kwiktag* 022 604 912

ORIGINAL

(Do not write above this line.)

Si Hearing Depart	tate Bar Court of Californi Iment 🖾 Los Angeles 🗆	a San Francisco
Counsel for the State Bar FUMIKO KIMURA DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015 Telephone: (213) 765-1380 Bar # 208763 Counsel for Respondent IN In Pro Per, Respondent GREGORY JOHN KHOUGAZ 2917 Santa Monica Blvd. Santa Monica, CA 90404 Telephone: (310) 449-1149 Bar # 107530	Case number(s) 05-H-04322-RAP PUBLIC MATTER	FILED APR 282006 W STATE BAR COURT CLERKS OFFICE LOS ANGELES
In the Matter of GREGORY JOHN KHOUGAZ Bar # 107530 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	Submitted to assigned judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUDISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROSTAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUPLE PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	DVING
ne space provided, must be set fort Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of A. Parties' Acknowledgments Respondent is a member of the Sta	: ate Bar of California, admitted Janus the factual stipulations contained herein	ary 24, 1983
resolved by this stipulation, and are "Dismissals." The stipulation and or	listed by case number in the caption of a deemed consolidated. Dismissed charged consist of \$\frac{12}{2}\$ pages. The consist of \$\frac{12}{2}\$ pages are consisted by Respondent as causing the consist of \$\frac{12}{2}\$ pages.	ge(s)/count(s) are listed under
	d specifically referring to the facts, are als	so Included under "Conclusions o

"Supporting Authority."

The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading

(7) No more than 30 days prior to the filling of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.

(8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code (6140.7. (Check one option only): (a) □ costs added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discip (b) □ costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership for the following two membership years (hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 282, Rules of Procedic Costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of (d) □ costs entirely waived					
(c) \square costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of (d) \square costs entirely waived	years:				
P. Aggravating Circumstances (for definition, and Standards for Attenney					
B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravacircumstances are required.					
(1) Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]					
(a) 2 State Bar Court case # of prior case 03-0-04272					
(b) 🖾 Date prior discipline effective 12-15-04					
(c) 🖾 Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:	······································				
RPC 3-110(A); BPC 6068(m)					
(d) A Degree of prior disciplinepublic reproval					
(e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline".	below or a				
(2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, disho concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professi					
,	Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.				
(4) 🔲 Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administr	m: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.				
(5) ☐ Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atoneme consequences of his or her misconduct.	ifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the				

(Do	not w	rite above this line.)
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Cha wi Of:	arges th tw fice	al aggravating circumstances: On October 25, 2005, the Notice of Disciplinary was filed by the State Bar. Subsequently, Respondent failed to comply to additional conditions of his reproval by failing to submit to the of Probation the final report and a proof of attendance and passage of it given in the State Bar Ethics School, which were due on December 15, 2005
	_	nating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating imstances are required.
(1)		Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled th present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
(2)	□N	Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
(3)		andor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of when the first of the state
(4)	re	emorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and cognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her sconduct.
(5)	□ Re	stitution: Respondent paid \$ on
		restitution: Respondent paid \$ on without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or minal proceedings.
(6)		elay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to spondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
7)	□ G	ood Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
8)	Re: est an:	notional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct, spondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would ablish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of y illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer fers from such difficulties or disabilities.
9)		mily Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her sonal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.

(D	o no	of write c	above ii	is line.)
(1)	O) (which	resulted	cial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial strest from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and rectly responsible for the misconduct.
(1	1) (eter: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the lega communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
(12	2) (: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred privincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
(13	3) 2	Ño mi	tigating	circumstances are involved.
Ac	lditi	ional m	itig ati r	g circumstances:
D.	C	Discipli	ne	
1.	X	Stayed	l Suspen	sion.
	(a)	įΧį	Respo	ndent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of <u>one (1)</u> year
		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this Stipulation.
		III.		and until Respondent does the following:
		The abo	ove-refe	renced suspension is stayed.
2.	ĮΣ,	Probation		**************************************
		Respon will com of Cour	nmence	placed on probation for a period of $two(2)$ years , which upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein. (See rule 953, California Rules

II	4 4 1 - 1	by the SBC Executive Committee (Rev. 5/5/05)	Stayed Suspension
		☐ Medical Conditions	Financial Conditions
		☐ Substance Abuse Conditions 区	Law Office Management Conditions (See page 7
9}	Ä	The following conditions are attached hereto	and incorporated:
(8)		· ·	f probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed
		No Ethics School recommended. Reas	on:
(7)	Œ		e discipline herein, respondent must provide to the dance at a session of State Bar Ethics School, and ession.
	÷		ion and any probation monitor assigned under condent personally or in writing relating to whether the probation conditions.
(6)	3		s, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and
(5)	Œ	and conditions of probation with the probation compliance. During the period of probation,	onitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms on monitor to establish a manner and schedule of Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports terly reports required to be submitted to the Office by with the probation monitor.
			ort, containing the same information, is due no earlier he period of probation and no later than the last day

	F.	Other	Conditions	Neg	potiated	by	the	Parties
--	----	-------	------------	-----	----------	----	-----	----------------

(1)	Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 951(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.
	□ No MPRE recommended. Reason:
(2)	□ Other Conditions:

(Do not write above this line.)				
In the Matter of	Case Number(s):			
GREGORY JOHN KHOUGAZ	05-H-04322-RAP			

Law Office Management Conditions

a.		WithIn days/	months/	years of the effective date of the discipline herein,
		approved by the reports to clients; (4) meet deadline contacted or local	Office of Probati (2) document tel es; (5) withdraw a ated; (6) train and	office management/ organization plan, which must be ion. This plan must include procedures to (1) send periodic dephone messages received and sent; (3) maintain files; as attorney, whether of record or not, when clients cannot be a supervise support personnel; and (7) address any subject a contributed to Respondent's misconduct in the current
b.	X	Within XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1 year of the effective date of the discipline herein.

- c. Uithin 30 days of the effective date of the discipline, Respondent must join the Law Practice Management and Technology Section of the State Bar of California and pay the dues and costs of enrollment for _____year(s). Respondent must furnish satisfactory evidence of membership in the section to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California in the first report required.

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

Gregory J. Khougaz

CASE NUMBER:

05-H-04322-RAP

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Facts

Respondent was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on January 24, 1983, and was a member at all times pertinent to these charges.

On or about November 16, 2004, Respondent entered into a Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition ("Stipulation") with the State Bar of California in Case Number 03-O-04272.

On or about November 23, 2004, the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court filed an Order Approving the Stipulation and imposing upon Respondent a public reproval with conditions ("Reproval Order"). The Reproval Order informed Respondent that failure to comply with the conditions attached to the reproval could constitute cause for separate disciplinary proceedings for wilful breach of rule 1-110 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct.

On or about November 23, 2004, the Stipulation and Reproval Order were properly served by mail upon Respondent at his State Bar membership records address. The Reproval Order became effective on December 15, 2004.

Pursuant to the Reproval Order, Respondent was required to comply with certain terms and conditions attached to the public reproval, including:

to submit to the Office of Probation written quarterly reports on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval, certifying under penalty of perjury that he has complied with all provisions of the State Bar Act and the Rules of Professional Conduct during the preceding

calendar quarter or part thereof covered by the report and to file a final report no earlier than 20 days prior to the expiration of the condition period attached to the reproval and no later than the last day of said period.

On or about December 9, 2004, Probation Deputy Lydia G. Dineros ("Ms. Dineros") of the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California wrote a letter to Respondent in which she reminded Respondent of the terms and conditions of the public reproval pursuant to the November 23, 2004 Reproval Order. In the December 9, 2004 letter, Ms. Dineros specifically advised Respondent that his first required quarterly report was due on Aril 10, 2005 and quarterly thereafter. Enclosed with the December 9, 2004 letter to Respondent was a copy of the portion of the Stipulation setting forth the conditions of Respondent's reproval and a Quarterly Report form specifically tailored for Respondent to use to submit his quarterly reports.

Respondent received the December 9, 2004 letter from Ms. Dineros. Respondent, however, failed to submit his quarterly reports which were due to be submitted by April 10, 2005, July 10, 2005, and October 10, 2005.

Conclusions of Law

By failing to submit the April 10, 2005, July 10, 2005, and October 10, 2005 quarterly reports, Respondent failed to comply with the conditions of the public reproval, in wilful violation of rule 1-110 of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A (7), was April 19, 2006.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed Respondent that as of April 19, 2006, the estimated prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$4,569.00. Respondent acknowledges that this figure is an estimate only. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE

Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, Title IV of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California ("Standard")

Standard 1.3 states that the primary purposes of disciplinary proceedings conducted by the State Bar of California and of sanctions imposed upon a finding or acknowledgment of a member's professional misconduct are the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. Rehabilitation of a member is a permissible object of a sanction imposed upon the member but only if the imposition of rehabilitative sanctions is consistent with the above-stated primary purposes of sanctions for professional misconduct.

Standard 1.7(a) states that the degree of discipline shall be greater than that imposed in the prior proceeding unless the prior discipline imposed was so remote in time to the current proceeding and the offense for which it was imposed was so minimal in severity that imposing greater discipline in the current proceeding would be manifestly unjust.

Standard 2.9 provides that culpability of a member of a wilful violation of rule 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct, shall result in suspension.

Case Law

In the Matter of Posthuma (1998) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 813. The attorney failed to comply with a condition attached to a private reproval by failing to timely take and pass the California Professional Responsibility Examination. The attorney received a public reproval for this violation.

Conroy v. State Bar (1990) 51 Cal.3d 799. The attorney failed to comply with a condition of prior discipline requiring him to take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination ("PRE") within a prescribed period. The attorney was previously privately reproved for three unrelated acts of misconduct. The sole mitigating circumstance was his late passage of the PRE. The aggravating circumstances were 1) prior record of discipline 2) his failure to participate in the State Bar Court proceedings, and 3) lack of remorse and failure to acknowledge the wrongfulness of his action. The attorney was actually suspended for sixty (60) days.

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of	Case number(s):	
GREGORY JOHN KHOUGAZ	05-H -04322-RAP	
	1	

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

4/30/06 Date	Respondent signature	GREGORY JOHN KHOUGAZ Print name
Date	Respondent's Counsel's signature	Print name
04/20/06 -	Deputy Trial Counsel's signature	FUMIKO KIMURA Print name

(Do not write above this line.)	
In the Matter of	Case number(s):
GREGORY JOHN KHOUGAZ	05-H-04322-RAP
ORDER	
Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:	
The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.	
The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.	
All Hearing dates are vacated.	
modify the stipulation, filed within 15 day court modifies or further modifies the ap Procedure.) The effective date of thi	as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or ys after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this proved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of s disposition is the effective date of the ally 30 days after file date. (See rule 953(a),
04-27-06	/UMI
Date	RICHARD A. PLATEL
•	Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on April 28, 2006, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

GREGORY JOHN KHOUGAZ 2917 SANTA MONICA BLVD SANTA MONICA CA 90404

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

FUMIKO KIMURA, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on April 28, 2006.

Angela Owens-Carpenter

Case Administrator State Bar Court