




(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California
Hearing Department
San Francisco

Counsel For The State Bar Susan I. Kagan Deputy Trial Counsel 180 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 538-2037 Bar # 214209	Case Number (s) 07-O-10018	(for Court's use) <p align="center">PUBLIC MATTER</p> <p align="center">FILED </p> <p align="center">JUL 24 2007</p> <p align="center">STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO</p>
In Pro Per Respondent Robert H. Jackson 2300 Bell Executive Ln Sacramento, CA 95825 (916) 929-6000 Bar # 213433		
In the Matter Of: Robert H. Jackson Bar # 213433 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING PUBLIC REPROVAL <input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted **June 3, 2001**.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of **12** pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."

- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):

- ☒ costs added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public reproof)
- ☐ case ineligible for costs (private reproof)
- ☐ costs to be paid in equal amounts for the following membership years:
(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)
- ☐ costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs"
- ☐ costs entirely waived

- (9) The parties understand that:

- (a) ☐ A private reproof imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproof was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.
- (b) ☐ A private reproof imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
- (c) ☒ A public reproof imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.

B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) ☐ **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
- (a) ☐ State Bar Court case # of prior case
- (b) ☐ Date prior discipline effective
- (c) ☐ Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
- (d) ☐ Degree of prior discipline
- (e) ☐ If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline."
- (2) ☐ **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.

- (3) ☐ **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) ☐ **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) ☐ **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) ☐ **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) ☐ **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) ☒ **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) ☒ **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline ~~over many years of practice~~ coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) ☐ **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) ☒ **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) ☒ **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) ☐ **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ _____ on _____ in restitution to _____ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) ☐ **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) ☐ **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) ☒ **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) ☐ **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.

- (10) ☒ **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) ☐ **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) ☐ **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) ☐ **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

D. Discipline:

- (1) ☐ **Private reproof (check applicable conditions, if any, below)**
- (a) ☐ Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).
- (b) ☐ Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).

or

- (2) ☒ **Public reproof (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)**

E. Conditions Attached to Reproval:

- (1) ☒ Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproof for a period of **one (1) year**.
- (2) ☒ During the condition period attached to the reproof, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) ☒ Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) ☒ Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) ☒ Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproof. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproof during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period.

- (6) ☐ Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.
- (7) ☒ Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reprobation.
- (8) ☒ Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- ☐ No Ethics School recommended. Reason: .
- (9) ☐ Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) ☐ Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reprobation.
- ☒ No MPRE recommended. Reason: **The protection of the public and the interests of the respondent do not require passage of the MPRE in this case.**
- (11) ☒ The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions |

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

Attachment language (if any):

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Facts

1. On August 21, 2006, David Loren Gardner ("Gardner") hired respondent to bring a lawsuit to collect a debt owed to him by Labib Barance. Gardner calculated that Barance owed him in excess of \$415,000. Gardner paid respondent the sum of \$1,000 as an attorney's fee by way of check number 1109 dated August 21, 2006, which Gardner mailed to respondent. Respondent received this check and deposited it into his account at Washington Mutual Bank. There was no written fee agreement.
2. On August 25, 2006, respondent wrote a letter addressed to Barance, on behalf of Gardner, requesting repayment of the loan by September 1, 2006. Respondent faxed this letter to Gardner, with instructions to Gardner to forward the letter to Barance. Gardner supplemented this letter with one of his own in order to include his calculations regarding the amount of debt Barance owed him. The letter requested that Barance pay the debt no later than September 1, 2006. Gardner sent this letter to Barance.
3. On September 1, 2006, Gardner did not receive payment on the debt. On September 1, 2006, Gardner called respondent on two separate occasions, and left messages for respondent to return the phone call and advise Gardner as to the next step to collect his debt. Respondent received Gardner's phone messages on September 1, 2006, and failed to return the calls or otherwise advise Gardner of the next step to collect Gardner's debt from Barance.
4. Over the next several days, Gardner left several messages for respondent seeking information regarding his case. Gardner called and left messages four times on September 5, 2006, twice on September 6, 2006, once on September 8, 2006, seven times on September 11, 2006, and four times on September 12, 2006. Respondent received each of these telephone messages. After approximately ten days, respondent left Gardner one message, stating that he would call again later that day or the next morning to let Gardner know what paperwork was being filed. Respondent did not call Gardner later that day or anytime thereafter to advise Gardner of the paperwork and the next step to collect the debt from Barance.
5. On September 18, 2006, Gardner left one last message for respondent advising respondent that if he did not respond, Gardner would hire a new attorney. On September 18, 2006, Nathan Gable ("Gable") wrote to respondent on behalf of Gardner. Gable advised respondent that Gardner was terminating respondent's services. On behalf of Gardner, Gable requested a refund of any unearned fees and a return of the client file. The letter included the following language: "Please be advised that this firm has been retained by Mr. Gardner in the above-referenced matter. Please forward any unused portion of his one-thousand dollar (\$1,000) retainer payable to Mr. Gardner." Respondent received Gable's letter of September 18, 2006, and failed to respond or otherwise refund Gardner's unearned fee and return Gardner's file.
6. On November 2, 2006, Gable wrote to respondent a second time, again requesting a refund of an unearned fees and a return of the client file. The letter included the following language: "If we do not hear from you by Monday, November 6, 2006, we will be forced to assume that you do not wish to communicate with us, give back [Gardner's] file, or account for his retainer." Implicit in Gable's requests, on behalf of Gardner, was a request for an accounting of Gardner's payment of \$1,000 to respondent. Respondent received the letter and failed to respond or otherwise refund Gardner's unearned fee or otherwise account for his \$1000 fee and return Gardner's file.
7. In addition to his two letters, Gable called respondent and left several telephone messages requesting a return of the Gardner's file and unearned fees. Respondent received the telephone messages from Gable, but failed to respond to the messages or otherwise respond to Gable's requests for a return of the file and the unearned fees.
8. The services that respondent performed for Gardner, writing one letter and sending it to Gardner, were preliminary in nature and provided nominal benefit to Gardner. Thereafter, respondent performed no additional services on behalf of Gardner. Therefore, respondent did not earn his fee. To date, respondent has not refunded any part of the \$1,000 fee to Gardner.

Conclusions of Law

By failing to respond to Gardner's phone calls between September 1 through 18, 2006; by failing to advise Gardner of the paperwork respondent would prepare and the next steps to collect the debt from Barance, as he promised he would do in his phone message; and by failing to respond to the letters and phone messages of Gable, on behalf of Gardner, respondent failed to respond to the reasonable status inquiries of a client in a matter in which he agreed to provide legal services, in wilful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(m).

By failing to return Gardner's file to Gardner, or Gable on behalf of Gardner, after Gardner notified respondent that he would be hiring a new attorney, and Gable notified respondent that he had been hired by Gardner and wanted the file and a return of the unearned fee, respondent failed, upon termination of employment to release promptly to the client, at the request of the client, all the client papers and property, in wilful violation of rule 3-700(D)(1) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

By failing to account for the \$1,000 he received from Gardner, respondent failed to render appropriate accounts to a client regarding all funds of the client coming into respondent's possession, in wilful violation of rule 4-100(B)(3) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

By failing to refund the \$1,000 fee to Gardner, respondent failed to promptly refund a fee that he did not earn in wilful violation of rule 3-700(D)(2) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

WAIVER OF VARIANCE BETWEEN NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES AND STIPULATED FACTS AND CULPABILITY

The parties waive any variance between the Notice of Disciplinary Charges filed on March 29, 2007, and the facts and/or conclusions of law contained in this stipulation. Additionally, the parties waive the issuance of an amended Notice of Disciplinary Charges. The parties further waive the right to the filing of a Notice of Disciplinary Charges and to a formal hearing on any charge not included in the pending Notice of Disciplinary Charges.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS

The disclosure date referred to on page two, paragraph A (7) was June 22, 2007.

STATE BAR ETHICS SCHOOL

Because respondent has agreed to attend State Bar Ethics School as part of this stipulation, respondent may receive Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit upon the satisfactory completion of State Bar Ethics School.

SUPPORTING AUTHORITY

Standard 2.4(b) requires reproof or suspension for a respondent who has wilfully failed to perform services in which he was retained. Standard 2.6(a) requires that a violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068 shall result in disbarment or suspension depending on the gravity of the offense or harm, if any, to the victim, with due regard to the purpose of imposing discipline set forth in standard 1.3.

Based on the mitigation in this matter, a public reproof is the appropriate level of discipline.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES

Standard 1.2(e)(i). Respondent has been in practice since June 3, 2001, and has no prior record of discipline.

Standard 1.2(e)(iv). Respondent represents that he suffered from extreme emotional and physical problems which expert testimony would establish were directly responsible for the misconduct and have since been resolved. From February 2006 through March 2007, respondent suffered from serious mental difficulties. He received treatment from a speech pathologist and psychiatrist for these mental difficulties and has since recovered. From June through

December 2006, respondent had three surgeries as a result of an injury at home. Respondent has since recovered from his injury.

Standard 1.2(e)(iv). Respondent represents that he suffered from extreme problems in his personal life which expert testimony would establish were directly responsible for the misconduct and have since been resolved. From May through December 2006, respondent suffered extreme family problems in relation to a dissolution of marriage. He has since recovered from these problems.

Standard 1.2(e)(v). Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation to the State Bar during investigation and proceedings.

Standard 1.2(e)(vii). Respondent displayed remorse for his misconduct.

In the Matter of
Robert H. Jackson

Case number(s):
07-O-10018

Medical Conditions

- a. ☐ Unless Respondent has been terminated from the Lawyer Assistance Program ("LAP") prior to respondent's successful completion of the LAP, respondent must comply with all provisions and conditions of respondent's Participation Agreement with the LAP and must provide an appropriate waiver authorizing the LAP to provide the Office of Probation and this court with information regarding the terms and conditions of respondent's participation in the LAP and respondent's compliance or non-compliance with LAP requirements. Revocation of the written waiver for release of LAP information is a violation of this condition. However, if respondent has successfully completed the LAP, respondent need not comply with this condition.

- b. ☒ Respondent must obtain psychiatric or psychological help/treatment from a duly licensed psychiatrist, psychologist, or clinical social worker at respondent's own expense a minimum of **two (2)** times per month and must furnish evidence to the Office of Probation that respondent is so complying with each quarterly report. Help/treatment should commence immediately, and in any event, no later than thirty (30) days after the effective date of the discipline in this matter. Treatment must continue for _____ days or months or **one (1)** years or, the period of probation or until a motion to modify this condition is granted and that ruling becomes final.

If the treating psychiatrist, psychologist, or clinical social worker determines that there has been a substantial change in respondent's condition, respondent or Office of the Chief Trial Counsel may file a motion for modification of this condition with the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court, pursuant to rule 550 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. The motion must be supported by a written statement from the psychiatrist, psychologist, or clinical social worker, by affidavit or under penalty of perjury, in support of the proposed modification.

- c. ☐ Upon the request of the Office of Probation, respondent must provide the Office of Probation with medical waivers and access to all of respondent's medical records. Revocation of any medical waiver is a violation of this condition. Any medical records obtained by the Office of Probation are confidential and no information concerning them or their contents will be given to anyone except members of the Office of Probation, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, and the State Bar Court, who are directly involved with maintaining, enforcing or adjudicating this condition.

Other:

In the Matter of
Robert H. Jackson

Case number(s):
07-O-10018

A Member of the State Bar

Financial Conditions

a. Restitution

- ☒ Respondent must pay restitution (including the principal amount, plus interest of 10% per annum) to the payee(s) listed below. If the Client Security Fund ("CSF") has reimbursed one or more of the payee(s) for all or any portion of the principal amount(s) listed below, Respondent must also pay restitution to CSF in the amount(s) paid, plus applicable interest and costs.

Payee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From
David L. Gardner	\$1,000.00	08/21/06

- ☒ Respondent must pay above-referenced restitution and provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation not later than **12/31/07**.

b. Installment Restitution Payments

- ☐ Respondent must pay the above-referenced restitution on the payment schedule set forth below. Respondent must provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation with each quarterly probation report, or as otherwise directed by the Office of Probation. No later than 30 days prior to the expiration of the period of probation (or period of reprobation), Respondent must make any necessary final payment(s) in order to complete the payment of restitution, including interest, in full.

Payee/CSF (as applicable)	Minimum Payment Amount	Payment Frequency

c. Client Funds Certificate

- ☐ 1. If Respondent possesses client funds at any time during the period covered by a required quarterly report, Respondent must file with each required report a certificate from Respondent and/or a certified public accountant or other financial professional approved by the Office of Probation, certifying that:
- Respondent has maintained a bank account in a bank authorized to do business in the State of California, at a branch located within the State of California, and that such account is designated as a "Trust Account" or "Clients' Funds Account";

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
- i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 1. the name of such client;
 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
 4. the current balance for such client.
 - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 1. the name of such account;
 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 3. the current balance in such account.
 - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
 - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
- i. each item of security and property held;
 - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
 - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
 - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
 - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
2. If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.
3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d. Client Trust Accounting School



- ☐ Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of Robert Jackson	Case number(s): 07-O-10018
---	--------------------------------------

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

<u>6/27/07</u> Date	<u></u> Respondent's Signature	<u>Robert Jackson</u> Print Name
<u>6/29/07</u> Date	<u></u> Respondent's Counsel Signature	<u>N/A</u> Print Name
	<u></u> Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	<u>Susan I. Kagan</u> Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter Of Robert Jackson	Case Number(s): 07-O-10018
---	--------------------------------------

ORDER


Finding that the stipulation protects the public and that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions attached to the reproof, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- ☒ The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.
- ☐ The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSED.
- ☐ All court dates in the Hearing Department are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 125(b), Rules of Procedure.) **Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after service of this order.**

Failure to comply with any conditions attached to this reproof may constitute cause for a separate proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct.

7/20/07
Date


Judge of the State Bar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on July 24, 2007, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

**STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION
AND ORDER APPROVING**

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:


- ☒ by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

**ROBERT H. JACKSON
ROBERT H. JACKSON LAW OFFICE
2300 BELL EXECUTIVE LN
SACRAMENTO, CA 95825**

- ☒ by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

SUSAN I. KAGAN, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on **July 24, 2007**.



Bernadette C. O. Molina
Case Administrator
State Bar Court