(Do not write above this line.) State Bar Court of California XX San Francisco Hearing Department □ Los Angeles (for Court's use) Counsel for the State Bar Case number(s) **PUBLIC MATTER** MARK HARTMAN 06-C-11830-PEM Deputy Trial Counsel 180 Howard St. FILED San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 538-2000 NOV 2 7 2006 Bar# 114925 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE Counsel for Respondent SAN FRANCISCO In Pro Per, Respondent GREGORY JOHN BULLIUNG 5012 Mission St. San Francisco, CA 94112 Telephone: (415) 841-9000 Bar# 144474 XX assigned judge settlement judge Submitted to STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND In the Matter of * DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING GREGORY JOHN BULLIUNG REPROVAL **PRIVATE** KXX PUBLIC Bar# 144474 A Member of the State Bar of California PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED (Respondent) Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided

in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings. e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 12, 1989. (1)
- The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or (2) disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved (3) by this stipulation, and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation and order consist of 10 pages.
- A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of (5) Law."
- The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading (6) "Supporting Authority."
- No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.

(8)	Payı	ment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 0.7. (Check one option only):
	(a)	Excosts added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public reproval)
	(b)	□ case ineligible for costs (private reproval)
	(c)	☐ costs to be paid in equal amounts for the following membership years:
		(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)
	(d)	\square costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs"
	(e)	☐ costs entirely waived
(9)	The	parties understand that:
	(a) (b)	A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquires and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page. A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
1	or P Circu	ravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts Supporting Aggravating umstances are required.
(1)		Prior record of discipline (see standard 1.2(f))
	(a)	☐ State Bar Court case # of prior case
-	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective
• .	(c)	☐ Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
٠		
	(d)	Degree of prior discipline
	(~·)	

(Do n	ot wri	te above this line.)
	(e)	☐ If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline".
÷		
	٠.	
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(8)	KX	No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Add	itior	nal aggravating circumstances:
C.	Mit	igating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating cumstances are required.
(1)	(3)	K No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
(3)	(28)	K Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
(4)		Remotse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remotse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.

(Do n	Do not write above this line.)		
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.	
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.	
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.	
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.	
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.	
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.	
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.	
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.	
(13)) 🗆	No mitigating circumstances are involved.	

Additional mitigating circumstances:

<u>[Do</u>	not write abo	ove this line.)		
D.	Discipli	ne:		
(1)		Private reproval (check applicable conditions, If any, below)		
		(a) Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).		
Οĭ		(b) Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).		
	574	Dublic reasonal (check and leads) conditions. If once below		
(2)	XX	Public reproval (check applicable conditions, If any, below)		
E.	Condition	ons Attached to Reproval:		
(1)	[XX	Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of		
		one (1) year following the effective date of reproval.		
(2)	XX	During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.		
(3)	<u>Z¥</u> X	Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of Information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.		
(4)	□ X x	Within 30 days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.		
(5)	₽X	Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and, if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than thirty (30) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date and cover the extended period.		
		In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period.		
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to guarantee requested to be submitted to the Office of Probation.		
		to quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.		

(7)	XO K	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.		
(8)	SZK	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance of the Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session.		
-		□ No Ethics School ordered. Reason:		
(9)	XX	Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report required to be filed with the Office of Probation.		
(10)		Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reproval.		
		No MPRE ordered Reason: The MPRE is not required in this case.		
(11)		The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:		
		☐ Substance Abuse Conditions ☐ Law Office Management Conditions		
		☐ Medical Conditions ☐ Financial Conditions		

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

In the Matter of

Case No. 06-C-11830-PEM

GREGORY JOHN BULLIUNG, No. 144474,

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSION OF LAW, AND DISPOSITION

A Member of the State Bar.

FACTS

In March 1992, respondent Gregory John Bulling ("respondent") was arrested while driving with a blood alcohol level of 0.11 percent. He later pleaded guilty to a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), which prohibits driving with a blood alcohol level of 0.08 percent or more.

In March 2006, respondent was arrested while driving with a blood alcohol level of 0.19 percent. He later pleaded guilty to another misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b).

CONCLUSION OF LAW

Respondent's second drunk driving offense involved misconduct warranting discipline. (See *In re Kelley* (1990) 52 Cal.3d 487, 495-496.)

DATE OF DISCLOSURE OF ANY PENDING INVESTIGATION OR PROCEEDING

On November 8, 2006, the State Bar sent respondent a letter disclosing any pending investigation or proceeding not resolved by this stipulation.

ESTIMATED PROSECUTION COST

The estimated prosecution cost of State Bar case number 06-C-11830-PEM ("the current case") is \$1,636.00 This sum is only an estimate. If the current stipulation is rejected or if relief from the current stipulation is granted, the prosecution cost of the current case may increase because of the cost of further proceedings.

SUPPORTING AUTHORITY

The Rules of Procedure of the State Bar, Title IV, Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standards 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, and 3.4 support the discipline recommended in the current stipulation.

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of	Case number(s):
GREGORY JOHN BULLIUNG No. 144474, A Member of the State Bar	06-C-11830-PEM

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

11/9/04	In Andlying	GREGORY JOHN BULLIUNG	
Dale / /	Respondent's signature	Print name	
Dafe	Respondent's Counsel's signature	Printname	
11 /14 /06 Date	Mark Hartman Deputy Irlai Counsel's signature	MARK HARTMAN Print norme	

(Do not write above this line.)	
In the Matter of	Case number(s):
GREGORY JOHN BULLIUNG	06-C-11830-PEM
No. 144474, A Member of the State Bar	

ORDER

Finding that the stipulation protects the public and that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions attached to the reproval, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.
☐ The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSED.
All court dates in the Hearing Department are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or futher modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 125(b), Rules of Procedure.) Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after service of this order.

Failure to comply with any conditions attached to this reproval may constitute cause for a separate proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct.

Date

November 27, 2006

Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on November 27, 2006, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

GREGORY J. BULLIUNG BULLIUNG & ASSOCIATES 5012 MISSION ST SAN FRANCISCO CA 94112

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

MARK HARTMAN, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on November 27, 2006.

Laine Silber

Case Administrator

felle

State Bar Court