FILED MO AUG -5 2010 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES

REVIEW DEPARTMENT OF THE STATE BAR COURT IN BANK

In the Matter of)	Case No.: 05-C-02150
KATHERINE JEAN SABICH-ROBISON)	RECOMMENDATION OF SUMMARY DISBARMENT
A Member of the State Bar.)	

On June 4, 2010, the State Bar filed a request for recommendation of summary disbarment based on Katherine Jean Sabich-Robison's felony convictions. Sabich-Robison did not file a response. We grant the request and recommend that she be summarily disbarred.

On May 10, 2006, a jury found Sabich-Robison guilty of felony violations of Penal Code sections 506 (grand theft by fiduciary), 487, subdivision (a) (grand theft), 470, subdivision (a) (forgery), and 368, subdivision (d) (grand theft by fiduciary from elder). Effective June 24, 2006, we placed her on interim suspension. Sabich-Robison unsuccessfully appealed her judgment of conviction, and on June 4, 2010, the State Bar transmitted evidence that Sabich-Robison's conviction was final.

The record of conviction establishes that Sabich-Robison's criminal violations meet the criteria for summary disbarment under Business and Professions Code section 6102, subdivision (c). The offenses are felonies involving moral turpitude. (*In re Lyons* (1975) 15 Cal.3d 322,324, fn. 3 [violation of Penal Code § 506 requires intent to defraud and necessarily involves moral turpitude]; *In re Basinger* (1988) 45 Cal.3d 1348, 1358 [grand theft is a crime



that necessarily involves moral turpitude]; *In re Prantil* (1989) 48 Cal.3d 227, 234 [forgery is a serious crime involving moral turpitude].)

When an attorney's conviction meets the requirements of Business and Professions Code section 6102, subdivision (c), "the attorney is not entitled to a State Bar Court hearing to determine whether lesser discipline is called for." (*In re Paguirigan* (2001) 25 Cal.4th 1, 7.)

Disbarment is mandatory. (*Id.* at p. 9.)

We therefore recommend that Katharine Jean Sabish-Robison, State Bar number 183234, be disbarred from the practice of law in this state. We also recommend that Sabish-Robison be ordered to comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's order. Finally, we recommend that the costs be awarded to the State Bar in accordance with section 6086.10 of the Business and Professions Code and that such costs be enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on August 5, 2010, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

RECOMMENDATION OF SUMMARY DISBARMENT FILED AUGUST 5, 2010

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:		
and making on that date as follows.		
by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:		
KATHARINE SABICH-ROBISON 1732 AVIATION BLVD # 104 REDONDO BEACH, CA 90278 REDONDO BEACH, CA 90278 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 (courtesy copy)		
by certified mail, No. , with return receipt requested, through the United States Service at , California, addressed as follows:	Postal	
by overnight mail at , California, addressed as follows:		
by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine t used.	hat I	
By personal service by leaving the documents in a sealed envelope or package clear labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or a person having of the attorney's office, addressed as follows:		
by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of Calif addressed as follows:	ornia	
Kristin L. Ritsema, Enforcement, Los Angeles		
I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California August 5, 2010.	, on	

Case Administrator State Bar Court