rule 951 of the California Rules of Court. On July 9, 2003, Respondent was convicted of two misdemeanor violations of Vehicle Code section 23152(a), for offenses that occurred on December 14, 2002 and March 9, 2003. On February 4, 2004, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order referring the matter to the Hearing Department for a hearing and decision recommending the discipline to be imposed in the event that the Hearing Department found that the facts and circumstances surrounding the conviction involved moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline.

<u>Facts</u>: On December 14, 2002, Respondent was arrested for violating sections 23152(a) and 23152(b) of the Vehicle Code in Marin County. Before the first case was resolved, Respondent was arrested a second time for the same offenses on March 9, 3003. On July 9, 2003, Respondent plead guilty to two misdemeanor violations of Vehicle Code section 23152(a). As a result of her plea, Respondent was placed on three years probation.

<u>Conclusions of Law</u>: The facts and circumstances surrounding Respondent's violations of California Vehicle Code section 23152 (a) do not involve moral turpitude, but do involve other conduct warranting discipline. The Respondent acknowledges that by the conduct described herein, she willfully violated Business and Professions Code section 6068(a).

NEXUS BETWEEN MISCONDUCT AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE.

Respondent states that she was under the influence of alcohol at the time all the above misconduct occurred, and that she suffers from a chemical dependency (alcohol) problem.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(6), was March 12, 2003.

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Multiple Acts of Misconduct: Respondent was found culpable of two separate incidents of driving under the influence of alcohol within a three-month period of time.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Facts Supporting Mitigating Circumstances.

No prior record of discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline since being admitted to practice in California 28 years ago, in 1976.

Candor and cooperation: Respondent has been completely candid and cooperative with the State Bar during its investigation and resolution of these cases.

Objective Steps Promptly Taken: Respondent immediately entered guilty pleas both her criminal cases, and immediately admitted to both criminal courts and the State Bar that she had a chemical dependency (alcohol) problem.

Additional Mitigating Circumstances.

Compliance with Criminal Probation: Respondent has complied with her probation conditions in the criminal proceedings which underlie the conviction referral here.

Substance Abuse Treatment: As noted above, Respondent accepted responsibility for her misconduct by electing not to challenge any of the criminal charges, but to enter pleas by which she accepted full responsibility. The following month, in April 2003, Respondent contacted the State Bar to inquire how best to address her chemical dependency problem. The State Bar referred her to the Lawyer Assistance Program (LAP). The same day, Respondent called LAP and signed a pre-enrollment assessment agreement. At the conclusion of the LAP evaluation, Respondent met with its Evaluation Committee, and then entered into a long-term participation agreement with LAP on July 17, 2003. Respondent has been in full compliance with LAP since that date.

OTHER REPROVAL CONDITIONS.

Participation in State Bar Lawyer's Assistance Program. On July 17, 2003, Respondent voluntarily entered into a participation agreement with the LAP ("the participation agreement"), which includes conditions regarding substance abuse testing, monitoring and treatment. Respondent shall comply with the terms of the participation agreement, as the participation agreement may be modified by Respondent and the LAP from time to time, and shall furnish satisfactory evidence of such compliance to the Probation Unit. Respondent shall include in each quarterly and final report required herein satisfactory evidence of all such compliance made by her during that reporting period.

3/19/04 Date	Respondent's signature	KAREN L. WITTE
3 15 04 Date	Respondent's Counsel's signature	<u> JEROME FISHKIN</u> print name
3/30/04	Deputy Trial Counsel's signature	CYDNEY BATCHELOR print name
	ORDER	

Finding that the stipulation protects the public and that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions attached to the reproval, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.



The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSED.

On page 7, The disclosure date is March 17, 2004, not 2003.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after service of this order.

Failure to comply with any conditions attached to this reproval may constitute cause for a separate proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct.

4/19/04 Date

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on April 19, 2004, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

JEROME FISHKIN
369 PINE ST #627
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94104

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

CYDNEY BATCHELOR, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on April 19, 2004.

Laine Silber
Case Administrator

State Bar Court