State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** Los Angeles



Counsel For The State Bar (for Court's use) Case Number (s) 06-O-14740 Dane C. Dauphine Supervising Trial Counsel 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015-2288 (213) 765-1255 AUG 09 2010 HOC STATE BAR COURT Bar # 121606 CLERK'S OFFICE Counsel For Respondent LOS ANGELES Samuel P. Plunkett **PUBLIC MATTER** 264 S. La Cienega Blvd., # 1226 Beverly Hills, CA 90211 (310) 218-8340 Submitted to: Assigned Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND Bar # 139418 DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING In the Matter Of: Paul Alzona Dulin **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** Bar # 181584 ☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED A Member of the State Bar of California

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

(Respondent)

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted January 18, 1996.
- (2)The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 9 pages, not including the order.
- A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included (4) under "Facts."
- Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of (5) Law".

(Do	not writ	te above this line.)				
(6)	The "Su	e parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading upporting Authority."				
(7)		No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.				
(8)	Pa ₃	yment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 40.7. (Check one option only):				
		relief is obtained per rule 284, Rules of Procedure.				
	Prof	ravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for essional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances equired.				
(1)		Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]				
	(a)	State Bar Court case # of prior case				
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective				
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:				
	(d)	Degree of prior discipline				
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.				
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.				
(3)	A ₁ 🗀	Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.				
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.				
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.				
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.				
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.				

(Do I	not wri	te above this line.)
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Add	lition	al aggravating circumstances:
C. I	Mitig circu	pating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating umstances are required.
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. Respondent was candid during meetings with the State Bar and cooperated with the State Bar in entering into this Stipulation and in closing the Rosemead office.
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature. Respondent's was unable to devote his full attention to his law practice because he was experiencing extreme difficulties in his personal life, including but not limited to the following. In February 2002, Respondent's wife gave birth to their son who was born with dysplastic nevis and needed to undergo two operations in June and November 2002, causing Respondent substantial emotional distress. In or about April 2006, Respondent's son was diagnosed with Autism which has required Respondent to devote considerable time to the care of his son.
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.

(Do n	ot writ	e abov	this line.)			
(12)		Reh follo	Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.			
(13)		Noı	No mitigating circumstances are involved.			
Add	ition	al mit	pating circumstances			
D .	Disc	scipline:				
(1)	\boxtimes	Stay	Stayed Suspension:			
	(a)	\boxtimes	Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of two (2) years.			
,		1.	and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.			
		ii.	and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.			
		iii.	and until Respondent does the following:			
	(b)	\boxtimes	The above-referenced suspension is stayed.			
(2)	\boxtimes	Probation:				
	Res date	pond of the	nt must be placed on probation for a period of four (4) years, which will commence upon the effective Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)			
(3)	\boxtimes	Actual Suspension:				
	(a)		Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of sixty (60) days.			
		i.	and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct			
		ii.	and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.			
		iii.	and until Respondent does the following:			
E. A	ddit	iona	Conditions of Probation:			
(1)	\boxtimes	he/sh	condent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in all law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.			
(2)		Durin Profe	the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of sional Conduct.			

(Do n	ot write	e above	this line.)			
(3)	\boxtimes	State inform	Bar and to the Office of Prob	ation of the St address and	tate Bate telepl	report to the Membership Records Office of the ar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of none number, or other address for State Barness and Professions Code.
(4)		Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.				
(5)		July wheth cond are a curre	Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.			
		In ad twent	dition to all quarterly reports, a ty (20) days before the last da	a final report, or y of the period	contai d of pr	ning the same information, is due no earlier than obation and no later than the last day of probation.
(6)	i i	Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.				
(7)		Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.				
(8)	\boxtimes	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.				
			No Ethics School recommend	ded. Reason:		•
(9)		must				on imposed in the underlying criminal matter and with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office
(10)		The f	ollowing conditions are attach	ed hereto and	incor	porated:
			Substance Abuse Conditions	; [Law Office Management Conditions
			Medical Conditions	[Financial Conditions
F. O	the	Con	ditions Negotiated by t	he Parties:		
(1)		the Con	Multistate Professional Respo ference of Bar Examiners, to	nsibility Exam the Office of P	inatio Probat	on: Respondent must provide proof of passage of n ("MPRE"), administered by the National ion during the period of actual suspension or within s the MPRE results in actual suspension without

<u>(Do n</u>	ot write	above this line.)
		further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.
		□ No MPRE recommended. Reason:
(2)		Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(4)		Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
(5)	\boxtimes	Other Conditions:
		Law Office Management Conditions
		Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent shall submit to the Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of no less then six (6) hours of Mandatory Continuing Legal Education ("MCLE") approved courses in law office management, attorney client relations, and/or client trust accounting. This requirement is separate from any MCLE requirement, and Respondent shall not received MCLE credit for attending these courses (Rule 3201, Rule of Procedure of the State Bar.)
		Client Trust Accounting School
		Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of the session.

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

Paul Alzona Dulin

CASE NUMBER(S):

06-O-14740

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

FACTS:

- 1. On or about January 18, 1996, Respondent was admitted to the practice of law in California. Between the date of his admission and the present, Respondent's official State Bar membership records address has always been in Escondido, California.
- 2. In or about the Summer of 2001, Respondent began discussions with non-attorney Jason Lee ("Lee") about operating a law practice approximately 100 miles away in Rosemead, California. Lee would act as the office manager for a law practice that would provide legal services in the areas of immigration, bankruptcy, divorce, personal injury, and criminal law.
- 3. In or about 2001, Respondent opened a law practice titled "Law Offices of Paul A. Dulin" at 7771 Garvey Avenue, Suite A, Rosemead, California 91770 (the "Rosemead Office"). Respondent hired Lee as the office manager for the Rosemead Office.
- 4. Initially, Respondent agreed to pay Lee an hourly fee, but he discovered that the sum paid to Lee was exceeding the sum which Respondent was left as his fees. At the end of 2001 or the beginning of 2002, Respondent and Lee agreed that they would divide the profits from the Rosemead Office equally. Thereafter, Respondent divided the attorney fees obtained in individual cases with Lee.
- 5. Between in or about 2001 and April 2006, Respondent traveled from his home or office Escondido, California, to the Rosemead Office approximately once or twice a week to operate the practice. Between in or about April 2006 and in or about January 4, 2007, Respondent traveled to the Rosemead Office approximately once a week to operate the law practice.
- 6. During an ongoing investigation of insurance fraud and capping by non-attorneys in Los Angeles County, an undercover investigator for the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office posing as the administrator for a chiropractic clinic entered into an agreement with Lee for Lee to refer patients to the clinic in exchange for a referral fee of 40% of the medical portion of the total settlement in each case. Thereafter, during 2005, Lee made four referrals to the clinic and collected a referral fee on at least three of the cases.
- 7. On December 19, 2006, Jason Lee was indicted by the Grand Jury of the County of Los Angeles of the crime of unlawful referrals in violation of Insurance Code section 750(a) and insurance fraud in violation of Penal Code section 550(a)(5).

8. On January 4, 2007, the State Bar obtained an interim order from the Los Angeles County Superior Court assuming jurisdiction over Respondent's law practice at the Rosemead Office. On February 15, 2007, Respondent signed a stipulation consenting to a permanent order to apply only to the Rosemead Office and without making any admission as to any improper conduct on his part. On February 27, 2007, the Los Angeles County Superior Court issued a permanent order assuming jurisdiction over Respondent's Rosemead Office.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

By splitting the profits from the cases in the Rosemead Office with Lee, Respondent shared legal fees with a person who is not a lawyer in willful violation of rule 1-320(A), Rules of Professional Conduct.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was June 18, 2010.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of May 12, 2010, the prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$1,983. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Supreme Court has stated that the purpose of disciplinary proceedings are the protection of the public, the courts, and the legal profession, the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys, and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. (*In re Morse* (1995) 11 Cal.4th 184, 206.)

The Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct provide guidance as to the imposition of discipline Standard 2.10 provides that culpability of a wilful violation of any rule not specified in these standards shall result in reproval or suspension according to the gravity of the offense or the harm, if any, to the victim, with due regard to the purposes of imposing discipline set forth in standard 1.3. The violation of sharing fees with a non-lawyer, has resulted in actual suspension or disbarment, but it is usually accompanied by other violations such as aiding the unauthorized practice of law or partnership with a non-lawyer. (*In the Matter of Steele* (Review Dept. 1997) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 708 (disbarment); *In the Matter of Bragg* (Review Dept. 1997) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 615 (1 year actual suspension); *In the Matter of Jones* (1993) 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 615 (2 year actual suspension); *In the Matter of Nelson* (Review Dept. 1990) 1 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 178 (6 months actual suspension).

Here, Respondent has admitted his misconduct to the State Bar and cooperated in shutting down the law office where the improper conduct occurred. Given the lapse of time since the misconduct and the Respondent's cooperation, a 60-day actual suspension with a period of probation is an appropriate level of discipline.

(Do not write above this line.)	Coop number(s):	
In the Matter of	Case number(s): 06-O-14740	
Paul A. Dulin	U0-U-14/4U	
		· ·

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

2/. /	Medical	
/13/10	Mark. Jun.	Paul A. Dulin
Date, /	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
7/12/2010		Samuel P. Plunkett
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
7-14-10	Dane (Jauphing	Dane C. Dauphine
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)	
In the Matter Of	Case Number(s):
Paul Alzona Dulin	06-O-14740

ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
	All Hearing dates are vacated.
PA	GE 4 - SECTION E. (1) - REMOVE CHECK MARK

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)

Judge of the State Bar Court

RICHARD A. PLATEL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on August 9, 2010, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a se	aled envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:
\boxtimes	by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:
	SAMUEL P PLUNKETT 264 S LA CIENEGA BLVD #1226 BEVERLY HILLS CA 90211
	by certified mail, No. , with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal Service at , California, addressed as follows:
	by overnight mail at , California, addressed as follows:
	by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.
	By personal service by leaving the documents in a sealed envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or a person having charge of the attorney's office, addressed as follows:
\boxtimes	by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:
	DANE DAUPHINE, Enforcement, Los Angeles
	by certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on t 9, 2010.

Angela Garpenter Case Administrator State Bar Court