

FILED

MAR 28 2013
STATE BAR COURT
CLERK'S OFFICE
LOS ANGELES

STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
HEARING DEPARTMENT – LOS ANGELES

In the Matter of) Case No.: **03-O-02352**
))
) **(S187650)**
MANSFIELD COLLINS,)
) **ORDER**
)
Member No. 104049)
)
A Member of the State Bar.)

On February 8, 2013, respondent Mansfield Collins filed a motion for a modification of the terms of his probation to again extend the time for the payment of restitution and to modify the payee of the restitution. The State Bar's Office of Probation opposes the extension of time but agrees that the payee should be modified.¹

The basis for the restitution is a judgment against respondent that was entered in February 2005. He has made comparatively minimal payments on the judgment since then. Respondent reports current income of over \$127,000 per year. The court recognizes that respondent's health problems and his discipline have impacted his earning ability. Nevertheless, respondent was given a prior extension of time to pay and his current earnings are substantial. The court therefore concludes that respondent has failed to show that the requested modification is consistent with the protection of the public, his rehabilitation, and the maintenance of the integrity of the profession. (Rules Proc. of State Bar , rule 5.300.) No good cause having been shown, the motion for a further extension of time to pay the restitution is denied.

¹ The opposition was filed one day late. Respondent requests that the court not consider the opposition or give him an opportunity to reply to it. The request is denied. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.300 [no provision for a reply as a matter of right]; State Bar Ct. Rules of Prac., rule 1112(b) [consideration of an untimely pleading is discretionary].)

Respondent requests that the probation term requiring that he pay the restitution through a collection account at First American Title be modified. The Office of Probation agrees. Good cause is shown to modify the payee of the restitution. However, the Office of Probation's request that respondent pay Malte Farnaes until notified otherwise by the Tenorio brothers is denied.

The probation is modified to provide that until otherwise notified in writing by the Office of Probation, respondent is to pay the restitution owed to California attorney Malte Farnaes on behalf of the Tenorio brothers.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 27, 2013



RICHARD A. HONN
Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on March 28, 2013, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

ORDER

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

MANSFIELD COLLINS ESQ
LAW OFFICE OF MANSFIELD
COLLINS
3055 WILSHIRE BLVD STE 600-B
LOS ANGELES, CA 90010


Courtesy copy:

MANSFIELD COLLINS ESQ
LAW OFFICE OF MANSFIELD COLLINS
3055 WILSHIRE BLVD STE 600
LOS ANGELES, CA 90010

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Terrie Goldade, Office of Probation, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on March 28, 2013.



Julieta E. Gonzales
Case Administrator
State Bar Court