## State Bar Court of California Hearing Denartment



•	San Francisco	
Counsel For The State Bar	Case Number (s) 07-H-13335	(for Court's use)
Donald R. Steedman Supervising Trial Counsel 180 Howard Street, 7 <sup>th</sup> Floor	2	<b>PUBLIC MATTER</b>
San Francisco, CA 95105		FILED
Bar # 104927 In Pro Per Respondent	_	NOV 2 9 2007
Maribel Nieto 333 E Channel St., 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Stockton, CA 95202		STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO
Bar # <b>219077</b>	Submitted to: Settlement	Judge
In the Matter Of: Maribel Nieto	STIPULATION RE FACTS, DISPOSITION AND ORDE	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND R APPROVING
Bar # <b>219077</b>	ACTUAL SUSPENSION	
A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	PREVIOUS STIPULAT	

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

## A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted April 22, 2002.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by (3)this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 11 pages, not including the order.
- A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included (4) under "Facts."
- Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of (5) Law".
- The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading (6)"Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.

(Do not write above this line.)					
(8)	(8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):				
		rei co	til costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless lief is obtained per rule 284, Rules of Procedure.  sts to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: 2008, 2009, 10		
	2010 (hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure) costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs" costs entirely waived				
Í	B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.				
(1)	$\boxtimes$	Prio	r record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]		
	(a)	$\boxtimes$	State Bar Court case # of prior case 05-O-2174		
	(b)	Ø	Date prior discipline effective July 25, 2006		
	(c)	$\boxtimes$	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: <b>Business and Professions Code section</b> 6068(i) and Rules of Professional Conduct 3-110(A) and 4-100(A).		
	(d)	$\boxtimes$	Degree of prior discipline Private reproval.		
	(e)		If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.		
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.			
(3)		<b>Trust Violation:</b> Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.			
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.			
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.			
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.			
(7)			iple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing monstrates a pattern of misconduct.		
8)		No a	ggravating circumstances are involved.		
∖ddi	tiona	l agg	ravating circumstances:		

	C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.		
(1)		<b>No Prior Discipline:</b> Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.	
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.	
(3)		<b>Candor/Cooperation:</b> Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.	
(4)		<b>Remorse:</b> Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.	
(5)		<b>Restitution:</b> Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.	
(6)		<b>Delay:</b> These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.	
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.	
(8)		<b>Emotional/Physical Difficulties:</b> At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.	
(9)		<b>Severe Financial Stress:</b> At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.	
(10)	$\boxtimes$	Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature. Respondent represents that during the period in question she was going through a tumultuous divorse, involving multiple violent incidents in which respondent feared for her personal safety and for her life.	
(11)		<b>Good Character:</b> Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.	
(12)		<b>Rehabilitation:</b> Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.	
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.	
Additional mitigating circumstances			
		Respondent has now taken Ethics School and Trust Accounting Ethics School.	
Ο.	Disci	ipline:	

(Do not write above this line.)			
(1)	$\boxtimes$	Stayed Suspension:	
	(a)	Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of <b>one year</b> .	
		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.	
		ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.	
		iii.  and until Respondent does the following: .	
	(b)	☐ The above-referenced suspension is stayed.	
(2)	$\boxtimes$	Probation:	
		condent must be placed on probation for a period of <b>two years</b> , which will commence upon the effective of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)	
(3)	$\boxtimes$	Actual Suspension:	
	(a)	Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of <b>30 days</b> .	
		i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct	
		ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.	
		iii.  and until Respondent does the following: .	
E. <i>P</i>	\ddi1	onal Conditions of Probation:	
(1)		If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.	
(2)		During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.	
(3)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.	
(4)		Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.	

(5)	. 🔯	July whet cond are a curre	10, and October 10 of the period of pr her Respondent has complied with the litions of probation during the precedir any proceedings pending against him	robation. Ur e State Bar ng calendar or her in the st report wou	ne Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, ader penalty of perjury, Respondent must state Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all quarter. Respondent must also state whether there is State Bar Court and if so, the case number and all cover less than 30 days, that report must be ended period.
					ining the same information, is due no earlier than robation and no later than the last day of probation.
(6)		cond Durir in ad	itions of probation with the probation ing the period of probation, Responder	monitor to e nt must furn I to be subn	espondent must promptly review the terms and stablish a manner and schedule of compliance. ish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, nitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must
(7)		Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.			
(8)		Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test giver at the end of that session.			
		$\boxtimes$	No Ethics School recommended. Reaccordance with the condition of		pondent took Ethics School on October 4, 2007 in reproval.
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.			
(10)	$\boxtimes$	The f	ollowing conditions are attached here	to and inco	rporated:
			Substance Abuse Conditions	$\boxtimes$	Law Office Management Conditions
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions
F. C	ther	r Cor	nditions Negotiated by the Pa	rties:	
(1)		the Cor one <b>furt</b>	Multistate Professional Responsibility ference of Bar Examiners, to the Office year, whichever period is longer. <b>Fa</b>	Examination Examination Control Examination Control Example Co	on: Respondent must provide proof of passage of on ("MPRE"), administered by the National tion during the period of actual suspension or within as the MPRE results in actual suspension without ), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) &
		<u> </u>	No MPRE recommended. Reason:	•	
(2)		Cali	fornia Rules of Court, and perform the	e acts speci	must comply with the requirements of rule <b>9.20</b> , fied in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 e date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.

(Do not write above this line.)

(Do not write above this line.)		
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(4)		<b>Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]:</b> Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
(5)		Other Conditions:

Attachment language begins here (if any):

#### **FACTS**

- 1. On or about June 26, 2006, respondent signed a stipulation in which she admitted professional misconduct, agreed to receive a private reproval, and agreed to comply with conditions attached to the reproval. The conditions attached to the reproval were specified in the stipulation that respondent signed.
- 2. On or about July 25, 2006, acting under the authority of Business and Professions Code section 6077, the State Bar Court of California issued an order imposing a public reproval upon respondent in case numbers 05-O-02174 and 05-O-03572. Pursuant to former California Rule of Court 956 (now 9.19), the State Bar Court order required respondent to comply with the stipulated conditions attached to the reproval. The Court found that the stipulation "...protects the public and that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions attached to the reproval..."
- 3. The July 25, 2006 State Bar Court order and reproval conditions became final on August 14, 2006, and at all times thereafter have remained in full force and effect. Soon after July 25, 2006, respondent received actual notice of the State Bar Court order and reproval conditions.
- 4. On or about August 15, 2006, the Office of Probation mailed respondent a reminder letter setting for the conditions of the reproval. Respondent received this letter shortly thereafter.
  - 5. Duty to Contact/Meet with the Office of Probation.
- (a) One of the conditions of the reproval required respondent to contact and meet with the Office of Probation, as follows:
  - "Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request."
- (b) Respondent violated this condition because, to date, she has failed to schedule the meeting and he has failed to participate in such a meeting. Respondent's only action in this regard was to have someone named "Francisca" (phonetic) call the probation office and request a meeting. The office of probation advised Francisca to contact the office of probation herself to discuss the terms and conditions of her probation. During the period of her probation, respondent did not do so.
  - 6. Quarterly Reporting Condition.

(a) One of the conditions of the reproval required respondent to submit quarterly reports

as follows:

"Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and, if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

"In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period."

(b) Respondent violated this condition by failing to submit the quarterly reports due on October 10, 2006, January 10, 2007, April 10, 2007, and July 10, 2007.

#### 7. Ethics School.

(a) One of the conditions of probation stated as follows:

"Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance of the Ethics School and passage of the test given at the end of that session."

(b) Respondent violated this condition by failing to attend Ethics School prior to the one- year period.

#### 8. MCLE Condition.

(a) One of the conditions of probation provided as follows:

"Within <u>0</u> days/ <u>0</u> months/ <u>1</u> years of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent shall submit to the Probation Unit satisfactory evidence of completion of no less than <u>3</u> hours of MCLE approved courses in law office management, attorney client relations and/or general legal ethics. This requirement is separate from any Minimum Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) requirement, and respondent shall not receive MCLE credit for attending these courses (Rule 3201, Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.)"

(b) Respondent violated this condition by failing to any evidence that she had completed any of the above-mentioned MCLE courses.

## 9. Client Trust Accounting School.

(a) One of the conditions of probation provided as follows:

"Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session."

(b) Respondent violated this condition by failing to attend Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School prior to the one- year period.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

By failing to comply with the above-mentioned probation conditions, willfully violated Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 1-110(A) by failing to comply with a condition attached to a private reproval administered by the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 6077 and 6078 and former rule 956 (now Rule 9.19), California Rules of Court.

#### SUPPORTING AUTHORITY

Standard 2.9, Standards Governing Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, specifies that violations of rule 1-110 require suspension. In reported cases, discipline for rule 1-110(A) violations has ranged from public reproval *In the Matter of Posthuma* (Review Dept. 1998) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 813 required) to ninety days actual suspension (*In the Matter of Meyer* (Review Dept., 1997) 3 Cal. State Bar Court. Rptr. 697 (ninety day actual suspension; two years stayed); *Conroy v. State Bar* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 799 (sixty day actual suspension; one year stayed); *In the Matter of Stansbury* (2000) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rtpr. 103). The degree of discipline is appropriate because respondent's violations were more serious than Posthuma, but---unlike Meyer, Conroy and Stansbury---respondent is participating at the Hearing level.

#### DISCLOSURE DATE

The date referred to part A.7 of the stipulation was October 17, 2007.

(Do not write above this line.)		
In the Matter of	Case number(s):	
Maribel Nieto	07-H-13335	

### SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

	Respondent's Signature	Maribel Nieto Print Name
Date	Respondent's Counsel Stanature	Print Name
u/21/2057 Date	Deputy-Frial Counsel's Signature	Donald R. Steedman Print Name

		e Matter of pel Nieto	Case number(s): 07-H-13335
	A Men	mber of the State Bar	
ı	Law C	Office Management Condi	tions
4	а. 🗌	must be approved by the Office send periodic reports to clients; maintain files; (4) meet deadline when clients cannot be contacted	op a law office management/organization plan, which of Probation. This plan must include procedures to (1) (2) document telephone messages received and sent; (3) es; (5) withdraw as attorney, whether of record or not, ed or located; (6) train and supervise support personnel; ea or deficiency that caused or contributed to
ł	o. 🛚	no less than <b>3</b> hours of Minimur in law office management, attor requirement is separate from an	years of the effective date of the discipline herein, Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of m Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) approved courses ney client relations and/or general legal ethics. This my MCLE requirement, and Respondent will not receive a courses (Rule 3201, Rules of Procedure of the State
(	c. 🗆	Practice Management and Tech dues and costs of enrollment fo	section to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of

(Do not write a	hove this line )	
In the Matt	er Of	Case Number(s): 07-H-13335
		ORDER
Finding the IT IS ORD prejudice,	ERED that the requested dism	arties and that it adequately protects the public, nissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without
	The stipulated facts and disp RECOMMENDED to the Sup	oosition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE preme Court.
$\boxtimes$		osition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
	All Hearing dates are vacated	d.
1. Section February 1	A(8) on page 2 is modified to r for the membership years 200	reflect that costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to 9, 2010, and 2011.
2. Under the modified to Office of Programme 2. The contract of the contract o	reflect that the Office of Proba	, the next to the last sentence in paragraph 5(b) is ation advised Francesca to <u>have respondent</u> contact the
3. Section <u>submit</u> any	8(b) on page 9 is modified to re evidence that she had comple	eflect that respondent violated the condition by failing to ted the MCLE courses.
the stipulati or further m e <b>ffective d</b> a	on, filed within 15 days after s lodifies the approved stipulation ate of this disposition is the	as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify ervice of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies on. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, ule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)
	1-29-07	Minsi Niset
Date		Judge of the State Bar Court

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on November 29, 2007, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

# STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

MARIBEL NIETO LAW OFC MARIBEL NIETO 333 E CHANNEL ST 2FL STOCKTON, CA 95202

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

## DONALD R. STEEDMAN, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on November 29, 2007.

Laine Silber

Case Administrator

State Bar Court