PUBLIC MATTER

1	THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
٦	OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL
2	SCOTT J. DREXEL, No. 65670 CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL
3	CHARLES MURRAY, No. 146069
	BROOKE A. SCHAFER, No. 194824
4	DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL
	1149 South Hill Street
5	Los Angeles, California 90015-2299
6	Telephone: (213) 765-1000
١	
7	
8	THE STATE BAR COURT
9	ALTERNATIVE DISCIPLINE PROGRAM - LOS A
	0093
10	In the Matter of) Case No. 03-O-9950-R

JUN 1 9 2006 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE

ALTERNATIVE D	ISCIPLINE PROGRAM - LOS ANGELES
In the Matter of) Case No. 03-0-9950-RAH
IRA COHEN, No. 79888)) STIPULATION FOR IMPOSITION OF) PRACTICE RESTRICTIONS AS CONDITION
A Member of the State Bar) OF ADP
)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED between the State Bar of California through Deputy Trial Counsel Brooke Schafer, and Respondent, Ira Cohen, assisted by his counsel JoAnne Earls Robbins, as follows:

1. JURISDICTION

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Respondent was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on June 23, 1978, and was at all times relevant hereto a member of the State Bar of California.

It is the intention of the parties to enter into a stipulation that Respondent be subject

2. PROCEEDINGS INVOLVED

to certain pra
ctice restrictions, pursuant to the terms of his Alternative Discipline Program (ADP) Contract
sections 9 and 13, which was signed on January 21, 2005, and pursuant to Business and
Professions Code section 6233.

// kwiktag* 022 603 64

3. <u>WAIVERS</u>, ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND AGREEMENTS OF THE PARTIES

It is understood, acknowledged and agreed by the parties to this Stipulation that:

- i. Respondent has admitted to have not been cooperating with the State Bar in open investigations, namely with respect to case nos. 05-O-4634 and 06-O-10677;
- ii. Respondent waives a formal hearing before a Judge of the State Bar Court to determine whether good cause exists to impose practice restrictions;
- iii. this Stipulation does not dispose of any disciplinary matter now pending against Respondent, nor does it constitute discipline;
- iv. if this Stipulation is approved by a Judge of the State Bar Court, the parties expressly waive their right of review pursuant to Rule 301 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar Court and any right of appeal to the Supreme Court of California authorized pursuant to Rules 952, 952.5 and 953 of the California Rules of Court. The parties understand that the Order approving this Stipulation will result in Respondent's becoming subject to these practice restrictions immediately after service of the Order;
- v. execution of this Stipulation by the parties hereto does not constitute an agreement or recommendation to abate any pending disciplinary matters pending against Respondent, nor does it obviate any other duties and responsibilities Respondent owes to the State Bar Court and/or Lawyer Assistance Program;
- vi. upon becoming subject to the practice restrictions imposed hereby, Respondent will remain subject to the restrictions until such time as the Court orders the remedies to be terminated;
- vii. this stipulation does not preclude the underlying failures to cooperate to be cause for termination from the ADP, or to be cause to be placed on inactive status.

 This stipulation may be used in any subsequent ADP proceeding.

viii. Respondent understands that violation of this stipulation can by itself form the basis for increased sanctions within his ADP participation, including but not limited to termination from the Program.

4. <u>RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION</u>

It is recommended that Respondent be subject to the following practice restrictions pursuant to the terms of his ADP contract at sections 9 and 13, and of Business and Professions Code section 6233, and that he remain subject to these restrictions until further order of the Court:

- a. Respondent shall cooperate with the State Bar in all outstanding disciplinary investigations where he has not yet done so;
 - b. Respondent shall not handle or possess client funds;
 - c. Respondent shall continue compliance with this ADP contract;
- d. Respondent shall not contract or advertise in his own name, or in the name of his own law firm, for any legal representation of others. "Contract" includes written or oral agreements made directly with a client to perform legal services for that client, for compensation or not, but does not include that work allowed under part (e) of this section, below:

e. Respondent must at all times associate with at least one other attorney. Nothing in this stipulation shall preclude Respondent from doing legal work for others as a non-primary attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

DATED:

June 19, 2006

By:

BROOKE A. SCHAF Deputy Trial Counsel

1		
2	IRA COHEN	
4	2 A C	
5	DATED: 6-19-06 By OFFICE VS CONTY	
6	Counsel for Respondent	
7		
8		
9		
10	In re Ira Cohen,) Case no. 03-O-00950	
11	bar no. 79888)	
12		
13	PROPOSEE ORDER	
14		
15	Finding the above Stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects to public, IT IS ORDERED that the Stipulation is APPROVED	he
15 16	Finding the above Stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects to public, IT IS ORDERED that the Stipulation is APPROVED	he
15 16 17	Dated 6/19 ,2006	he
15 16 17 18	1/19	he
15 16 17 18 19	Dated 6/19 ,2006	he
15 16 17 18 19 20	Dated 6/19 ,2006	he
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Dated 6/19 ,2006	he
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dated 6/19 ,2006	he
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated 6/19 ,2006	he
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dated 6/19 ,2006	he
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dated 6/19 ,2006	he
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Dated 6/19 ,2006	he

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on June 21, 2006, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION FOR IMPOSITION OF PRACTICE RESTRICTIONS AS CONDITION OF ADP; ORDER

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

JOANNE E ROBBINS ATTORNEY AT LAW KARPMAN & ASSOCIATES 9200 SUNSET BLVD PH #7 LOS ANGELES, CA 90069

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Brooke A. Schafer, Enforcement, Los Angeles

Terrie L. Goldade, Office of Probation, Los Angeles

Membership Records Department, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on June 21, 2006.

Julieta E. Gonzáles Case Administrator

State Bar Court