

<p>Counsel for the State Bar OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL ENFORCEMENT ERIC H. HSU, SBN 213039 1149 S. HILL STREET LOS ANGELES, CA 90015-2299 TELEPHONE: (213) 765-1247 FACSIMILE: (213) 765-1442</p>	<p>Case number(s) 03-H-01621</p>	<p>(for Court's use) FILED FEB 11 2004 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES</p>
<p>Counsel for Respondent IN PROPER, JOHN M. HARRISON, ESQ. P.O. BOX 555 NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92661 TELEPHONE: (949) 633-0189 FACSIMILE: (949) 675-9191</p>	<p>kwiktag® 035 115 375 </p>	
<p>In the Matter of JOHN M. HARRISON Bar # 144964 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)</p>	<p>Submitted to <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> assigned judge <input type="checkbox"/> settlement judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION <input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED</p>	

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted DECEMBER 13, 1989
(date)
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation, and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation and order consist of 13 pages.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law."
- (6) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (7) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
 - costs added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline
 - costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years:

(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)
 - costs waived in part as set forth under "Partial Waiver of Costs"
 - costs entirely waived

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, shall be set forth in the text component of this stipulation under specific headings, i.e. "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law."

B. Aggravating Circumstances [i.e. definition, see Standards for Attorney Conduct for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b).] Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

(1) Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]

(a) State Bar Court case # of prior case 00-0-11519, 00-0-11562

(b) date prior discipline effective OCTOBER 14, 2001

(c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: RULES 4-100(A), 4-100(B)(2),

AND 3-110 (A) OF THE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT ; BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS

CODE SECTION 606B, SUBDIVISION (i).

(d) degree of prior discipline PUBLIC REPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS .

(e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or under "Prior Discipline".

(2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.

(3) Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.

(4) Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.

(5) Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.

(6) Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.

(7) Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.

(8) No aggravating circumstances are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

- C. Mitigating Circumstances [see Standard 1.2(e).] Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.
- (1) No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
 - (2) No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
 - (3) Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation to the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
 - (4) Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
 - (5) Restitution: Respondent paid \$ _____ on _____ in restitution to _____ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
 - (6) Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
 - (7) Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
 - (8) Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
 - (9) Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
 - (10) Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
 - (11) Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
 - (12) Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
 - (13) No mitigating circumstances are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

D. Discipline

1. Stayed Suspension.

A. Respondent shall be suspended from the practice of law for a period of ONE (1) YEAR.

- i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
- ii. and until Respondent pays restitution to _____ [payee(s)] (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate), in the amount of _____, plus 10% per annum accruing from _____ and provides proof thereof to the Probation Unit, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel
- iii. and until Respondent does the following: _____

B. The above-referenced suspension shall be stayed.

2. Probation.

Respondent shall be placed on probation for a period of TWO (2) YEARS which shall commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein. (See rule 953, California Rules of Court.)

E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) During the probation period, Respondent shall comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (2) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent shall report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Probation Unit, all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (3) Respondent shall submit written quarterly reports to the Probation Unit on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, respondent shall state whether respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report shall be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (4) Respondent shall be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent shall promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, respondent shall furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Probation Unit. Respondent shall cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (5) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent shall answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Probation Unit of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.

- (6) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline, respondent shall provide to the Probation Unit satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- No Ethics School recommended.
- (7) Respondent shall comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and shall so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Probation Unit.
- (8) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
- Substance Abuse Conditions Law Office Management Conditions (SEE PAGE 12)
- Medical Conditions Financial Conditions
- (9) Other conditions negotiated by the parties:
- RESPONDENT SHALL FILE HIS QUARTERLY REPORTS AND CPA REPORTS WHICH WERE DUE BY JANUARY 10, 2003, APRIL 10, 2003, AND APRIL 14, 2003 (FINAL REPORTS FOR CASE NUMBERS 00-0-11519 AND 00-0-11562) BY DECEMBER 30, 2003.

Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent shall provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Probation Unit of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel within one year. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 951(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.*

No MPRE recommended.

* RESPONDENT WAS ORDERED TO TAKE AND PASS THE MPRE IN RELATION TO CASE NUMBERS 00-0-11519 AND 00-0-11562, AND RESPONDENT FAILED TO DO SO. HIS PASSAGE OF THE MPRE ONCE WILL SATISFY THIS REQUIREMENT IN RELATION TO THOSE CASES AND AS TO THIS MATTER.

In the Matter of

Case Number(s):

JOHN M. HARRISON (BAR No. 144964)

03-H-01621

A Member of the State Bar

Financial Conditions

- a. Respondent shall pay restitution to _____ [payee(s)] (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate), in the amount(s) of _____, plus 10% interest per annum accruing from _____, and provide proof thereof to the Probation Unit, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel,
- no later than _____
- or
- on the payment schedule set forth on the attachment under "Financial Conditions, Restitution."
- b. 1. If respondent possesses client funds at any time during the period covered by a required quarterly report, respondent shall file with each required report a certificate from respondent and/or a certified public accountant or other financial professional approved by the Probation Unit, certifying that:
- a. respondent has maintained a bank account in a bank authorized to do business in the State of California, at a branch located within the State of California, and that such account is designated as a "Trust Account" or "Clients' Funds Account";
 - b. respondent has kept and maintained the following:
 - i. a written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 1. the name of such client;
 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
 4. the current balance for such client.
 - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 1. the name of such account;
 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 3. the current balance in such account.
 - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
 - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
 - c. respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
 - i. each item of security and property held;
 - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
 - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
 - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
 - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
2. If respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Probation Unit for that reporting period. In this circumstance, respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.
3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.
- c. Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, respondent shall supply to the Probation Unit satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

(Financial Conditions form approved by SBC Executive Committee 10/16/00)

ATTACHMENT TO
STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: JOHN M. HARRISON (Bar # 144964)

CASE NUMBER(S): 03-H-01621

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

I. Facts.

Respondent JOHN M. HARRISON (“Respondent”) admits that the following facts are true, and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct, as set forth below:

1. Respondent was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on December 13, 1989, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California (“State Bar”).
2. On or about September 17, 2001, Respondent entered into a “Stipulation re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition” (“Stipulation”) with the State Bar in case number 00-O-11519-AIN (consolidated with case number 00-O-11562).
3. On or about September 28, 2001, the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court filed an order (“Order”) approving the Stipulation and imposing upon Respondent a public reproof with conditions.
4. On or about September 28, 2001, the Order was properly served by mail upon Respondent.
5. The Order and the public reproof became effective on October 14, 2001.
6. Pursuant to the Order, Respondent was required to comply with certain terms and conditions attached to the public reproof, including the following conditions:

- a. to comply with the State Bar Act and the Rules of Professional Conduct during the condition period attached to the reproof;
 - b. to submit to the Probation Unit written quarterly reports each January 10, April 10, July 10 and October 10 of each year or part thereof during the condition period attached to the reproof, certifying under penalty of perjury that Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproof during the preceding calendar quarter or part thereof covered by the report;
 - c. to file with each written quarterly report, set forth in the above subparagraph (b), a certificate that Respondent has complied with financial conditions as set forth at page 6 of the Stipulation, in addition to those requirements set forth in rule 4-100 of the Rules of Professional Conduct;
 - d. to provide to the Probation Unit proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, by October 14, 2002; and
 - e. to provide to the Probation Unit satisfactory proof of attendance of a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School and passage of the test given at the end of that session, by October 14, 2002.
7. On or about November 16, 2001, Probation Deputy Shuntinee Brinson ("Ms. Brinson") of the Probation Unit of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California ("Probation Unit") wrote a letter to Respondent in which Ms. Brinson reminded Respondent of the terms and conditions of the public reproof imposed upon Respondent pursuant to the Order. In that November 16, 2001 letter, Ms. Brinson specifically advised Respondent that his first quarterly report with financial conditions was due by January 10, 2002, and that Respondent must complete by October 18, 2002 (although the Hearing Department has required Respondent's compliance "prior to October 14, 2002")¹, the following: Client Trust Account Record-keeping Course, State Bar Ethics School Course, and Multi-state Professional Responsibility Examination. Enclosed with that November 16, 2001 letter to Respondent was a copy of the portion of the Stipulation setting forth the conditions of Respondent's reproof, a

¹ "Order Modifying Conditions of Reproof," at 1, fn. 1, filed on February 21, 2003.

Quarterly Report Instructions sheet, a Quarterly Report form specially tailored for Respondent to use to submit his quarterly reports, and a schedule of the upcoming Multi State Professional Responsibility Examination.

8. Ms. Brinson's November 16, 2001 letter to Respondent was mailed on or about November 16, 2001 via the United States Postal Service, first class postage prepaid, in a sealed envelope addressed to Respondent at his official State Bar membership records address; that letter was not returned as undeliverable by the United States Postal Service.
9. Respondent received the November 16, 2001 letter from Ms. Brinson.
10. Except the first quarterly report, which was due by and filed on January 10, 2002, each of the subsequent quarterly reports required of Respondent was filed late: Respondent filed his quarterly report due by April 10, 2002 on May 2, 2002; Respondent filed his quarterly report due by July 10, 2002 on July 11, 2002; Respondent filed his quarterly report due by October 10, 2002 on December 3, 2002; and Respondent's quarterly report due by January 10, 2003 was received on February 24, 2003, but it was not filed.
11. On February 20, 2003, the Honorable Alban I. Niles, Judge of the State Bar Court, upon Respondent's untimely² motion for an extension of time to comply with the MPRE condition and the State Bar's Trust Account Record-keeping Course condition, ordered that Respondent's monitoring period attached to the reproof be extended by six months (until April 14, 2003) and that Respondent shall have until that time to submit proof of passage of the MPRE and completion of client trust account school. Judge Niles further ordered Respondent to file quarterly reports and CPA reports for January and April of 2003, in addition to compliance with all other conditions attached to Respondent's reproof.³
12. To date, Respondent has failed to file that quarterly report and CPA report due by January 10, 2003.

² "Order Modifying Conditions of Reproof," at 1, fn. 1, filed on February 21, 2003.

³ *Id.*, at 1:22-2:2.

13. To date, Respondent has failed to file that quarterly report and CPA report due by April 10, 2003.
14. To date, Respondent has failed to file that final report and final CPA report due by April 14, 2003.
15. To date, Respondent has failed to submit to the Probation Unit proof of passage of the MPRE due by April 14, 2003.

II. Conclusions of Law.

- A. By failing to timely file his quarterly reports and submit proof of having passed the MPRE by October 14, 2002, Respondent has failed to comply with the terms and conditions of the public reproof imposed by the September 28, 2001 Order of the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court, in wilful violation of rule 1-110 of the Rules of Professional Conduct.
- B. By failing to submit proof of his passage of the MPRE by April 14, 2003, by failing to file his quarterly reports and CPA reports for January and April of 2003, and by failing to file his final reports by April 14, 2003, as modified by the February 21, 2003 Order of the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court, Respondent has failed to obey the February 21, 2003 Order of the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court, in wilful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6103.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to on page one, at paragraph A(6), was December 22, 2003.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

“Attorneys have a duty, under rule 1-110 of the Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar [(“rule 1-110”)], to comply with the conditions attached to any private or public reproof imposed on them by the State Bar Court.” (*In the Matter of Meyer* (Review Dept. 1997) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 697, 701.) An attorney’s filing of quarterly reports is “an important step towards the attorney’s rehabilitation” and it serves as a means of protecting the public because it allows the State Bar to monitor that attorney’s compliance with the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct. (*Id.*, at 705.)

In *Conroy v. State Bar* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 799, the Supreme Court adopted the Review Department's unanimous recommendation that an attorney receive one-year stayed suspension and one-year probation, conditioned on a 60-day actual suspension, for his failure to comply with the Professional Responsibility Examination ("PRE") condition attached to an underlying private reproof. The Court did not consider that attorney's belatedly passage of the PRE as significant in mitigation. In aggravation, the Court considered three circumstances: that attorney's prior record of discipline (in which the PRE condition was imposed); that attorney's failure to participate in the disciplinary proceeding; and that attorney's lack of understanding of the gravity of his earlier misdeeds and the import of the State Bar's regulatory functions.

In *Meyer, supra*, 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 697, the attorney received a two-year stayed suspension and three years of probation, conditioned on his actual suspension during the first 90 days, for failing to file two quarterly reports and for failing to timely provide proof of completion of six hours of continuing legal education. There was no mitigating circumstance. In aggravation, Meyer had two prior records of discipline (Meyer's first prior record involved one client matter; Meyer's second prior record involved his failure to comply with conditions attached to his private reproof imposed in the first prior record), committed multiple acts of wrongdoing, failed to rectify his misconduct, and failed to cooperate and participate in the proceeding.

In the present matter, Respondent failed to file two quarterly reports and a final report, along with the attendant CPA report for each respective reporting period, and he failed to submit to the Probation Unit proof of his passage of the MPRE by the extended due-date of April 14, 2003. In aggravation, Respondent has one prior record of discipline, from which the present proceeding arose. In mitigation, Respondent has been cooperative with the State Bar during this disciplinary proceeding.

Accordingly, the one-year stayed suspension and two-year probation, without any actual time of suspension, is an appropriate level of discipline, when conditioned upon Respondent's compliance with the MPRE condition (see page 5 herein), the Financial Conditions (see page 6 herein), the Law Office Management Conditions (see page 7 herein), and such other conditions as negotiated by the parties and memorialized in paragraph E of this Stipulation.

STATE BAR ETHICS SCHOOL EXCLUSION.

It is not recommended that Respondent attend State Bar Ethics School ("Ethics School") since Respondent has successfully completed Ethics School on September 19, 2002 in connection with case numbers 00-O-11519 and 00-O-11562.

LAW OFFICE MANAGEMENT CONDITIONS.

Within twelve (12) months of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent shall submit to the Probation Unit satisfactory evidence of completion of no less than six (6) hours of Minimum Continuing Legal Education ("MCLE") approved courses in law office management. Respondent must personally attend such course(s) and submit proof of participatory credits received. This requirement is separate from any MCLE requirement, and Respondent shall not receive MCLE credit for attending these courses. (Rule 3201, Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.)

1-16-04
Date


Respondent's signature

John H. Hsu
print name

N/A
Date

N/A
Respondent's Counsel's signature

N/A
print name

Jan. 20, 2004
Date


Deputy Trial Counsel's signature

ERIC H. HSU
print name


ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 953(a), California Rules of Court.)

2/10/04
Date

RICHARD A. HONN 
Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on February 11, 2004, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

**STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION
AND ORDER APPROVING, filed February 11, 2004**

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:


- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

**JOHN M HARRISON ESQ
HARRISON & ASSOCIATES
P O BOX 555
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92661**

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Eric H. Hsu, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on **February 11, 2004**.



Julieta E. Gonzales
Case Administrator
State Bar Court