

(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California		
Hearing Department <input type="checkbox"/> Los Angeles		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> San Francisco
<b>Counsel for the State Bar</b> MARK HARTMAN Office of the Chief Trial Counsel 180 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 538-2558 Bar # 114925	<b>Case number(s)</b>  04-C-12866-JMR  kwiktag® 022 605 553 	<b>(for Court's use)</b>  <b>PUBLIC MATTER</b>  <b>FILED</b> <i>pm</i>  JAN 20 2006  STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO
<input type="checkbox"/> Counsel for Respondent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Pro Per, Respondent RONALD LEON HOLMES 212 West Yosemite Ave., Ste.C Manteca, CA 95336 Telephone: (209) 239-1892  Bar # 91240	<b>Submitted to</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> assigned judge <input type="checkbox"/> settlement judge	<b>STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING</b>
<b>In the Matter of</b> RONALD LEON HOLMES  Bar # 91240  A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	<b>REPROVAL</b> <input type="checkbox"/> PRIVATE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC  <input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

### A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted June 5, 1979  
(date)
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation, and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation and order consist of 10 pages.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law."
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
- (a)  costs added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public reproof)
  - (b)  case ineligible for costs (private reproof)
  - (c)  costs to be paid in equal amounts for the following membership years:  
\_\_\_\_\_ (hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)
  - (d)  costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs"
  - (e)  costs entirely waived

(9) The parties understand that:

- (a)  A private reproof imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproof was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.
- (b)  A private reproof imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
- (c)  A public reproof imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.

**B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts Supporting Aggravating Circumstances are required.**

- (1)  Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]
- (a)  State Bar Court case # of prior case \_\_\_\_\_
  - (b)  Date prior discipline effective \_\_\_\_\_
  - (c)  Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
  - (d)  Degree of prior discipline \_\_\_\_\_

(Do not write above this line.)

- (e)  If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline".
- (2)  **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3)  **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4)  **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5)  **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6)  **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7)  **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8)  **No aggravating circumstances are involved.**

Additional aggravating circumstances:

**C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.**

- (1)  **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2)  **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3)  **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4)  **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (5)  Restitution: Respondent paid \$ \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ in restitution to \_\_\_\_\_ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6)  Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7)  Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8)  Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9)  Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10)  Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11)  Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12)  Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13)  No mitigating circumstances are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

Respondent acted out of anger when he struck attorney Thomas Hogan ("Hogan") in a hallway outside the Stanislaus County Superior Court. Respondent apologized to the judge outside whose courtroom the confrontation occurred. Respondent withdrew from the case in which Hogan represented the opposing party. Respondent paid for Hogan's medical expenses resulting from the confrontation and has subsequently stayed away from Hogan.

(Do not write above this line.)

**D. Discipline:**

- (1)  Private reproof (check applicable conditions, if any, below)
  - (a)  Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).
  - (b)  Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).

or

- (2)  Public reproof (check applicable conditions, if any, below)

**E. Conditions Attached to Reproof:**

- (1)  Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproof for a period of one (1) year
- (2)  During the condition period attached to the reproof, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3)  Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4)  Within 30 days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5)  Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproof. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproof during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and, if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than thirty (30) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date and cover the extended period.  
  
In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period.
- (6)  Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (7)  Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproof.
- (8)  Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance of the Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- No Ethics School ordered. Reason: \_\_\_\_\_
- (9)  Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report required to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10)  Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reproof.
- No MPRE ordered. Reason: The current case does not require it.
- (11)  The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions         | <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions             |

**F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:**

<p>In the Matter of</p> <p><b>RONALD LEON HOLMES</b> No. 91240,</p> <p>A Member of the State Bar.</p>	<p><b>Case No. 04-C-12866-JMR</b></p> <p><b>STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND DISPOSITION</b></p>
---	---

**FACTS**

On March 24, 2004, respondent Ronald Leon Holmes ("respondent") confronted attorney Thomas Hogan ("Hogan") in a hallway outside the Stanislaus County Superior Court. Hogan accused respondent of presenting fraudulent evidence to the court. Respondent denied the accusation and punched ~~Holmes~~. *Hogan*.

Respondent acted out of anger when he struck Hogan. Respondent apologized to the judge outside whose courtroom the confrontation occurred. Respondent withdrew from the case in which Hogan represented the opposing party. Respondent paid for Hogan's medical expenses resulting from the confrontation and has subsequently stayed away from Hogan.

As a result of the confrontation, respondent was charged with misdemeanor battery under Penal Code section 242. On June 10, 2004, respondent pleaded nolo contendere to the charge. He paid a \$100 fine and was sentenced to three years' informal probation.

**CONCLUSION OF LAW**

By violating Penal Code section 242, respondent engaged in misconduct warranting discipline.

**DATE OF DISCLOSURE OF ANY PENDING INVESTIGATION OR PROCEEDING**

On December 8, 2005, the State Bar mailed respondent a letter disclosing any pending investigation or proceeding not resolved by this stipulation.

### **ESTIMATED PROSECUTION COST OF THE CURRENT CASE**

The estimated prosecution cost of the current case is \$1,636.00. This sum is only an estimate. If this stipulation is rejected or if relief from this stipulation is granted, the prosecution cost of the current case may increase because of the cost of further proceedings.

### **SUPPORTING AUTHORITY**

The Rules of Procedure of the State Bar, Title IV, Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standards 1.3, 1.4, and 3.4 support the discipline in this stipulation.

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of RONALD LEON HOLMES No. 91240  A Member of the State Bar	Case number(s):  04-C-12866-JMR
--	---------------------------------------

### SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

12/15/05  
Date

*Ronald L. Holmes*  
Respondent's signature

RONALD LEON HOLMES  
Print name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Respondent's Counsel's signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Print name

12/21/05  
Date

*Mark Hartman*  
Deputy Trial Counsel's signature

MARK HARTMAN  
Print name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of RONALD LEON HOLMES, No. 91240  A Member of the State Bar.	Case number(s):  04-C-12866-JMR
--	---------------------------------------

## ORDER

Finding that the stipulation protects the public and that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions attached to the reproof, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSED.
- All court dates in the Hearing Department are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 125(b), Rules of Procedure.) Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after service of this order.

Failure to comply with any conditions attached to this reproof may constitute cause for a separate proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct.

1/20/06  
Date

  
Judge of the State Bar Court

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on January 20, 2006, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

**STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION  
AND ORDER APPROVING**

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- [X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

**RONALD LEON HOLMES  
212 W YOSEMITE AVE #C  
MANTECA CA 95336**

- [X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

**MARK HARTMAN, Enforcement, San Francisco**

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on **January 20, 2006.**



---

**Bernadette C. O. Molina**  
Case Administrator  
State Bar Court