

State Bar Court of California  
Hearing Department  
Los Angeles

**ORIGINAL**

|  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Counsel For The State Bar</p> <p><b>Hugh G. Radigan</b><br/>1149 S. Hill St.<br/>Los Angeles, CA 90015<br/>(213) 765-1000</p> <p>Bar # <b>94251</b></p>                 | <p>Case Number (s)<br/><b>05-O-00569</b><br/><b>07-O-13832 (inv.)</b></p>   | <p>(for Court's use)</p> <p><b>FILED</b></p> <p>SEP 22 2009 <i>HC</i></p> <p>STATE BAR COURT<br/>CLERK'S OFFICE<br/>LOS ANGELES</p> <p><b>PUBLIC MATTER</b></p> |
| <p>In Pro Per Respondent</p> <p><b>Arthur Greenspan</b><br/>14560 Friar St.<br/>Van Nuys, CA 91411<br/>(323) 646-7544</p>  | <p>Submitted to: <b>Settlement Judge</b></p>  |   |
| <p>Bar # <b>150216</b></p> <p>In the Matter Of:<br/><b>Arthur Greenspan</b></p> <p>Bar # <b>150216</b></p> <p>A Member of the State Bar of California<br/>(Respondent)</p> | <p>STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND<br/>DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING</p> <p><b>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</b></p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED</p> |   |

**Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.**

**A. Parties' Acknowledgments:**

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted **December 4, 1990**.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of **12** pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."



(Do not write above this line.)

- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
- until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 284, Rules of Procedure.
  - costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: **2010 and 2011** (hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)
  - costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs"
  - costs entirely waived

**B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.**

- (1)  **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
- (a)  State Bar Court case # of prior case **00-O-15527**
  - (b)  Date prior discipline effective **August 30, 2001**
  - (c)  Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: **No specific rule or statute was articulated, but Respondent stipulated that he signed his client's name with the client's consent to a declaration and filed the declaration with the court without indicating to the court that he, rather than the client, had signed the declaration.**
  - (d)  Degree of prior discipline **Private reproof**
  - (e)  If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
- (2)  **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3)  **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4)  **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5)  **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6)  **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7)  **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.

- (8)  **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

**Additional aggravating circumstances:**

**C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.**

- (1)  **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2)  **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.  
**Respondent's misconduct did not harm his clients.**
- (3)  **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4)  **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5)  **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ in restitution to \_\_\_\_\_ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6)  **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7)  **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8)  **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9)  **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10)  **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11)  **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12)  **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13)  **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

### Additional mitigating circumstances

**Respondent demonstrated recognition of wrongdoing by entering into this stipulation, thereby saving the resources of the State Bar. As to the Oxley matter, Respondent was dealing with personal issues in 2006 through 2007 which have since been resolved.**

### D. Discipline:

(1)  **Stayed Suspension:**

- (a)  Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of **one year**.
- i.  and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- ii.  and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii.  and until Respondent does the following:
- (b)  The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

(2)  **Probation:**

Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of **two years**, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)

(3)  **Actual Suspension:**

- (a)  Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of **30 days**.
- i.  and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
- ii.  and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii.  and until Respondent does the following:

### E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1)  If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- (2)  During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3)  Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of

information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.

- (4)  Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5)  Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6)  Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7)  Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (8)  Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
  - No Ethics School recommended. Reason: \_\_\_\_\_
- (9)  Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10)  The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
  - Substance Abuse Conditions
  - Law Office Management Conditions
  - Medical Conditions
  - Financial Conditions

**F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:**

- (1)  **Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination:** Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. **Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 954-9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.**

No MPRE recommended. Reason:

- (2)  **Rule 955-9.20, California Rules of Court:** Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 955-9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (3)  **Conditional Rule 955-9.20, California Rules of Court:** If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 955-9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (4)  **Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]:** Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
- (5)  **Other Conditions:**

**G. DISMISSALS:**

Case No. 05-O-00569:

The parties agree that Count Two, Business and Professions Code section 6068(i), as alleged in the Notice of Disciplinary Charges shall be dismissed in the interest of justice.

**H. SUPPORTING AUTHORITY:**

In *Sternlieb v. State Bar* (1990) 52 Cal.3d 317, an attorney misappropriated funds held in trust for a client in a divorce matter for payment of the attorney's fees without permission from the client or opposing counsel. The attorney also did not account for the funds. The court held that there was not sufficient evidence that the attorney acted dishonestly, but found the attorney's belief that her use of the funds was authorized by the client and that the client had the power to give such authorization unreasonable. The court imposed a one-year probation and a 30-day actual suspension.

**I. OTHER FACTORS SUPPORTING RECOMMENDATION:**

Respondent maintains that all of the balance of \$1,940 from the \$5,000 Oxley advanced on January 16, 2007 was spent on costs related to his representation of Francis Oxley, but he was unable to locate receipts for all of the expenses. As such, Respondent has agreed to make some restitution to Oxley as set forth in the restitution condition of this stipulation at p. 10.

Attachment language begins here (if any):

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of the following violations:

**Case No. 05-O-00595**

**FACTS:**

1. In July 2003, Donald Kessler ("Donald"), on behalf of his wife, Kelly Alice Kessler ("Kessler"), employed attorney Eric Chase ("Chase") of the Chase Law Group to assist in a criminal appeal filed by attorney James Andres ("Andres") on May 15, 2003, on Kessler's behalf. On July 29, 2003, Donald paid Chase \$4,500 as advance fees.

2. On or before July 30, 2003, Chase, as the managing partner of the Chase Law Group, assigned Kessler's matter to Respondent to review trial transcripts, to establish legal arguments in support of a petition for writ of habeas corpus, and to provide investigative assistance to Andres.

3. In or about March 2004, Andres and Donald contacted Respondent by telephone and requested that he forward any findings, opinions and information obtained on Kessler's behalf. Respondent replied that he had only partially reviewed the matter and that little had been done.

4. On March 31, 2004, Andres sent a letter to Respondent. In the letter, Andres asked if Respondent or his investigator had obtained any information which could have bearing on Kessler's defense. In the letter, Andres also requested reimbursement of the unearned portion of the \$4,500 advance fee.

5. No reports, documents or investigative material was provided in response to Andres's letter before the trial court's decision was affirmed by the Court of Appeal on May 13, 2004 and or before a petition for rehearing filed by Andres was denied by the Court of Appeal on June 3, 2004 in Kessler's matter.

6. On August 24, 2004, Donald sent an e-mail to the Chase Law Group's senior administrator, Dann Novak ("Novak"), with a copy of Andres's March 31, 2004 correspondence. In the e-mail, Donald stated that he had not received any response to his prior request for documents or reports and requested an accounting for the \$4,500 advance fee and a refund of the unearned portion of the fees.

7. On September 1, 2004, Novak sent an e-mail to Donald. In the e-mail, Novak stated that he was looking into the matter and would advise him of any information gathered.

8. On October 15, 2004, Donald sent another e-mail to Novak. In the e-mail, Donald requested the status of Novak's review of the matter.

9. On November 17, 2004, Donald sent another e-mail to Novak. In the e-mail, Donald requested an accounting and a refund of \$4,000, as no reports or opinions of any kind were provided and as Respondent Greenspan had acknowledged that his investigator "dropped the ball" and no investigation had been conducted on Kessler's behalf.

10. On April 11, 2005, Andres filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus for Kessler without any input or investigative assistance from the Chase Law Group.

11. Respondent did not provide investigative assistance or any information to Andres relevant to Respondent's work and conclusions related to Kessler's matter.

**CONCLUSION OF LAW:**

By not providing investigative assistance and by not providing any information to Andres relevant to Respondent's work and conclusions related to Kessler's matter, Respondent failed to perform legal services with competence in wilful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-110(A).

**Case No. 07-O-13832**

**FACTS:**

1. In May 2006, Eugenia Oxley ("Oxley") employed Respondent and Criminal Defense Associates ("CDA") to represent Francis Oxley ("Francis") in a criminal case pending in Oregon on a flat fee basis. Respondent was not licensed to practice law in Oregon, but was admitted pro hac vice by the Oregon court to represent Francis. Oregon attorney, David Orf ("Orf"), represented Francis in the criminal case along with Respondent.

2. CDA subsequently went out of business. On August 29, 2006, Oxley entered into another flat fee retainer agreement with Respondent so that Respondent could continue the representation of Francis in his criminal case.

3. Respondent's fee agreement with Oxley provided that costs incurred for investigation, experts, consultants, associate/or affiliate counsel, lab fees, travel, extraordinary writs or appeals or other costs deemed reasonably necessary to the representation, were not to be paid from the funds paid for attorney fees for the representation. The fee agreement further provided that costs incurred during the representation would be paid from additional funds deposited by the client into Respondent's client trust account and held by Respondent in the account

for payment of necessary costs. The fee agreement also provided that the client would receive an itemization of distributed funds on a regular basis from Respondent.

4. On January 16, 2007, Oxley wire transferred \$5,000 into Respondent's bank account at Bank of America ("BOA account") as instructed by Respondent. Previously, on September 15, 2006, Oxley had deposited other funds into the same BOA account for attorney fees. The BOA account was not a trust account.

5. On the wire transfer instructions, Oxley designated the \$5,000 as payment for an expert witness in Francis's case.

6. Respondent did not use any of the \$5,000 for an expert. Instead, on or about January 30, 2007, Respondent used the \$5,000 to issue a \$3,060 check from the BOA account to Orf as payment of fees owed for his representation of Francis, and to reimburse Respondent for costs he incurred for the representation without Oxley's knowledge or consent.

7. Respondent did not provide an itemization of the distributed funds to Oxley or Francis as per his fee agreement during the representation.

**CONCLUSION OF LAW:**

Respondent unilaterally applied the \$5,000 designated by Oxley for the expert to pay Orf and other costs incurred during the representation, and failed to deposit and maintain the \$5,000 in a trust account, and thereby wilfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A).

In the Matter of  
**Arthur Greenspan**  
  
A Member of the State Bar

Case number(s):  
**07-O-13832**

**Financial Conditions**

**a. Restitution**

- Respondent must pay restitution (including the principal amount, plus interest of 10% per annum) to the payee(s) listed below. If the Client Security Fund ("CSF") has reimbursed one or more of the payee(s) for all or any portion of the principal amount(s) listed below, Respondent must also pay restitution to CSF in the amount(s) paid, plus applicable interest and costs.

| <b>Payee</b>         | <b>Principal Amount</b> | <b>Interest Accrues From</b> |
|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| <b>Eugenia Oxley</b> | <b>\$500</b>            | <b>January 16, 2007</b>      |
|                      |                         |                              |
|                      |                         |                              |
|                      |                         |                              |

- Respondent must pay above-referenced restitution and provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation not later than **30 days from the effective date of discipline**.

**b. Installment Restitution Payments**

- Respondent must pay the above-referenced restitution on the payment schedule set forth below. Respondent must provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation with each quarterly probation report, or as otherwise directed by the Office of Probation. No later than 30 days prior to the expiration of the period of probation (or period of reprobation), Respondent must make any necessary final payment(s) in order to complete the payment of restitution, including interest, in full.

| <b>Payee/CSF (as applicable)</b> | <b>Minimum Payment Amount</b> | <b>Payment Frequency</b> |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                  |                               |                          |
|                                  |                               |                          |
|                                  |                               |                          |
|                                  |                               |                          |

**c. Client Funds Certificate**

1. If Respondent possesses client funds at any time during the period covered by a required quarterly report, Respondent must file with each required report a certificate from Respondent and/or a certified public accountant or other financial professional approved by the Office of Probation, certifying that:
- a. Respondent has maintained a bank account in a bank authorized to do business in the State of California, at a branch located within the State of California, and that such account is designated as a "Trust Account" or "Clients' Funds Account";

b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:

- i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
  1. the name of such client;
  2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
  3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
  4. the current balance for such client.
- ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
  1. the name of such account;
  2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
  3. the current balance in such account.
- iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
- iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.

c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:

- i. each item of security and property held;
- ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
- iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
- iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
- v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.

2. If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.

3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

**d. Client Trust Accounting School**

- Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.


(Do not write above this line.)

|   |  |
|---|--|
| In the Matter of<br><b>Arthur Greenspan</b> | Case number(s):<br><b>05-O-00569 &amp; 07-O-13832 (inv.)</b> |
|---|--|

**SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES**

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

|                       |  |                                       |
|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| <u>9/8/09</u><br>Date | <br>Respondent's Signature | <u>Arthur Greenspan</u><br>Print Name |
|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|

|                                |  |                                      |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| <u>September 8 '09</u><br>Date | <br>Respondent's Counsel Signature<br>Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature | <u>Hugh G. Radigan</u><br>Print Name |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|

(Do not write above this line.)

|   |  |
|---|--|
| In the Matter Of<br><b>Arthur Greenspan</b> | Case Number(s):<br><b>05-O-00569 &amp; 07-O-13832 (inv.)</b> |
|---|--|

### ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
  - The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
  - All Hearing dates are vacated.
1. At paragraph A(8), the membership years on which costs are to be paid is changed to "2011 and 2012."
  2. On page 7, the case reference at line 3 is changed to "05-O-00569."

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) **The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)**

September 22, 2009  
Date

  
Richard A. Honn  
Judge of the State Bar Court

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on September 22, 2009, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

**STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING ACTUAL SUSPENSION**

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:


- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

**ARTHUR GREENSPAN  
LAW OFC ARTHUR GREENSPAN  
14560 FRIAR ST  
VAN NUYS, CA 91411**

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

**HUGH RADIGAN, Enforcement, Los Angeles**

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on September 22, 2009.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Tammy Cleaver  
Case Administrator  
State Bar Court