

(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California
Hearing Department
Los Angeles

kwiktag®

022 604 368



Counsel For The State Bar Melanie J. Lawrence Deputy Trial Counsel 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, California 90015-2200 Bar # 230102	Case Number (s) 05-C-04403	(for Court's use) <div align="center"> FILED MAR - 6 2007 <i>fic</i> STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES </div> <div align="center"> PUBLIC MATTER </div>
In Pro Per Respondent Douglas Miranda 82-675 Highway 111, Room 314 Indio, California 92201 Bar # 236821		
In the Matter Of: Douglas Miranda Bar # 236821 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	Submitted to: Assigned Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING PUBLIC REPROVAL <input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted **June 1, 2005**.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of **9** pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.

- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):

- ☐ costs added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public reproof)
- ☐ case ineligible for costs (private reproof)
- ☒ costs to be paid in equal amounts for the following membership years: **two billing cycles following the effective date of the final order.**
(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)
- ☐ costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs"
- ☐ costs entirely waived

- (9) The parties understand that:

- (a) ☐ A private reproof imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproof was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.
- (b) ☐ A private reproof imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
- (c) ☒ A public reproof imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.

B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) ☐ **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
- (a) ☐ State Bar Court case # of prior case
- (b) ☐ Date prior discipline effective
- (c) ☐ Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
- (d) ☐ Degree of prior discipline
- (e) ☐ If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline."
- (2) ☐ **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) ☐ **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.

- (4) ☐ **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) ☐ **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) ☐ **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) ☐ **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) ☒ **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) ☐ **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) ☐ **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) ☒ **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) ☐ **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) ☐ **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) ☐ **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) ☐ **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) ☐ **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) ☐ **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) ☐ **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.

- (11) ☐ **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) ☐ **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) ☐ **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

D. Discipline:

- (1) ☐ **Private reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)**
- (a) ☐ Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).
- (b) ☐ Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).

or

- (2) ☒ **Public reproval (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)**

E. Conditions Attached to Reproval:

- (1) ☒ Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of **one (1) year**.
- (2) ☒ During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) ☒ Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) ☒ Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) ☒ Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period.

- (6) ☐ Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance.

During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.

- (7) ☒ Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.
- (8) ☒ Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- ☐ No Ethics School recommended. Reason: .
- (9) ☒ Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) ☐ Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reproval.
- ☒ No MPRE recommended. Reason: Not required in this case for the protection of the public or the interests of the Respondent. See: In the Matter of Respondent G. (Review Dept. 1992) 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 181.
- (11) ☐ The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions |

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

ATTACHMENT TO
STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: DOUGLAS MIRANDA

CASE NUMBER(S): 05-C-04403

A. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND IN CONVICTION PROCEEDING.

1. This is a proceeding pursuant to sections 6101 and 6102 of the Business and Professions Code and rule 951 of the California Rules of Court.
2. On March 30, 2006, respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code § 20002(a), Hit & Run with Property Damage.
3. On August 10, 2006, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order referring the matter to the Hearing Department limited to whether the facts and circumstances surrounding the offenses involve moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline.
4. On September 8, 2006, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an augmented order to include a hearing and decision recommending the discipline to be imposed in the event the Hearing Department finds that the facts and circumstances of respondent's offense involve moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline.

B. FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Facts:

5. On August 7, 2005, at approximately 2:55 a.m., respondent, while driving his 2005 Corvette, lost control of the vehicle and struck a brick wall owned by Virginia Lucas in the city of Placentia..
6. The wall was damaged by the impact of the collision.
7. Respondent then drove his damaged vehicle from the scene of the accident.

8. Respondent did not locate and notify Virginia Lucas of his name and address. Nor did he, at Virginia Lucas' request, present his driver's license and vehicle registration, and current residence address to her.
9. Respondent did not leave, in a conspicuous place, on the property damaged in the collision, a written notice giving his name and address and the circumstances of the collision.
10. Respondent did not notify the police department in the city of Placentia that a collision had occurred.
11. On March 30, 2006, respondent pled guilty to violation of Vehicle Code § 20002(a), Hit & Run with Property Damage, a misdemeanor, in Orange County Superior Court.

Conclusions of Law:

By failing to locate and notify the owner of the property he damaged; failing to present his driver's license, vehicle registration, and current address to her or; failing to leave a written notice giving his name and address and the circumstances of the collision on the property he damaged and; failing to notify the police department in the city of Placentia that a collision had occurred, he committed acts of other misconduct warranting discipline.

C. AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Standards:

Conviction of a crime which does not involve moral turpitude, but does involve other misconduct warranting discipline shall result in a sanction prescribed under part B of the standards appropriate to the nature and extent of the misconduct. (Std. 3.4)

Under part B, offenses involving a violation for which the level of discipline is not otherwise specified shall result in reproof or suspension according to the gravity of the offense or harm, if any, to the victim, with due regard for the purposes of imposing discipline. (Std. 2.10)

Case Law:

In *In re Kelley* (1990) 52 Cal. 3d 487, an attorney received a public reproof for her second drunk driving conviction that also violated conditions of criminal probation for the first offense. A police officer pulled her over and asked whether she had been drinking. (*Id.* at 491.) She said

she had not. (*Id.*) After having her exit the car, the officer asked her to submit to a field sobriety test. (*Id.*) *Kelley* refused, sat down on the curb, and attempted to enter into a conversation with the officer about his family, telling him she was an old family friend. (*Id.*) When the officer refused to sustain the conversation, *Kelley* became agitated and accused him of arresting her because of a personal grievance against her ex-husband. (*Id.*) The officer eventually summoned a second officer for assistance. (*Id.*) The court noted that in addition to the conviction evidencing an alcohol problem, *Kelley's* conduct demonstrated a "lack of respect for the legal system." (*Id.* at 496.) But, *Kelley's* conviction was not moral turpitude per se and the facts and circumstances did not amount to moral turpitude. Rather, her conviction amounted to "other misconduct warranting discipline." (*Id.* at 494.)

D. MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES

Respondent has cooperated with the State Bar during these proceedings. (Std. 1.2(e)(v).)

E. COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.



Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of February 15, 2007, the estimated prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$3,530. Respondent acknowledges that this figure is an estimate only and that it does not include State Bar Court costs which will be included in any final cost assessment. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of Douglas Miranda	Case number(s): 05-C-04403
-------------------------------------	-------------------------------

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

<u>2/20/07</u> Date	 Respondent's Signature	<u>Douglas Miranda</u> Print Name
<u>2/24/07</u> Date	 Respondent's Counsel Signature	<u>Melanie J. Lawrence</u> Print Name
	<u>Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature</u>	<u>Print Name</u>

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter Of Douglas Miranda	Case Number(s): 05-C-04403
--	--------------------------------------

ORDER

Finding that the stipulation protects the public and that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions attached to the reproof, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- ☐ The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.
- ☒ The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSED.
- ☐ All court dates in the Hearing Department are vacated.

*PAGE 7 - CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:
AT THE END OF THE LAST SENTENCE - INSERT THE FOLLOWING:
"IN VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL CODE, §6068(a),*

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 125(b), Rules of Procedure.) **Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after service of this order.**

Failure to comply with any conditions attached to this reproof may constitute cause for a separate proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct.

03-01-07
Date


Judge of the State Bar Court

RICHARD A. PLATEL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on March 6, 2007, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

**STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION
AND ORDER APPROVING PUBLIC REPROVAL**

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- ☒ by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

**DOUGLAS MIRANDA
82975 US HIGHWAY 111 RM 314
INDIO, CA 92201**

- ☒ by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

MELANIE J. LAWRENCE, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on **March 6, 2007**.



Tammy R. Cleaver
Case Administrator
State Bar Court