



#### State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department PUBLIC MATTER** Los Angeles Counsel For The State Bar Case Number (s) (for Court's use) 07-C-12114-RAH Michael J. Glass **Deputy Trial Counsel** 1149 S. Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015 (213) 765-1254 STATE BAR COURT Bar # 102700 CLERK'S OFFICE Counsel For Respondent LOS ANGELES Phillip Feldman Law Offices of Phillip Feldman 15250 Ventura Blvd., Suite 610 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403-3287 (818) 986-9890 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND Bar # 40792 DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING In the Matter Of: **DONALD EDWARD ROACH PUBLIC REPROVAL** Bar # 180050 PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

# A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

A Member of the State Bar of California

(Respondent)

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted **December 12, 1995**.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of **9** pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."

(D0	not wi	ite abo	ve this line.)				
(7)	No	No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.					
			t of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 &				
		co ca co <b>bi</b> (ha	ests added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public reproval) use ineligible for costs (private reproval) ests to be paid in equal amounts for the following membership years: prior to February 1 in three ling cycles following the effective date of the State Bar Court Order eardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure) ests waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs" sts entirely waived				
(9)	Th	The parties understand that:					
	(a)		A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's officials State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidents of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.				
	(b)		A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.				
	(c)		A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.				
ı	Profe	avat essic	ing Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for onal Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances red.				
(1)		Prio	r record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]				
	(a)		State Bar Court case # of prior case				
	(b)		Date prior discipline effective				
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:				
	(d)		Degree of prior discipline				
	(e)		If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.				
2)			onesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, ealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.				

(Do	not wr	ite above this line.)			
(3)		<b>Trust Violation:</b> Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.			
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice. See Attachment page 3.			
(5)		<b>Indifference:</b> Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.			
(6)		<b>Lack of Cooperation:</b> Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.			
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.			
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.			
Add	ition	al aggravating circumstances:			
C. N	Mitig circu	pating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating umstances are required.			
(1)		<b>No Prior Discipline:</b> Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.			
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.			
(3)		<b>Candor/Cooperation:</b> Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.			
(4)	$\boxtimes$	<b>Remorse:</b> Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct. <b>See Attachment page 3.</b>			
(5)		<b>Restitution:</b> Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.			
(6)		<b>Delay:</b> These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.			
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.			
(8)		<b>Emotional/Physical Difficulties:</b> At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.			
(9)		<b>Severe Financial Stress:</b> At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.			

<u>(Do r</u>	not wr	ite above this line.)	
(10)		<b>Family Problems:</b> At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.	
(11)		<b>Good Character:</b> Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.	
(12)		<b>Rehabilitation:</b> Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.	
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.	
Add	ition	al mitigating circumstances:	
		Respondent has no prior record of discipline.	
D. I	Disc	cipline:	
(1)		Private reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)	
	(a)	Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).	
<u>or</u>	(b)	Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).	
(2)	$\boxtimes$	Public reproval (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)	
E. C	ond	litions Attached to Reproval:	
(1)	$\boxtimes$	Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of one (1) year.	
(2)		During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.	
(3)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.	
(4)	$\boxtimes$	Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.	
(5)		Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date, and cover the extended period.	
		In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period.	

(Do r	not writ	te abov	e this line.)				
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.					
(7)	$\boxtimes$	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.					
(8)	$\boxtimes$	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.					
			No Ethics School recommended. Reason	on:			
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.					
(10)		Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reproval.					
		الــا	No MPRE recommended. Reason:	•			
(11) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:			rporated:				
			Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions		
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions		

# F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

#### **ATTACHMENT TO**

# STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

DONALD EDWARD ROACH

CASE NUMBER(S):

07-C-12114

#### FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violation of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

#### Case No. 07-C-12114

- 1. On September 12, 2007, Respondent Donald E. Roach ("Respondent") pled guilty to and was convicted of violating Penal Code section 242 (Battery), a misdemeanor.
- 2. The underlying offense occurred on April 23, 2007, when Respondent was arrested for violating Penal Code sections 240 (Assault) and 242 (Battery). Respondent and another adult driver got into an altercation over a parking spot. Respondent pushed his adversary causing the person to fall to the ground.
- 3. On September 12, 2007, Respondent was sentenced to three (3) years informal probation with conditions, including, but not limited to, payment of a \$100.00 fine, completion of 150 hours of community service, and completion of a 10 week anger management program.

#### Conclusions of Law

4. The parties stipulate that the facts and circumstances surrounding Respondent's guilty plea to and conviction for violating Penal Code section 242 (Battery), a misdemeanor, involved other misconduct warranting discipline.

#### PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(7), was March 18, 2008.

#### COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of March 18, 2008, the costs in this matter are \$3,530.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

#### PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND IN CONVICTION PROCEEDING.

- 1. This is a proceeding pursuant to sections 6101 and 6102 of the Business and Professions Code and rule 9.10 of the California Rules of Court.
- 2. On September 12, 2007, Respondent was convicted of violating Penal Code section 242 (Battery), a misdemeanor.
- 3. On October 26, 2007, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order referring the matter to the Hearing Department on the following issues: Whether the facts and circumstances surrounding the offense involved moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline.
- 4. On January 2, 2008, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order augmenting its prior order dated October 26, 2007, to include a hearing and decision recommending the discipline to be imposed in the event that the Hearing Department finds that the facts and circumstances surrounding the offense of which Donald Edward Roach was convicted involved moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline.

#### AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

Standard 3.4 provides that "Final conviction of a member of a crime which does not involve moral turpitude inherently or in the facts and circumstances surrounding the crime's commission but which does involve other misconduct warranting discipline shall result in a sanction as prescribed under part B of these standards appropriate to the nature and extent of the misconduct found to have been committed by the member."

In In the Matter of Stewart (Review Dept. 1994) 3 Cal.State Bar Ct. Rptr. 52, the Respondent was convicted of misdemeanor battery on a police officer. He drank a 100 proof alcoholic beverage while his 18- month -old son was in his sole care, trespassed on his ex-wife's apartment, refused to leave when officers told him to, berated his ex-wife, bear hugged an officer when the officer took hold of his arm, and made racial epithets towards one of the officers. In the criminal matter, the Respondent received two years of probation with conditions including 2 days in jail, attendance at 30 meetings of Alcoholics Anonymous, and 40 hours of community

service. The Review Department recommended discipline consisting of a two- year stayed suspension, and two years of probation with conditions including a 60-day actual suspension. In aggravation, the Respondent had one prior discipline just one year prior to the misconduct which was also of a different nature and not imposed until after his criminal conviction so that the Respondent could not have learned from it.

In *In re Kelley* (1990) 52 Cal 3d 487, while the Respondent was on probation for a prior April 1984 DUI conviction, in November 1986 the Respondent received a second DUI conviction. The Supreme Court imposed discipline consisting of a public reproval and three years of probation with conditions. In aggravation the court found that Respondent made no attempts to show rehabilitative efforts and maintained she had no alcohol-abuse problem.

#### AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

#### FACTS SUPPORTING AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

Under standard 1.2(b)(iv), Respondents misconduct significantly harmed the public as Respondent got into an altercation with a member of the public, over a parking spot, which resulted in Respondent's September 12, 2007, guilty plea and conviction for violating Penal Code section 242 (Battery), a misdemeanor.

### MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES

#### FACTS SUPPORTING MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES

Under standard 1.2(e)(vii), Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of wrongdoing, designed to timely atone for any consequences of his misconduct by performing various Pro Bono activities, whose subject includes anger management. In this regard, Respondent is a legal advisor to "House of Hope" a homeless shelter for women and children, who are often victims of domestic violence. Respondent also volunteers as a family law and domestic violence counselor at Covenant Blessing Fellowship, a church in Gardena, California. Respondent also volunteers at "Family Outreach", a domestic violence clinic. Respondent also qualified for and sits as a Judge Pro Tem in Orange and Los Angeles County. The aforementioned Pro Bono activities performed by Respondent are separate from any community service required by Respondent under the conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter.

#### STATE BAR ETHICS SCHOOL.

Because Respondent has agreed to attend State Bar Ethics School as part of this stipulation, Respondent may receive Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit upon the satisfactory completion of State Bar Ethics School.

(Do not write above this line.)				
In the Matter of	Case number(s):			
Donald Edward Roach	07-C-12114-RAH			
	·			

# **SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES**

By their signatures below, the parties and their coun	sel, as applicable, signify their agreement with
each of the recitations and each of the terms and co	nditions of this Stipulation Re Fact,
Conclusions of Law and Disposition	

Conclusions of Law	and Disposition.	
4-2-08	LIE W	Donald Edward Roach
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
3/27/58	Valley Fild	Phillip Feldman
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
4/3/08	mil Illiam	Michael J. Glass
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

(Do not write ab In the Matte Donald Ed		Case Number(s): 07-C-12114-RAH	
	ORI	DER	
by any con	·	d that the interests of Respondent will be served ORDERED that the requested dismissal of udice, and:	
X	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.		
The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSED.			
	All court dates in the Hearing Departn	nent are vacated.	
stipulation, further mod	filed within 15 days after service of this	ved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the s order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or e 125(b), Rules of Procedure.) <b>Otherwise the</b> vice of this order.	

Failure to comply with any conditions attached to this reproval may constitute cause for a separate proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on April 4, 2008, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

# STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

PHILLIP FELDMAN ESQ LAW OFC PHILLIP FELDMAN 15250 VENTURA BLVD #610 SHERMAN OAKS, CA 91403

DONALD E. ROACH ESQ LAW OFC OF JONES & ROACH 3777 N HARBOR BLVD FULLERTON, CA 92835 - 1336

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

## Michael J. Glass, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on April 4, 2008.

Charles Nettles
Case Administrator
State Bar Court