



(Do not write above this line.)


Counsel for the State Bar JAYNE KIM, No. 174614	Case number(s)	(for Court's use)
Office of Probation 1149 S. Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015 (213) 765-1714	05-PM-01000	FILED
Bar # 174614  ☐ Counsel for Respondent		JUN - 7 2005  STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES
Min Pro Per Respondent JAMES D. GOING, III 12100 E. Imperial Hwy. Suite 211 Norwalk, CA 90650 Bor # 123649	F	PUBLIC MATTER
in the Matter of	Submitted to 2 Yassigned ju	udge 🗆 settlement judge
JAMES D. GOING, III	STIPULATION RE FACTS, C DISPOSITION AND ORDER	ONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND APPROVING
Bar # 123649		
A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	PROBATION VIOLATION-  PREVIOUS STIPULATION RE	·

(1)	Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted	May	6,	1986
				(date)

- The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this slipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation, and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation and order consist of 10 pages.
- A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Low."
- The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filling of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending Investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.

(3)

(4)



	wmen	of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 &
B) Po მ	40.7.	(Check one option only):
(0		costs added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (no actual suspension)
(b	) 🗆	until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 284, Rules of Procedure (actual suspension)
(0	) XZX	costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years:  2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 + 2010 TDG JK
		(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)
(d (e	•	costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs" costs entirely waived
for	Profe	ating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions essional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating tances are required.
) &	Prior	record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]
(a)	凇	State Bar Court case # of prior case 01-0-04941 et al. (S120131)
(b)	XX	Date prior discipline effective February 11, 2004
(c)	番	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: RPC, rules 3-110(A)
	, · 	
(d)	~~	Degree of prior discipline 12 months suspension stayed; 24 months proba- including 30 days actual suspension.
(d) (e)	_	Degree of prior discipline 12 months suspension stayed; 24 months proba- including 30 days actual suspension.  If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or in a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline".
•-•	_	including 30 days actual suspension.  If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or in a
•-•	_	including 30 days actual suspension.  If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or in a
•-•	_	including 30 days actual suspension.  If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or in a
•-•	_	including 30 days actual suspension.  If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or in a

Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to

Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.

account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward

said funds or property.





(Do	not v	vrile above this line.)
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
(7)	<b>X</b> K	Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrong doing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(8)	0	No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Add	illor	nal aggravating circumstances:
		gating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating umstances are required.
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation to the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
(4)	□ -Ā()	Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on
<b>1</b> -7		In restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		<b>Delay:</b> These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
<b>(8</b> )		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any lilegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.

(Do not v	write above this line.)
(10) 🗆	Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(11) 🗆	Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
(12) XX	No mitigating circumstances are involved.
Addition	nal mi <del>lligating</del> circumstances:
Respondence 2005,	ndent took Ethics School and Client Trust Account School in April and submitted delinquent quarterly reports in April 2005.
RESPI LASI	Tho scheduled lest cubue) datch army spurent dring
D. Disc	cipline (choose only one):
(1)	Probation Extended: Respondent's probation in
	extended for
(2) KX	Probation Revoked; Probation Reinstated; Actual Suspension: Respondent's probation is revoked and
	reinstated on the same terms and conditions as previously imposed in $\frac{S120131}{Supreme Court case#}$ or State Bar Court case#
- 500	The terms of probation remain the same as in the prior order except as indicated below, in addition,
	Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law for $\frac{90 \text{ days}}{}$
(3)	Probation Revoked; Probation Reinstated; No Actual Suspension: Respondent's probation is
	revoked and reinstated on the same terms and conditions previously imposed in
	Supreme Court case # or State Bar Court case #
	except as indicated below.
•	
(4)	Probation Revoked; Probation not Reinstated; Actual Suspension: Respondent's probation is
	revoked. Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for



1	
•	

(Do not	write	apove	this I	ine.)


		addition to conditions previously imposed by the Supreme Court in its prior er, the following new conditions are being recommend by this stipulation:
(1)		During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
2)		if Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct,
3)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
4)	<b>M</b> X	Within 30 days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
5)		Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has compiled with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and, if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report will cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.
		In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than 20 days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.
6) -	п Бе <u>р</u>	Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review these terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.
7)		Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
8)	<u>.</u>	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
		□ No Ethics School recommended. Reason:
9)	<b>□</b>	Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report required to be filed with the Office of Probation.

(10) 🗆	The f	ollowing conditions are attached he	ereto and Inc	corporated:
		Substance Abuse Conditions	,	Law Office Management Conditions
	0	Medical Conditions	0	Financial Conditions
F. Oth	er Co	nditions Negotiated by the	Parties:	
		\ \frac{1}{2}		
(1) 🗆	Proba	tion Conditions Deleted or Mod	lifled:	
(-, –				
•				
- 2.0"	Š:			
(2) XCX	and (c)	55, California Rules of Court: Resp of rule 955, California Rules of Cour upreme Court order herein.	ondent must t, within 30 o	comply with the provisions of subdivisions (a) and 40 days, respectively, from the effective date
(3) 🗆	Condit	ional Rule 955, California Rules c	of Court: If R	espondent remains actually suspended for 90

days or more, he/she must comply with the provisions of subdivisions (a) and (c) of rule 955, California Rules of Court, within 120 and 130 days, respectively, from the effective date of the Supreme Court

order herein.

. .



(Do not write above this line.) Case number(s): In the Matter of JAMES D. GOING, III 05-PM-01000

# SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

5/18/05	Respondent's singaure	James D. Going, III Print Name
Date	Respondent Counsel's signature	Print Name
6/1/05	Supervising Attorney, Office of Probation signature	Jayne Kim Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)				
In the Matter of	Case number(s):			
JAMES D. GOING, III	05-PM-01000			

# **ORDER**

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- All Hearing dates are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 953(a), California Rules of Court.)

6-2-05

Date

- 30 60

Judge of the State Ray Court

ROBERT M. TALCOTT

## ATTACHMENT TO

# STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

James D. Going III

CASE NUMBER:

05-PM-01000

# FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

- 1. Respondent, James D. Going ("Respondent"), was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on May 6, 1986, and was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.
- 2. By order dated January 12, 2004, the Supreme Court imposed discipline on Respondent in case no. S120131 (State Bar Court case nos. 01-O-04941 et al.). The Supreme Court suspended Respondent for twelve (12) months but stayed the execution of the suspension on the condition that Respondent comply with all terms of probation.
- 3. As terms of probation, Respondent was ordered to do the following: (1) submit written quarterly reports on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10, of the probationary period; (2) complete Ethics School within one year from the effective date of discipline; and (3) complete three hours of live MCLE courses in law office management within one year from the effective date of discipline.
- 4. Respondent has failed to comply with the terms of probation in that he failed to do the following: (1) submit written quarterly reports on October 10, 2004, and January 10, 2005; (2) submit proof of Ethics School by February 11, 2005; and (3) submit proof of MCLE courses by February 11, 2005.
- 5. By the aforementioned conduct, Respondent wilfully violated the terms and conditions of probation.

#### PENDING PROCEEDINGS:

The disclosure dated referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(6), was provided on May 17, 2005.

#### COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of Probation has informed respondent that as of May 31, 2005, the estimated prosecution costs in this PM matter are approximately \$1546.00. Respondent acknowledges that this figure is an estimate only and that it does not include State Bar Court costs which will be included in any final cost assessment. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.





# OTHER CONDITION:

The parties stipulate that Respondent will be placed on involuntary inactive status immediately upon order of the State Bar Court, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6007(d), and may receive credit towards actual suspension for time served on inactive enrollment.

### ADDITIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES:

Respondent submitted the aforementioned delinquent quarterly reports in April 2005. Respondent submitted proof of completion of Ethics School and Client Trust Accounting School in April 2005. Respondent has agreed to complete the aforementioned MCLE courses before the effective date of the instant discipline.

# **DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY FIRST CLASS MAIL**

1 2 CASE NUMBER: 05-PM-01000 3 I, the undersigned, over the age of eighteen (18) years, whose business address and place of 4 employment is the State Bar of California, 1149 South Hill Street, Los Angeles, California 90015, declare that I am not a party to the within action; that I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United 5 States Postal Service; that in the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day; that I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit; and that in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles, on the date shown below, 9 a true copy of the within 10 STIPULATION RE: FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING—PROBATION VIOLATION – "PM" PROCEEDING 11 in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as first class mail, at Los Angeles, on the 12 date shown below, addressed to: 13 JAMES D. GOING, III 12100 E. IMPERIAL HWY., #211 14 NORWALK, CA 90650 15 in an inter-office mail facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to: 16 N/A 17 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is 18 true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California, on the date shown below. 19 20 21 SIGNED: DATED: June 1, 2005 22 Declarant 23 24 25

26

27

28

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE [Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on June 7, 2005, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING PROBATION VIOLATION – "PM" PROCEEDING, filed June 7, 2005

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

James D. Going III 12100 E Imperial Hwy #211 Norwalk, CA 90650

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

**JAYNE KIM, Probation Dept, Los Angeles** 

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on June 7, 2005.

Tammy R. Cleaver
Case Administrator
State Bar Court