



# STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA HEARING DEPARTMENT – LOS ANGELES

In the Matter of	) Case No.: <b>06-O-12753-RAH</b>
JAMES MICHAEL FOWLER,	ORDER MODIFYING
Member No. 159605,	) REPROVAL CONDITIONS
A Member of the State Bar.	) )

In accordance with the parties' February 3, 2010, stipulation, the court orders that the conditions attached to the public reproval that was imposed on respondent **JAMES MICHAEL FOWLER** in the Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 22, 2009, are MODIFIED to delete condition E(9), which provides that "Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation." (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rules 271, 551, 553(a)(1).)

Further, because the parties' February 3, 2010, stipulation makes clear that there is no "underlying criminal matter" in this disciplinary proceeding, the court orders that the foregoing modification is ENTERED NUNC PRO TUNC effective October 22, 2009.

Dated: February **10**, 2010.

**DONALD F. MILES**Judge of the State Bar Court

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on February 11, 2010, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

### ORDER MODIFYING REPROVAL CONDITIONS

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

JAMES M. FOWLER FOWLER LAW GROUP 10635 SANTA MONICA BLVD #305 LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

### ASHOD MOORADIAN, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on February 11, 2010.

Tammy Cleaver Case Administrator State Bar Court

FILED

JAN 20 2010 Y

## STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES

In the Matter of  DELORES ANN BROWN,	Case No. 00-Q-13773 99-N-12875 ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM
Member No. 107776,	O COSTS AND ESTABLISHING O INSTALLMENT PAYMENTS
A Member of the State Bar.	<u> </u>

On November 25, 2009, this court signed an order denying the motion by Petitioner

Delores Ann Brown (Petitioner) to waive or abate the previous assessment of costs against her

or, in the alternative, to create a payment plan. As a basis for the latter portion of the denial, the
court noted Petitioner's failure to propose a specific payment plan.

On December 14, 2009, Petitioner filed a Motion for Reconsideration, in which she proposed a specific payment plan, to wit: monthly payments of \$100 per month for 21 months.

On December 17, 2009, the State Bar filed a response to the motion, indicating that it did not oppose the proposed payment plan.

Having considered the papers and supporting documents submitted by the parties, the court rules as follows:

With regard to the motion to reconsider the Petitioner's request for a waiver or abatement of the prior cost assessment, that motion is DENIED.

With regard to Petitioner's request that she be allowed to pay the assessed costs on a monthly basis, good cause appearing, the court GRANTS the motion and orders that Petitioner pay the costs previously awarded by the Supreme Court by paying \$100 per month for 21 consecutive months. Each of such payments will be due on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of the month and will be



deemed delinquent if not paid with good and valid funds on or before the 10<sup>th</sup> day of that same month. The first of such payments is due on March 1, 2010.

It is further ordered that, if Petitioner fails to pay any of the above monthly payments within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the previously assessed costs is due and payable immediately unless relief has been granted under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 286). The payment of costs is enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 15, 2010

DONALD F. MILES Judge of the State Bar Court

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on January 20, 2010, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

### ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM COSTS AND ESTABLISHING INSTALLMENT PAYMENTS

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

DELORIS BROW 655 E. FAIRVIEW BLVD., INGLEWOOD, CA 90302

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

### DANE DAUPHINE, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on January 20, 2010.

Tammy Cleaver
Case Administrator
State Bar Court