State Bar Court of California Hearing Department Los Angeles

Counsel For The State Bar

Lee Ann Kern, DTC State Bar of California 1149 S. Hill St. Los Angeles, CA 90015-2299 213-765-1272 Case Number (s) 06-0-11744

(for Court's use)

PUBLIC MATTER

FILEDOM

NOV 28 2006

STATE BAR COURT

CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES

Bar # 156623

In Pro Per Respondent

Ruth Rose 3460 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 306 Los Angeles, CA 90010

Submitted to: Assigned Judge

Bar # 145887 In the Matter Of: RUTH ROSE

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

Bar # 145887

STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION

A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted February 20, 1990.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 9 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."

kwiktag* 022 603 951

(Do no	t writ	e above this line.)					
(7)		o more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any ending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.					
(8)		syment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 40.7. (Check one option only):					
		costs added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline. costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: (hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure) costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs" costs entirely waived					
P	rof	ravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for essional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances equired.					
(1)		Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]					
	(a)	State Bar Court case # of prior case					
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective					
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:					
	(d)	Degree of prior discipline					
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.					
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.					
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.					
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.					
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.					
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.					
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.					
(8)	\boxtimes	No aggravating circumstances are involved.					
Addit	iona	al aggravating circumstances					

	-	gating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating umstances are required.			
(1)	\boxtimes	No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.			
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.			
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.			
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.			
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.			
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.			
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.			
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.			
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.			
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.			
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.			
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.			
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.			
Addi	itiona	al mitigating circumstances			
D. 1	Disc	ipline:			
(1)	\boxtimes	Stayed Suspension:			
	(a)	Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year			

(Do u	ot writ	<u>e above</u>	e this kr	le.)	
		l.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard	
		ii.		1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to	
		lii.		this stipulation. and until Respondent does the following:	
	The	e abov	e-refe	erenced suspension is stayed.	
(2)	\boxtimes	Prob	ation		
				placed on probation for a period of two years , which will commence upon the effective date of ourt order in this matter. (See rule 953, California Rules of Court)	
E. A	\ddi	tiona	ıl Co	nditions of Probation:	
(1)	\boxtimes			probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules cal Conduct.	
(2)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.			
(3)		Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probatio and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.			
(4)		July whete cond are a curre	Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.		
				to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.	
(5)		cond Durin in ad	itions ng the dition	nt must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance, period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must fully with the probation monitor.	
(6)		inqui direc	ries of ted to	assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any fithe Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has with the probation conditions.	

(Do	not writ	e above	this line.)	·			
(7)	\boxtimes	Prob	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the State Bar Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.				
		\boxtimes	No Ethics School recommended. Reason: Respondent attended Ethics School on October 26, 2006, and passed the test at the end of the session.				
(8)		must	Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.				
(9)		The f	The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:				
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions		
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions		
F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:							
(1)		Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 951(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.					
			No MPRE recommended. Reaso	on:			
(2)		Oth	er Conditions:				

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

RUTH ROSE

CASE NUMBER(S):

06-O-11744

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that she is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and Rules of Professional Conduct.

COUNT ONE:

Business and Professions Code, section 6103

[Failure to Obey a Court Order]

- 1. At all relevant times, Respondent was counsel of record for the father of a juvenile in a dependency proceeding.
- 2. Respondent received notice of a court hearing scheduled for July 14, 2005, and failed to appear at the hearing.
- 3. Respondent received notice of a court hearing scheduled for November 14, 2005, and failed to appear at the hearing. On or about this date, the court set an order to Show Cause ("OSC") for November 21, 2005, as to why sanctions should not be imposed on Respondent for her failures to appear. On or about November 14, 2005, the court served Respondent with notice of the OSC re sanctions. Respondent received the notice.
- 4. On or about November 21, 2005, Respondent failed to appear at the OSC and was sanctioned \$500, payable to the court on or before December 21, 2005. On or about November 21, 2005, the court served Respondent with its sanctions order, including the date by which the sanctions were required to be paid. Respondent received the sanction order.
- 5. Respondent did not pay the sanctions on or before December 21, 2005. On or about that date, the court mailed Respondent a notice in which it again informed her of the sanctions that had been imposed on her on November 21, 2005. Respondent received the court's notice.
- 6. Respondent never paid the \$500 in sanctions ordered by the court on November 21, 2005.
- 7. LEGAL CONCLUSION: By failing to appear at the November 21, 2005, OSC and by failing to pay the \$500 in sanctions ordered on that date, Respondent failed to obey orders of

the court, in wilful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6103.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(7), was November 2, 2006.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards:

The Supreme court gives the Standards "great weight," and will reject a recommendation consistent with the Standards only where the Court entertains "grave doubts" as to its propriety. (In re Naney (1990) 51 Cal.3d 186, 190; In re Silverton (2005) 36 Cal. 4th 81, 91-92.) Although the Standards are not mandatory, it is well established that the Standards may be deviated from only when there is a compelling, well-defined reason to do so. See Aronin v. State Bar (1990) 52 Cal.3d 276, 291; Bates v. State Bar (1990) 51 Cal.3d 1056, 1060, fn. 2. There is no compelling reason to deviate from the standards in the instant matter.

2.6 - Culpability of a member of a violation of Business and Professions Code section 6103 shall result in disbarment or suspension depending on the gravity of the offense or harm, if any, to the victim.

Case Law:

In In the Matter of Respondent X (Review Dept. 1997) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 592, the attorney violated the confidentiality provision of a court order, but contended that the order was void for several reasons. Respondent unsuccessfully sought, by way of a writ, to have the order declared void. The Review Department found that unusual circumstances surrounded the attorney's violation of the order, including his belief that he was acting in support of sound public policy, as well as the fact that he sought appellate review of the order. Those facts, along with the attorney's lack of prior discipline, caused the Review Department to deviate from standard 2.6 and privately reprove him.

Although the Respondent in the case at bar has no record of prior discipline, her misconduct does not warrant deviation from standard 2.6 in that she acknowledges that she received notice of the Order to Show Cause re Sanctions, as well as the order imposing sanctions and, despite that knowledge, she wilfully failed to comply with the court's orders to attend the hearing and pay the sanctions. As such, the recommended discipline is appropriate.

(Do not write above this line.)				
In the Matter of	Case number(s):	Case number(s):		
RUTH ROSE	06-0-11744			
	00-0-11744			
·				

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

by their signatur	es below, t	ne parues a	na tneir couns	ei, as applica	ible, signity the	eir agreement with
each of the recit	ations and	each of the	terms and con	ditions of this	Stipulation R	e Fact.
Conclusions of L					,	
		, ,),,,,	\bigcap			
· 1	\			}		

1109/06	Respondent's Signature	Ruth Rose	
<u> </u>		Print Name	
Date 11 13/00	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name Lee Kern	
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name	

4		
(Do not write	above this line.)	
In the Mat		Case Number(s): 06-Q-11744
	ORE	DER
Finding the IT IS ORI		d that it adequately protects the public, counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without
	The stipulated facts and disposition a RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Co	are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE ourt.
	The stipulated facts and disposition a below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECO	are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth DMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
	All Hearing dates are vacated.	
the stipula or further effective (ition, filed within 15 days after service o modifies the approved stipulation. (See	re date of the Supreme Court order herein,
•		6 0-

Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on November 28, 2006, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

RUTH C. ROSE ROSE & ASSOCIATES 3460 WILSHIRE BLVD #306 LOS ANGELES, CA 90010

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

LEE ANN KERN, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on November 28, 2006.

Johnnie Lee Smith Case Administrator State Bar Court