



Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- December 19, 1994 Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted (1)(date)
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition (to be attached separately) are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court. However, if Respondent is not accepted into the Lawyer Assistance Program, this stipulation will be rejected and will not be binding on Respondent or the State Bar.
- All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved (3) by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated, except for Probation Revocation Proceedings. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation and order consists of 7 pages.
- A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included (4) under "Facts." - See Attachment
- Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts, are also included under "Conclusions of (5) Law." - See Attachment

(Stipulation form approved by SBC Executive Committee 9/18/2002, Revised 12/16/2004)

Program

(Do not write above this line.)

- (6) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (7) Payment of Disciplinary Costs-Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 6086.10 & 6140.7 and will pay timely any disciplinary costs imposed in this proceeding.
- B. Aggravating Circumstances [Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.
- (1)Prior Record of Discipline [see standard 1.2(f)] (a) State Bar Court Case # of prior case (b) Date prior discipline effective Rules of Professional Conduct/State Bar Action violations (C) (d) Degree of prior discipline if Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or (e) under "Prior Discipline" (above) (2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct. (3) Trust violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property. Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of (4) lustice. indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the (5) consequences of his or her misconduct. Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to the victims of (6) his/her misconduct or the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings. Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of (7) wrong doing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. X (8) No aggravating circumstances are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

(Do not write above this line.)

C.	Mitig circu	ating Circumstances [standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating mstances are required.
(1)	X	No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
(3)	X	Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation to the xictims:of xis/berxrix.conduct:oncktor/ME State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good taith.
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish were directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drugs or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

Program

ATTACHMENT TO STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

IN THE MATTER OF: JACQUELINE STATEN ("Respondent"), no. 175733

CASE NUMBER: 06-C-11615-RAP

I. PRELIMINARY PROVISIONS

A. PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(6), was February 6, 2007.

B. PARTIES ARE BOUND BY THE STIPULATED FACTS:

The parties intend to be, and are hereby, bound by the stipulated facts contained in this stipulation upon Respondent's acceptance into the Alternative Discipline Program. This stipulation as to facts shall independently survive even if the conclusions of law and/or stipulated disposition set forth herein are rejected or changed in any manner whatsoever by the Hearing Department or the Review Department of the State Bar Court, or by the California Supreme Court.

C. WAIVER OF FINALITY OF CONVICTION (rule 607):

Pursuant to the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, rule 607, the parties stipulate that the Court has jurisdiction over this matter, and may decide the issues as to the discipline to be imposed even if the criminal convictions discussed herein are not final. Respondent waives determination of finality of his conviction and consents to the State Bar Court's acceptance of this Stipulation as to facts, conclusions of law and discipline in all respects as if the conviction was final, including the entry of findings consistent with this Stipulation, imposition of discipline, or entry of a recommendation as to the degree of the discipline to be imposed.

Respondent further waives any right-he may have to seek review or reconsideration of this State Bar disciplinary matter due to the result of any appeal or petition regarding the underlying criminal conviction.

II. STIPULATION AS TO FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statues and/or Rules of Professional Conduct, or has otherwise committed acts of misconduct warranting discipline:

STIPULATED FACTS:

1. In the late evening on January 5, 2006, Respondent became involved in an altercation with a former friend after she and a male friend came to Respondent's home unannounced. The victim was with her car across the street from Respondent's home as the male friend came to the door of Respondent's residence and sought return of victim's personal property that had been

 $\frac{4}{Page \#}$

Attachment Page 1

left at the residence. Respondent came outside and demanded the victim leave, and an argument ensued. During the altercation Respondent pushed the car door against her, causing injury to the victim's ear that required stitches.

2. Respondent was arrested and ultimately charged with felony assault in San Diego Superior Court. She plead guilty in March 2006 to one count of misdemeanor Battery with Serious Bodily Injury, Penal Code sec. 243(d).

3. The conviction was not appealed. Imposition of sentence was continued for three years on conditions including three years' summary probation, among other conditions.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

The facts and circumstances surrounding Respondent's conviction for misdemeanor Battery, a violation of Penal Code section 243(d), do not involve moral turpitude but do warrant discipline under Business and Professions Code sections 6068(a).

//// End of Attachment //////



n the Matter of	Case number(s):		
JACQUELINE STATEN Member #175733	06-C-11615		

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts and Conclusions of Law.

Respondent enters into this stipulation as a condition of his/her participation in the Program. Respondent understands that he/she must abide by all terms and conditions of Respondent's Program Contract.

If the Respondent is not accepted into the Program or does not sign the Program contract, this Stipulation will be rejected and will not be binding on Respondent or the State Bar.

If the Respondent is accepted into the Program, upon Respondent's successful completion of or termination from the Program, this Stipulation will be filed and the specified level of discipline for successful completion of or termination from the Program as set forth in the State Bar Court's Statement Re: Discipline shall be imposed or recommended to the Supreme Court.

17-00

Responden

JACQUELINE STATEN

Date

Felo. 15, 2007

Respondent's Counsel's signature

Deputy Idal C sel's signature

Print name

ERIC H. HSU Print name

In the Matter of	Case number(s):	
JACQUELINE STATEN Member #175733	06-C-11615	

ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

Ø

The stipulation as to facts and conclusions of law is APPROVED.

The stipulation as to facts and conclusions of law is APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below.

All court dates in the Hearing Department are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation; or 3) Respondent is not accepted for participation in the Program or does not sign the Program Contract. (See rule 135(b) and 802(b), Rules of Procedure.)

7/24/07

Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on September 16, 2009, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

DECISION AND ORDER SEALING DOCUMENTS STIPULATION RE FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW (06-O-11559) STIPULATION RE FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW (06-C-11615)

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

JACQUELINE STATEN LAW OFC JACQUELINE STATEN 601 S MILLIKEN AVE STE K140 ONTARIO, CA 91761

JACQUELINE STATEN 19628 CAMPAIGN DR CARSON, CA 90746

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

MONIQUE MILLER, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on September 16, 2009.

Johnnie Lee Smith Case Administrator State Bar Court