1			
•,,		FILED	
1	RONI DEUTCH	AUG 1 7 2011	
2	4815 WATT AVE NORTH HIGHLANDS, CALIFORNIA 9566		
3	916-443-1080	SAN FRANCISCO	
4			
5	RONI DEUTCH, IN PRO PER		
6			
7			
8	STATE BAR COURT		
9	HEARING DEPARTMENT-SAN FRANCISCO		
10			
11		$\alpha = 10 - 111 \alpha \alpha$	
12	In the matter of:	c7 - 0 - 1/668 Case No.: $11 - 0 - 12999$	
13	RONI LYNN DEUTCH,	ANSWER TO NOTICE OF	
14		DICIPLINARY CHARGES	
15	No. 152429		
16	A Member of the State Bar		
17			
18 19			
20	GENERAL DENIAL		
20		ode of Civil Procedure, Roni Lynn	
22	Deutch denies generally and specifically, each, every and all of		
23	the allegations contained in the State Bar of California's Notice of Disciplinary Charges on file herein.		
24			
25			
26		kwiktag [©] 018 036 191	
27			
28			
		- 1 -	
	ANSWER TO NOTICE O	OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES	

· · · · ·	
1	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	(Failure to State a Cause of Action)
3	As a separate and affirmative defense, Respondent alleges that
4	said the State Bar's Notice of Disciplinary Charges fails to state
5	facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.
6	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
8	(Statute of Limitations)
9	As a separate and affirmative defense, Respondent alleges that
10	the State Bar of California's Disciplinary Charges, and each of
11	them, are barred by the applicable statute of limitations,
12	
13	including but not limited to, Business and Professions Code Section
14	17208, and Code of Civil Procedure sections 337, 338, 339, 340 and
15	343.
16	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
17 18	(Laches)
19	As a separate and affirmative defense, Respondent alleges that
20	the State Bar of California is barred from their action against
21	Respondent by reason of the doctrine of laches and undue delay in
22	giving notice to Respondent of the matters alleged in the State Bar
23	of California's Notice of Disciplinary Charges.
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
20	
	- 2 - ANSWER TO NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

x x • •	•	
	1	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	2	(Consent)
	3	As a separate and affirmative defense, the State Bar of California
	4	consented or acquiesced to the acts of Respondent of which they now
	5	complain.
	6	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	7	
	8	(Estoppel)
	9 10	As a separate and affirmative defense, the State Bar of
	10	California accepted and approved of Respondent's conduct over the
	12	past twenty years. Respondent relied on representations,
	13	communications and in action by the State Bar of California over
	14	the past twenty years. As such, the State Bar of California is
	15	estopped from asserting any claim based on Respondent's reliance on
	16	the State Bar of California's conduct.
	17	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	18	(Acts or Omissions of Others)
	19	As a separate and affirmative defense, Respondent alleges that
	20 21	the conduct complained of, if any, were proximately contributed to
	22	or caused by the carelessness, negligence, faults or defects
	23	resulting from acts or omissions of other persons other than
	24	
	25	Respondent and were not caused in any way by Respondent.
	26	
	27	
	28	
		- 3 - ANSWER TO NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

* 1. * _ *		
	1	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	2	(Avoidable Consequences)
	3	As a separate and affirmative defense, Respondent alleges that
	4	the State Bar of California failed to take action against
	5	Respondent which would have avoided and or diminished all damages,
	7	if any.
	8	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	9	(Willful Misconduct)
	10	As a separate and affirmative defense, Respondent believes and
	11	alleges that the State Bar of California were guilty of willful
	12 13	misconduct and proximately caused and or contributed to the
	14	occurrence of events complained of in their Notice of Disciplinary
	15	Charges.
	16	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
	17	(Causation)
	18	As a separate and affirmative defense, Respondent alleges that
	19 20	any alleged acts or omissions of Respondent, if any, did not
	20	constitute the legal and or proximate cause of the actions
	22	complained of in the State Bar of California Notice of Disciplinary
	23	Charges, which is specifically denied by Respondent.
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27 28	
		- 4 - ANSWER TO NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Avoidable Consequences)

As a separate and affirmative defense, Respondent alleges that the complaining witnesses failed to cooperate with Respondent which would have avoided and or diminished their injuries, if any.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Conduct Not Unlawful)

As a separate and affirmative defense, Respondent alleges that the business practices and advertising put in issue by the State Bar of California are not unlawful, because Respondent complied with all laws alleged in the State Bar of California's Notice of Disciplinary Charges to have been violated.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Additional Affirmative Defense)

As a separate and affirmative defense, Respondent alleges that she has insufficient knowledge or information on which to form a belief as to whether there may be additional affirmative defenses. Respondent reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses as discovery indicates they are appropriate.

DATED: August 16, 2011

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5 ANSWER TO NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

LYNN DEUTCH

Pro Per

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL C.C.P. 1013a

I declare that I am a resident of or employed in the County of <u>Sacramento</u> California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within entitled cause. The name and address of my residence or business is __2810 Echo Way, Sacramento California 95821

I am readily familiar with the ordinary practice of the business of collecting, processing and depositing correspondence in the United States Postal Service and that the correspondence will be deposited the same day with postage thereon fully prepaid.

On August 16th, 2011 , I served the Answer to Notice of Disciplinary Charges

on the parties listed below by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing in the United States Postal Service following ordinary business practices at Folsom _____, California addressed as follows:

MS. ROBIN BRUNE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA 180 HOWARD STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105

• <u>\</u>\$_____

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on August 16th, 2011 , at Folsom California.

Evelyn Fernandez

Weil January (Signature)

(Type or print name)