

State Bar Court of California Hearing Department 🗆 Los Angeles 🖾 San Francisco				
Counsel for the State Bar Robert A. Henderson Office Chief Trial Counsel	Case number(s) 04–0–12057	(for Court's use)		
State Bar of California 180 Howard Street, 7th Flo San Francisco, CA 94105		PUBLIC MATTER		
(415) 538-2385 Bar # 173205		APR 1 5 2005		
Counsel for Respondent Counsel for Respondent Dennis Vance Milner		STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO		
P.O. Box 2933 Dublin, CA 94568 (925) 361-7200				
Bar # 113464	Submitted to XX assigned judge	settlement judge		
In the Matter of DENNIS VANCE MILNER	STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLU DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPR			
Bar # 113464	ACTUAL SUSPENSION			
A Member of the State Bar of Californ (Respondent)	ia			
in the space provided, must be s	y this form and any additional inform set forth in an attachment to this stipu lusions of Law," "Supporting Authority,"	lation under specific headings,		
A. Parties' Acknowledgmer	nts:	Ÿ		
(1) Respondent is a member of the	e State Bar of California, admitted <u>June</u>	13, 1984 (date)		
(2) The parties agree to be bound disposition are rejected or characteristics	by the factual stipulations contained here nged by the Supreme Court.	·		
(3) All investigations or proceeding by this stipulation and are deer The stipulation and order const	gs listed by case number in the caption of ned consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/co st of <u>11</u> pages.	this stipulation, are entirely resolved unt(s) are listed under "Dismissals."		
(4) A statement of acts or omission under "Facts."	is acknowledged by Respondent as cause	e or causes for discipline is included		
(5) Conclusions of law, drawn from Law."	and specifically referring to the facts are al	so included under "Conclusions of		
(6) The parties must include supporting Authority."	orting authority for the recommended level	of discipline under the heading		
(7) No more than 30 days prior to pending investigation/proceed	the filing of this stipulation, Respondent ho ling not resolved by this stipulation, except	is been advised in writing of any for criminal investigations.		

- 8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
 - **KK** until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 284, Rules of Procedure.
 - costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years:

(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)

- costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".
- costs entirely waived
- B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.
- (1) **EX Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
 - (a) XX State Bar Court case # of prior case <u>99-0-10794</u>
 - (b) XX Date prior discipline effective August 31, 2003
 - (c) 🖾 Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: _

Rule of Professional Conduct 3-110

Business and Professions Code section 6068(1)

- (d) XX Degree of prior discipline <u>one (1) year stayed suspension</u>
- (e) XX If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline."

On October 10, 2004, respondent received a one-year suspension stayed on conditions that included a 30-day actual suspension for violation of probation (case no. 04-PM-12035).

- (2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) 🗀 Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.

- (5) Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of hls/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7)
 Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8)
 No aggravating circumstances are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

see attachment

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1)
 No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) D No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) C Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5)
 Restitution: Respondent paid
 in restitution to ________ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6)
 Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) 🖸 Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) XX Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.

- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11)
 Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13)
 No mitigating circumstances are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

D. Discipline:

- (1) XX Stayed Suspension:
 - (a) K Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one (1) year
 - i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
 - 11.
 and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
 - iii. 🔲 and until Respondent does the following:
 - (b)
 The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

(2) ZX Probation:

Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of <u>two (2) years</u> which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 953, Calif. Rules of Ct.)

(3)	XX	Actual Suspension:					· .
	(m)	NY Deependent must be	actually suspend	lad from the pro	notion of law in the	State of Cal	ifornia for a
	(0)	XX Respondent must be period of 90 days	actually suspend	ied nom me pic			nomia iora

- i.
 and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
- ii.
 and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii.
 and until Respondent does the following:

E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- (2) XX During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) XX Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) I Within thirly (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) XX Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7) X Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.

(8) XX Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

No Ethics School recommended. Reason:

- (9) C Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) 🖉 The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
 - Substance Abuse Conditions
 Law Office Management Conditions

XX Medical Conditions

- Financial Conditions
- F. Other Conditions Negotlated by the Partles:
- (1) I Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 951(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.

XX No MPRE recommended. Reason: Because he was previously ordered to do so.

- (2) It Rule 955, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (3) Conditional Rule 955, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (4) Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
- (5) 🗆 Other Conditions:

In the Matter of DENNIS VANCE MILNER A Member of the State Bar

Case Number(s): 04-0-12057

Medical Conditions

b.

a.

Respondent shall obtain psychiatric or psychological help/ treatment from a duty licensed psychiatrist, psychologist, or clinical social worker at respondent's own expense a minimum of <u>1</u> times per month and shall furnish evidence to the Probation Unit that respondent is so complying with each quarterly report. Help/treatment should commence immediately, and in any event, no later than thirty (30) days after the effective date of the discipline in this matter. Treatment shall continue for <u>xxxxx</u> *static procession* (1) year the product of probation with a motion to modify this condition is granted and that ruling becomes final.

If the treating psychiatrist, psychologist, or clinical social worker determines that there has been a substantial change in respondent's condition, respondent or Office of the Chief Trial Counsel may file a motion for modification of this condition with the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court, pursuant to rule 550 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. The motion must be supported by a written statement from the psychiatrist, psychologist, or clinical social worker, by affidavit or under penalty of perjury, in support of the proposed modification.

Upon the request of the Probation Unit, respondent shall provide the Probation Unit with medical waivers and access to all of respondent's medical records. Revocation of any medical waiver is a violation of this condition. Any medical records obtained by the Probation Unit shall be confidential and no information concerning them or their contents shall be given anyone except members of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, including the Probation Unit, and the State Bar Court, who are directly involved with maintaining, enforcing or adjudicating this condition.

7

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: Dennis V. Milner

CASE NUMBER(S): 04-0-12057

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct:

1. Beginning on or about September 16, 2003, and at all times thereafter, respondent was not entitled to practice law because had been placed on involuntary inactive status for failure to comply with the mandatory continuing legal education requirements applicable to members of the State Bar.

2. Beginning on or about September 16, 2003, and at all times thereafter, respondent was suspended from the practice of law by order of the California Supreme Court for failure to pay membership fees (case number S118232).

3. At all times mentioned, respondent knew or reasonably should have known that he was on involuntary inactive status and was suspended from the practice of law.

4. On or about February 3, 2004, respondent sent a letter to Geico Insurance Company, stating that he was representing John Freeman in a claim against Beverly Landreth, who was insured by Geico Insurance Company. The letterhead identified respondent as "Attorney at Law" and John Freeman as respondent's "client." The letter also discussed the exchange of information between respondent and the insurance company. Respondent never informed Geico that he was not entitled to practice law. By sending the letter, respondent practiced law, held himself out as entitled to practice law and held himself out as practicing law.

5. At all times mentioned, Nancieanne Hopkins was an employee of Geico Insurance with responsibilities concerning the Freeman/Landreth claim. In or about February 2004, respondent spoke to Ms. Hopkins and agreed to allow his client, John Freeman, to give Ms. Hopkins a recorded interview. Respondent thereby held himself as an entitled to practice law and held himself out as practicing law.

6. On or about April 26, 2004, respondent left a voicemail message for Ms. Hopkins offering to meet with her concerning the Freeman/Landreth matter. Respondent thereby held himself out as entitled to practice law and held himself out as practicing law.

7. On or about April 28, 2004, a Geico representative sent respondent a letter advising respondent that he "... may not be entitled to practice law and represent yourself as an attorney." (Geico had previously learned of respondent's status several months earlier. Geico learned

Attachment Page 1

about respondent's status through its own investigation, not from information supplied by respondent). Respondent received this letter but did not withdraw from representing Mr. Freeman.

8. On or about August 2, 2004, respondent left a voicemail message at Geico Insurance concerning the Freeman/Landreth matter. Respondent thereby held himself out as entitled to practice law and held himself out as practicing law.

9. By practicing law while on suspension and inactive status, respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 6125. By practicing law and holding himself out as entitled to practice law and holding himself out as practicing law, respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 6126 subdivision (b). By violating Business and Professions Code sections 6125 and 6126(b), respondent failed to support the laws of this state

Aggravating Circumstance:

10. On or about August 31, 2003, respondent was placed on disciplinary probation for three years (Supreme Court case number S 115929; State Bar case number 99-O-10794).

11. One of the conditions of respondent's disciplinary probation required him to obey the laws of the State of California, including the State Bar Act.

12. Respondent was still subject to the disciplinary probation when he violated Business and Professions Code sections 6125 and 6126(b) as alleged in Count One.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(6), was December 17, 2004.

Attachment Page 2

In the Matter of	Case number(s):	the second	
DENNIS VANCE MILNER	04012057		·· · · ·

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

 $\frac{3-16-05}{3/16/057}$ Date $\frac{3/16/057}{3/16/05}$ Date

Respondent's signature

Respondent's Counsel's signature

Print name

Print name

ounsel's signature

ROBERT A. HENDERSON Print name

DENNIS VANCE MILNER

In the Matter of	Case number(s):	
DENNIS VANCE MILNER	04-0-12057	

ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

All Hearing dates are vacated.

Respondent is reminded that he must timely file an affidavit pursuant to rule 955(c) of the California Rules of Court even if he has no clients to notify. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in disbarment or suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 955(d).)

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 953(a), California Rules of Court.)

JO/(NM M. REMKE / Judge of the State Bar Court

Actual Suspension

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE [Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on April 15, 2005, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING, filed April 15, 2005

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

DENNIS VANCE MILNER P O BOX 2933 DUBLIN CA 94568

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ROBIN HAFFNER, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on April 15, 2005.

Bernadette C. O. Molina Case Administrator State Bar Court