



(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California		
Hearing Department <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Los Angeles <input type="checkbox"/> San Francisco		
Counsel for the State Bar Suzan J. Anderson Deputy Trial Counsel 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, California 90015 Telephone: (213) 765-1000 Bar # 160559	Case number(s) 05-O-01797	(for Court's use) <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">PUBLIC MATTER</div> <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold;">FILED</div> <div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">JUL 28 2006</div> <div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES</div>
<input type="checkbox"/> Counsel for Respondent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> In Pro Per, Respondent Mark Edward Madison 1440 N. Harbor Blvd., #900 Fullerton, CA 92835 (714) 449-3365 Bar # 158786	Submitted to <input type="checkbox"/> assigned judge <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> settlement judge	
In the Matter of MARK EDWARD MADISON Bar # 158786 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION <input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted June 8, 1992
(date)
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation, and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation and order consist of 16 pages.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts, are also included under "Conclusions of Law."
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
- (a) costs added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline
 - (b) costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following ~~MEMBERSHIP YEARS~~
two (2) billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court Order
(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 282, Rules of Procedure)
 - (c) costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs"
 - (d) costs entirely waived

B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]
- (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case _____
 - (b) Date prior discipline effective _____
 - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: _____

 - (d) Degree of prior discipline _____
 - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline".
- (2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (6) **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation ~~with the victims of his/her misconduct and~~ to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. Respondent cooperated fully throughout this matter.
- (4) **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ _____ on _____ in restitution to _____ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
Please see attachment.
- (9) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
Please see attachment.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (10) **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (11) **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances are involved.**

Additional mitigating circumstances:

Respondent was admitted to the State Bar of California in June 8, 1992 and has no record of prior discipline.

D. Discipline

1. Stayed Suspension.

- (a) Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one (1) year
- i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this Stipulation.
- iii. and until Respondent does the following: _____

The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

2. Probation.

Respondent is placed on probation for a period of Two (2) years, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein. (See rule 953, California Rules of Court.)

(Do not write above this line.)

E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (2) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (3) Within 30 days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (4) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, respondent must state whether respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and, if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (5) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (6) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (7) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of State Bar Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
 - No Ethics School recommended. Reason: _____
- (8) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (9) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:

- Substance Abuse Conditions Law Office Management Conditions
- Medical Conditions Financial Conditions

(Do not write above this line.)

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

(1) **Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination:** Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 951(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.

No MPRE recommended. Reason: _____

(2) **Other Conditions:**

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of MARK EDWARD MADISON 158786	Case Number(s): 05-0-01797
---	-----------------------------------

Law Office Management Conditions

- a. Within ___ days/ ___ months/ ___ years of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must develop a law office management/ organization plan, which must be approved by the Office of Probation. This plan must include procedures to (1) send periodic reports to clients; (2) document telephone messages received and sent; (3) maintain files; (4) meet deadlines; (5) withdraw as attorney, whether of record or not, when clients cannot be contacted or located; (6) train and supervise support personnel; and (7) address any subject area or deficiency that caused or contributed to Respondent's misconduct in the current proceeding.
- b. Within ___ days/ 18 months ___ years of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must submit to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of no less than 6 hours of Minimum Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) approved courses in law office management, attorney client relations and/or general legal ethics. This requirement is separate from any MCLE requirement, and Respondent will not receive MCLE credit for attending these courses (Rule 3201, Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.)
- c. Within 30 days of the effective date of the discipline, Respondent must join the Law Practice Management and Technology Section of the State Bar of California and pay the dues and costs of enrollment for ___ year(s). Respondent must furnish satisfactory evidence of membership in the section to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California in the first report required.

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of MARK EDWARD MADISON 158786	Case Number(s): 05-0-01797
---	-------------------------------

Financial Conditions

a. Restitution

- Respondent must pay restitution (including the principal amount, plus interest of 10% per annum) to the payee(s) listed below. If the Client Security Fund ("CSF") has reimbursed one or more of the payee(s) for all or any portion of the principal amount(s) listed below, Respondent must also pay restitution to CSF of the amount(s) paid, plus applicable interest and costs.

Payee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From

- Respondent must pay the above-referenced restitution and provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation not later than _____.

b. Installment Restitution Payments

- Respondent must pay the above-referenced restitution on the payment schedule set forth below. Respondent must provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation with each quarterly probation report, or as otherwise directed by the Office of Probation. No later than 30 days prior to the expiration of the period of probation (or period of reprobation), Respondent must make any necessary final payment(s) in order to complete the payment of restitution, including interest, in full.

Payee/CSF (as applicable)	Minimum Payment Amount	Payment Frequency

c. Client Funds Certificate

1. If Respondent possesses client funds at any time during the period covered by a required quarterly report, Respondent must file with each required report a certificate from ~~Respondent~~ a certified public accountant or other financial professional approved by the Office of Probation, certifying that:
- a. Respondent has maintained a bank account in a bank authorized to do business in the State of California, at a branch located within the State of California, and that such account is designated as a "Trust Account" or "Clients' Funds Account";

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of MARK EDWARD MADISON 158786	Case Number(s): 05-0-01797
---	-------------------------------

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
- i. a written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 1. the name of such client;
 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
 4. the current balance for such client.
 - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 1. the name of such account;
 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 3. the current balance in such account.
 - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
 - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.

c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:

- i. each item of security and property held;
- ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
- iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
- iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
- v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.

2. If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.

3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d. **Client Trust Accounting School**

- Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: MARK EDWARD MADISON

CASE NUMBER(S): 05-O-01797

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he/she is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

GENERAL BACKGROUND

1. At all times mentioned herein, Respondent's client trust account was account number 177-441338-9 at Washington Mutual ("Respondent's CTA"), unless otherwise mentioned.

COUNTS ONE, TWO and THREE

FACTS

2. On August 1, 2001, Holly Richards ("Richards") employed Respondent to represent her in a personal injury matter. Respondent and Richards agreed that Respondent would be compensated by a contingency fee of 33 1/3% if the matter settled before filing a complaint and 40% if the matter settled after the complaint was filed. Respondent was aware that Richards' insurance policy provided for reimbursement of any medical payment made on behalf of Richards.

3. In and before December 2001, Respondent's wife and father assisted him in handling administrative work of the office. At that time, both had authority to make deposits into Respondent's CTA.

4. On December 11, 2001, Richards' insurance company issued a medical payment check payable to Richards and Respondent in the amount of \$3,432.00. On January 16, 2002, either the Respondent, his father or his wife, deposited this medical payment check into Respondent's CTA. At this time, Respondent was using Quicken for Respondent's CTA bookkeeping. When the funds were deposited, no entry was made in Quicken. Thus, no one in Respondent's office was aware that the payment had been deposited into Respondent's CTA.

5. On May 22, 2002, the Respondent filed a lawsuit on behalf of Richards in the Superior Court of California, Southeast District, entitled *Holly Richards v. Peter Cruz, III, et al.*, case number 02 C 01429.

6. In January 2004, Richards' case settled for \$8,000.

7. In February 2004, Respondent received the settlement draft and properly deposited the draft into Respondent's CTA on February 2, 2004.

8. On February 6, 2004, Respondent issued checks in disbursement of the settlement as follows: \$230 costs, \$2,340 as discounted attorney fees, \$2,340 for one of the medical providers and \$3,090 for Richards.

9. In that same month, Richards inquired of Respondent as to why he had failed to reimburse the insurance company for the medical payment received in December 2001.

10. It was only then that the Respondent became aware that there had been a deposit made to Respondent's CTA of \$3,432, and that the money had not been maintained in Respondent's CTA.

11. Thereafter, Richards received letters from the insurance company regarding its reimbursement and she contacted the Respondent on each occasion. It was not until October 2005, that the Respondent reimbursed Richards' insurance company for the medical payment out of his own funds.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

By not maintaining the \$3,432.00 on behalf of Richards in Respondent's CTA for reimbursement of the medical payment, Respondent failed to maintain client funds in trust in wilful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A) and was grossly negligent in wilful violation of section 6106 of the California Business and Professions Code.

By not maintaining a sufficient record that would have revealed the deposit and the sum held on behalf of the client, Respondent failed to maintain proper records of Respondent's CTA in wilful violation of rule 4-100(C) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

By his failure to be aware of the funds held on behalf of his client for reimbursement to the insurance company, to advise his client of his receipt of the funds, and by failing to pay out the sum timely on her behalf, thus subjecting her to potential liability, Respondent failed to properly complete the performance on behalf of his client in wilful violation of rule 3-110(A) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

COUNT FOUR

FACTS

17. The stipulated facts of paragraphs 1 through 16 are incorporated by reference.

18. On September 15, 2004, Richards sent Respondent a facsimile at the facsimile number he had provided to her, requesting the he provide her with her entire file.

19. Although Respondent received the facsimile on the date Richards sent it to him, he failed to forward Richards' file to her until late 2005.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

By failing to release Richards' file to her promptly upon her request, Respondent failed to release promptly, at the request of the client, all client papers and property in wilful violation of Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-700(D)(1).

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(7), was June 13, 2006.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

FACTS SUPPORTING MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Sometime between 2002 and 2004, Respondent's office was burglarized and several items were taken, including certain bookkeeping items. There were two (2) burglaries during that time frame and his reconciliation problems started shortly thereafter. The burglaries and the failure to post the deposit in Quicken led to the misconduct above. The Respondent reported both burglaries to the police.

On February 23, 2004, Respondent's 11-year old son Myles was diagnosed with Chronic Myeloid Leukemia and was hospitalized until the end of March 2004. Myles was not able to return to any normal activity until June of 2004. While he is still being closely monitored by his doctors and still taking medication, Myles has returned to school and most of his activities.

In May 2005, Respondent's wife was diagnosed with breast cancer. Between May 2005 and December 2005, she underwent four surgeries for the breast cancer and completed chemotherapy. She is currently not able to work.

Between the burglaries of the bookkeeping items and the illnesses of his son and wife, Respondent was not spending as much time in his law office as usual and was not paying complete attention to his bank accounts as he knew he should. These factors in mitigation relate more to the discovery of the problem with the medical payment check and the time it took Respondent to correct the problem.

While Respondent is still coping with the illnesses of his son and wife, he has a clear understanding of what steps need to be taken with respect to his bank accounts and management of his office. Respondent is now utilizing the services of an accountant to assist in Respondent's CTA bookkeeping and monthly reconciliations. Respondent's wife and father no longer assist in the office.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

STANDARDS

Under Standard 1.3 the primary purposes of discipline are the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession as well as the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession.

There is no doubt that money which should have been maintained in trust for the reimbursement of the medical payment was a misappropriation and the amount was not nominal. Under standard 2.2(a), even in the presence of compelling mitigation, such conduct usually mandates a one-year actual suspension.

Though this grossly negligent failure to maintain funds is wilful misappropriation for the purposes of culpability, and notwithstanding that the Standards for Attorney Sanctions would ordinarily result in at least one year, with compelling mitigation, there is occasional precedent for a deviation imposing only stayed time.

CASE LAW

Palomo v. State Bar (1984) 36 Cal.3d 785. An attorney with one prior instance of discipline was found culpable of (1) endorsing client's name on a \$3,000 check without the client's consent; (2) depositing the proceeds in his payroll account; (3) failing to notify client and pay over the funds promptly; and (4) misappropriating and commingling the funds. The attorney had endorsed the client's name to the check, but the remaining misconduct resulted from errors by the attorney's office staff rather than any deliberate intent by the attorney to misappropriate the money. The attorney's lax office management practices did not just affect one client, but pervaded his practice for a period of time. Attorney was given one year stayed suspension and

one year probation, with no actual suspension.

Waysman v. State Bar (1986) 41 Cal.3d 452. An attorney with no prior record was found culpable of commingling and misappropriating \$24,000 from a single client. The funds were the proceeds of a settlement draft which arrived while the attorney was out of town. The attorney told his secretary to obtain the client's signature, and to deposit the check into the general office account rather than the trust account because it would clear faster than in the latter. When the attorney returned to the office, he found that his secretary had quit, and her departure combined with other circumstances had left his office finances in considerable disarray. In the confusion, \$24,000 in client funds had been spent. At the time of the incident, the attorney suffered from alcoholism. The attorney received a six month stayed suspension, and probation for one year and until restitution was made.


Notwithstanding the fact of the unposted/unregistered deposit and the failure to keep and to reconcile the kind of records that would have alerted the Respondent to the status of the funds he had received on behalf of Richards, there was a confluence and sequence of circumstances outside of the Respondent's control that arguably distracted him from correcting what began as a mistake and, because of unreliable office procedures, resulted in a wilful loss of funds. There is no indication that the funds of more than one client were affected, as was true of *Palomo*, who received lenient treatment and like *Waysman*, the conduct appears to have been aberrational and not likely to be repeated.

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of MARK EDWARD MADISON 158786	Case number(s): 05-0-01797
---	-----------------------------------

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

7/5/06  MARK EDWARD MADISON
Date Respondent's signature Print name

Date _____
Respondent's Counsel's signature Print name

7/20/06  SUZAN J. ANDERSON
Date Deputy Trial Counsel's signature Print name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of MARK EDWARD MADISON 158786	Case number(s): 05-0-01797
---	-----------------------------------

ORDER


Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- All Hearing dates are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after the date. (See rule 953(a), California Rules of Court.)

Date

7/28/06



ROBERT M. TALCOTT

Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on July 28, 2006, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:


- [X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

**MARK E. MADISON, ESQ.
1440 N HARBOR BLVD #900
FULLERTON CA 92835**

- [X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

SUZAN ANDERSON, A/L, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on **July 28, 2006**.



Rose M. Luthi
Case Administrator
State Bar Court