State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** San Francisco (for Court's use) Case Number (s) Counsel For The State Bar 05-O-3771 **PUBLIC MATTER** Erica L. M. Dennings **Deputy Trial Counsel** 180 Howard Street San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 538-2285 APR 1 2 2006 Bar # 145755 In Pro Per Respondent STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO Bar# Submitted to: Assigned Judge In the Matter Of: STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND Frederick Joseph Amorose DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING Bar # 73597 **ACTUAL SUSPENSION**

(Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

A Member of the State Bar of California

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted February 8, 1977.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 9 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."

kwiktag* 022 605 733

(Do not wr	te above this line.)		
	more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any noting investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.		
	yment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 40.7. (Check one option only):		
	relief is obtained per rule 284, Rules of Procedure.		
Pro	ravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for essional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances required.		
(1)	Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]		
(a)	State Bar Court case # of prior case		
(p)	☐ Date prior discipline effective		
(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:		
(d)	Degree of prior discipline		
(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.		
(2)	Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.		
(3)	Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.		
(4)	Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.		
(5)	Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.		
(6)	Lack of Cooperation : Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.		
(7)	Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.		
(8)	No aggravating circumstances are involved.		
Addition	al aggravating circumstances:		

(Do no	ot write	e above this line.)			
	_	ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating imstances are required.			
(1)	\boxtimes	No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.			
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.			
(3)	\boxtimes	Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.			
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.			
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.			
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.			
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.			
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.			
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.			
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.			
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.			
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.			
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.			
Addi	tiona	al mitigating circumstances			
		(1) No prior discipline Respondent was admitted on February 8, 1977 and has πο prior record of discipline.			
		(3) Respondent has cooperated throughout the disciplinary proceedings.			
D. I	D. Discipline:				
(1)	\boxtimes	Stayed Suspension:			

(<u>Do n</u>	of write	e abov	e this li	1e.)
	(0)	121	Pes	and on the purpose of
	(a)	⊠		condent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year.
		l.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:
	(b)		The	above-referenced suspension is stayed.
(2)	\boxtimes	Proi	bation	
				ust be placed on probation for a period of two years , which will commence upon the effective preme Court order in this matter. (See rule 953, Calif. Rules of Ct.)
(3)		Actu	ual Su	spension:
	(a)	×		condent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period irty (30) days.
•		i.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:
FΔ	ddi	ions	si Co	nditions of Probation:
	KZI			
(1)	K)	If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct		
(2)	\boxtimes	During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.		
(3)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.		
(4)	×	and cond prob	sched litions ation	by (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation ule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must poet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.

(Do n	ot write	e above	e this line.)				
(5)		July where cond are a	10, and October 10 of the period of pr ther Respondent has complied with the ditions of probation during the preceding any proceedings pending against him	obation. Un e State Bar eg calendar or her in the t report wo	he Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, ander penalty of perjury, Respondent must state Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all quarter. Respondent must also state whether there is State Bar Court and if so, the case number and all cover less than 30 days, that report must be ended period.		
					nining the same information, is due no earlier than probation and no later than the last day of probation.		
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.					
(7)	×	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.					
(8)	×	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test gir at the end of that session.					
			No Ethics School recommended. Re	ason:	•		
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.					
(10)		The	The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:				
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions		
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions		
F. O	the	r Coi	nditions Negotiated by the Par	ties:			
(1)	×	the Cor one fur	Multistate Professional Responsibility nference of Bar Examiners, to the Office e year, whichever period is longer. Fai	Examination in Probaction in the second second in the second s	on: Respondent must provide proof of passage of on ("MPRE"), administered by the National tion during the period of actual suspension or within ss the MPRE results in actual suspension without), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) &		
			No MPRE recommended. Reason:				
(2)		Cal	Rule 955, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.				
(3)	Ø				If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 nents of rule 955, California Rules of Court, and		

(Do n	ot write	above this line.)
		perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(4)		Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
(5)		Other Conditions:

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

FREDERICK JOSEPH AMOROSE

CASE NUMBER(S):

05-O-3771 ET AL.

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Effective September 16, 2004 and continuing to May 23, 2005, respondent was suspended for failure to pay membership dues. Effective September 16, 2004 and continuing through June 6, 2005, respondent was suspended for failure to comply with MCLE requirements.

Respondent was aware that he was suspended for failure to pay membership dues and for failure to comply with MCLE requirements.

Between September 16, 2004 and June 6, 2005, respondent practiced law and held himself out as entitled to practice law in the following ways:

• On or about September 21, 2004, respondent filed a complaint on behalf of his client Shasta Constructors, Inc.: Shasta Constructors, Inc. vs. U.S. Fidelity and Guaranty, Merced County Superior Court case number 147966.

• On or about March 18, 2005 respondent filed a case management statement in the

Shasta Constructors v. U.S. Fidelity matter.

• On or about March 21, 2005, respondent attended the case management conference via telephone for the Shasta v. U.S. Fidelity case.

• On a regular basis throughout the case, respondent spoke to Shasta representatives about the substance of the case, gave legal advice, drafted correspondence, and represented his client.

Respondent sent bills to Shasta and collected fees for professional services.

Sometime after the case management conference (March 21, 2005), Rob Moseman of Shasta called respondent and told him he had learned that respondent was suspended. Respondent admitted to Moseman that he was suspended due to his failure to pay his membership dues and comply with MCLE requirements. After telling Moseman that he could be reinstated by May 2005 and that it would not delay the trial scheduled for September 2005, Moseman told respondent to get reinstated and continue representing Shasta in the matter.

Respondent eventually credited Shasta for payments made for services performed while he was suspended.

By filing pleadings, making court appearance, giving legal advice, and drafting documents, respondent held himself out as practicing or entitled to practice law or otherwise practicing law when he was not an active member of the State Bar in wilful violation of Business and Professions Code, sections 6068(a), 6125 and 6126.

By practicing law and holding himself out as entitled to practice law while he was suspended, respondent committed an act of moral turpitude, dishonesty, or corruption in wilful violation of section 6106 of the Business and Professions Code.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(7), was February 21, 2006.

STATE BAR ETHICS SCHOOL.

Because respondent has agreed to attend State Bar Ethics School as part of this stipulation, respondent may receive Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit upon the satisfactory completion of State Bar Ethics School.

RESTRICTIONS WHILE ON ACTUAL SUSPENSION.

- 1. During the period of actual suspension, respondent shall not:
 - Render legal consultation or advice to a client;
 - Appear on behalf of a client in any hearing or proceeding or before any judicial officer, arbitrator, mediator, court, public agency, referee, magistrate, commissioner, or hearing officer;
 - Appear as a representative of a client at a deposition or other discovery matter;
 - Negotiate or transact any matter for or on behalf of a client with third parties;
 - Receive, disburse, or otherwise handle a client's funds; or
 - Engage in activities which constitute the practice of law.
- 2. Respondent shall declare under penalty of perjury that he or she has complied with this provision in any quarterly report required to be filed with the Probation Unit, pertaining to periods in which the respondent was actually suspended from the practice of law.

(Do not write above this line.)		
In the Matter of Frederick Joseph Amorose, #73597	Case number(s): 05-O-3771	
H13331		

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

2/28/06	Frederick A. Gonoros	Frederick Joseph Amorose
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
13 March 2006 Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Erica L. M. Dennings Print Name

In the Matter of	Case number(s):	
Frederick J. Amorose	05-O-03371-JMR	

ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
	All Hearing dates are vacated.

The court hereby orders that the Stipulation is modified as follows:

- 1. On page 2, an "x" is inserted in the box under section B(8), indicating that there are no aggravating circumstances involved.
- 2. On page 4, the "x" is deleted in the box under section E(1), removing the conditional standard 1.4(c)(ii) requirement. While the court notes that respondent will remain suspended until he pays costs, there are no conditions that would extend respondent's actual suspension for the purposes of discipline beyond the 30-day suspension period that would render this condition appropriate under the circumstances.
- 3. On page 5, the "x" is deleted in the box under section F(3), removing the conditional rule 955 requirement. (See discussion above.)

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 953(a), California Rules of Court.)

4/12/06 Date

JOANN M. REMKE

Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on April 12, 2006, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

FREDERICK JOSEPH AMOROSE RICK AMOROSE LAW OFFICES 1127 11TH ST #930 SACRAMENTO CA 95814 3810

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

ERICA L. M. DENNINGS, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on April 12, 2006.

Bernadette C. O. Molina

Case Administrator State Bar Court