kwiktag® 022 603 708

FILED

JUL 10 2006

STATE BAR COURT
CLERK'S OFFICE
LOS ANGELES

REVIEW DEPARTMENT OF THE STATE BAR COURT

IN BANK

In the Matter of	)	04-C-13590
	)	
MARILLA L. ROSS,	)	ORDER
	)	
A Member of the State Bar.	)	
	)	

On the review department's own motion, the type-scripted opinion on review filed June 29, 2006, is hereby modified as set forth below.

1. On page 1, lines 11 and 12 of the opinion's text are deleted so that the paragraph ends with the sentence:

> The record leads us to conclude that respondent has an unresolved problem which has led her twice to violate criminal laws in contacts with law enforcement officers which started out in a routine manner but which respondent quickly escalated.

2. On page 8, line 4 is modified by adding, at the end of the sentence on line 4, "as a condition of probation should this court grant a motion brought by respondent to terminate her actual suspension at the conclusion of the recommended 30-day suspension. (See Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 205(a) & (g).)" The sentence on line four thus reads:

> Thus, respondent's conduct also warrants a requirement of psychiatric treatment as a condition of probation should this court grant a motion brought by respondent to terminate her actual suspension at the conclusion of the recommended 30-day suspension. (See Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 205(a) & (g).)

3. On pages 8 through 10, part III of the opinion is changed to read:

> For the reasons discussed above, we recommend that respondent Marilla L. Ross be suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for two years and until respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, present fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard

1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of that suspension be stayed, and that respondent be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for 30 days and until:

(1) she files and the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate her actual suspension under rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar; and

(2) if the period of her actual suspension reaches or exceeds two years, she shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, present fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law in accordance with standard 1.4(c)(ii).

We also recommend, in accordance with rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar, that the State Bar Court be authorized to place respondent on probation for a specified period of time and that respondent be ordered to comply with such probation conditions that are reasonably related to the misconduct found in this proceeding and that are imposed on her by the State Bar Court as a condition for the termination of her actual suspension.

A. PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY EXAMINATION

We further recommend that respondent be ordered to take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners within one year after the effective date of the Supreme Court order imposing discipline in this matter or within the period of actual suspension, whichever is longer, and to provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within that same period.

B. RULE 955 OF THE CALIFORNIA RULES OF COURT

We further recommend that, if respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, respondent be ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and to perform the acts specified in paragraphs (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's order in this proceeding.

C. COSTS

We further recommend that costs be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

There is no change in the judgment.

Stoner

Presiding Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on July 10, 2006, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

ORDER FILED JULY 10, 2006

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

MARILLA L. ROSS
SYNERGY ENTERTAINMENT GROUP
PO BOX 755
SANTA BARBARA, CA 93102

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

DIANE J. MEYERS, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on **July 10, 2006**.

Rosalie Ruiz
Case Administrator
State Bar Court