

FILED

STATE BAR COURT
CLERK'S OFFICE
LOS ANGELES

kwiktag® 022 606 171



HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES

Case Nos. 07-O-11968, 07-O-13696,
08-O-12328, and 09-O-12276

NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

A Member of the State Bar

IF YOU FAIL TO FILE AN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE WITHIN THE TIME ALLOWED BY STATE BAR RULES, INCLUDING EXTENSIONS, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL, (1) YOUR DEFAULT SHALL BE ENTERED, (2) YOU SHALL BE ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR AND WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW UNLESS THE DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE ON MOTION TIMELY MADE UNDER THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR, (3) YOU SHALL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOUR DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE, AND (4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE.

-1-

1 IF YOUR DEFAULT IS ENTERED AND THE DISCIPLINE IMPOSED BY
2 THE SUPREME COURT IN THIS PROCEEDING INCLUDES A PERIOD
3 OF ACTUAL SUSPENSION, YOU WILL REMAIN SUSPENDED FROM
4 THE PRACTICE OF LAW FOR AT LEAST THE PERIOD OF TIME
5 SPECIFIED BY THE SUPREME COURT. IN ADDITION, THE ACTUAL
6 SUSPENSION WILL CONTINUE UNTIL YOU HAVE REQUESTED,
7 AND THE STATE BAR COURT HAS GRANTED, A MOTION FOR
8 TERMINATION OF THE ACTUAL SUSPENSION. AS A CONDITION
9 FOR TERMINATING THE ACTUAL SUSPENSION, THE STATE BAR
10 COURT MAY PLACE YOU ON PROBATION AND REQUIRE YOU TO
11 COMPLY WITH SUCH CONDITIONS OF PROBATION AS THE STATE
12 BAR COURT DEEMS APPROPRIATE. SEE RULE 205, RULES OF
13 PROCEDURE FOR STATE BAR COURT PROCEEDINGS.

14 The State Bar of California alleges:

15 JURISDICTION

16 1. Rickey Brian Oxman ("Respondent Oxman") was admitted to the practice of law in
17 the State of California on December 22, 1976, was a member at all times pertinent to these
18 charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

19 2. Maureen Patricia Jaroscak ("Respondent Jaroscak") was admitted to the practice of
20 law in the State of California on June 11, 1985, was a member at all times pertinent to these
21 charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

22 COUNT ONE

23 Case No. 07-O-11968

24 Business and Professions Code section 6103
25 [Failure to Obey a Court Order]

26 3. Respondent Oxman willfully violated Business and Professions Code section 6103,
27 by willfully disobeying or violating an order of the court requiring him to do or forbear an act
28 connected with or in the course of Respondent Oxman's profession which he ought in good faith
to do or forbear, as follows:

1 Beginning in or about August 2002, Respondent Oxman represented Raquel Axelrod
2 ("Axelrod") in a marital dissolution proceeding in the Los Angeles County Superior Court titled
3 *Raquel Larson v. Christopher Larson*, case number BD 267034 (the "Larson dissolution"). At
4 all times herein mentioned, Christopher Larson ("Larson") was represented by attorney John R.
5 Fuchs ("Fuchs").

1 5. On or about December 22, 2004, Axelrod filed a bankruptcy petition under chapter 7
2 in the United States Bankruptcy Court, Central District of California, titled *In re Raquel Axelrod*,
3 case no. SV 04-18053 (the "Axelrod bankruptcy"). Axelrod sought to discharge claims made by
4 Larson and Fuchs against her in addition to other obligations.

5 6. On or about October 30, 2006, Fuchs filed a motion for sanctions against Respondent
6 Oxman in the Axelrod bankruptcy. On or about November 21, 2006, Respondent Oxman filed
7 an opposition to the motion that asserted a claim of subrogation for paying \$29,909.59 to Fuchs
8 and Larson. On or about January 30, 2007, the hearing on the motion was held. All parties
9 appeared and thereafter, the court took the matter under submission.

10 7. On or about March 13, 2007, the bankruptcy court issued an order granting Fuchs's
11 motion for sanctions in the Axelrod bankruptcy. The court imposed sanctions in the amount of
12 \$5,084 against Respondent Oxman to be paid to Larson within 45 days of the order. The court
13 held, among other things, that Respondent Oxman could not qualify for equitable subrogation
14 and that Respondent Oxman's opposition to the Compromise was frivolous and filed with an
15 improper purpose. Respondent Oxman received notice of the order.

16 8. Respondent Oxman did not appeal, pay the sanctions of \$5,084, or otherwise seek
17 relief from the sanctions imposed in the Axelrod bankruptcy.

18 9. By this conduct, Respondent Oxman disobeyed or violated an order of the court
19 requiring him to do an act connected with or in the course of Respondent Oxman's profession
20 which he ought in good faith to do.

21 COUNT TWO

22 Case No. 07-O-11968

23 Business and Professions Code section 6068(o)(3)
 [Failure to Report Judicial Sanctions]

24 10. Respondent Oxman willfully violated Business and Professions Code section
25 6068(o)(3), by failing to report to the agency charged with attorney discipline, in writing, within
26 30 days of the time Respondent Oxman had knowledge of the imposition of any judicial
27 sanctions against Respondent, as follows:

1 11. The allegations in Count One are incorporated by reference.

2 12. Respondent Oxman did not report to the State Bar the sanctions of \$5,084 imposed on
3 him in the Axelrod bankruptcy.

4 13. By this conduct, Respondent Oxman failed to report to the agency charged with
5 attorney discipline, in writing, within 30 days of the time he had knowledge of the imposition of
6 any judicial sanctions against him.

7 COUNT THREE

8 Case No. 07-O-13696
9 Business and Professions Code section 6106
[Moral Turpitude – Breach of Fiduciary Duty]

10 14. Respondent Jaroscak willfully violated Business and Professions Code section 6106,
11 by committing an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption, as follows:

12 15. On or about January 19, 1992, Lyle Quatrochi ("Lyle") and Martha Quatrochi
13 ("Martha") executed the "Lyle and Martha Quatrochi Family Trust," which provided that they
14 would act as trustees and named their two children, Gerald Quatrochi ("Gerald") and Susan
15 Quatrochi McIntire ("Susan"), as beneficiaries. Respondent Jaroscak did not prepare the Trust.

16 16. In or about July of 1999, Lyle and Martha employed Respondent Jaroscak for, among
17 other things, estate planning purposes.

18 17. In or about March of 2001, Martha died.

19 18. On or about November 16, 2001, Lyle signed a power of attorney prepared by
20 Respondent Jaroscak that named Respondent Jaroscak as his "agent (attorney in fact)"
21 concerning all financial transactions. Respondent Jaroscak signed the power of attorney
22 accepting the appointment.

23 19. On or about December 7, 2001, Lyle sold the family home, which was held by the
24 Quatrochi Family Trust. Pursuant to amended escrow instructions, the proceeds from the sale
25 amounting to \$591,374.37 were made payable to the Quatrochi Family Trust and sent to
26 Respondent Jaroscak. Respondent Jaroscak received the proceeds and deposited the funds in an
27
28

1 account held in the name of the Quatrochi Family Trust at California National Bank, account no.
2 *****6877.¹

3 20. On or about January 24, 2002, Lyle executed a "First Amendment to the Lyle and
4 Martha Quatrochi Family Trust" that, among other things, named Respondent Jaroscak the sole
5 trustee and named Gerald the successor trustee.

6 21. On or about June 1, 2002, Lyle died. According to the terms of the Quatrochi Family
7 Trust, the trustee was supposed to distribute the assets after the deaths of Martha and Lyle to
8 Gerald and Susan since they were both living and over 25 years old at that time.

9 22. In or about July 2002, Respondent Jaroscak distributed \$50,000 to Gerald and
10 \$50,000 to Susan from the Quatrochi Family Trust.

11 23. On or about October 18, 2002, Respondent Jaroscak distributed \$246,257.48 to
12 Gerald and \$246,257.48 to Susan from the Quatrochi Family Trust.

13 24. After the distributions made in or about July 2002 and on or about October 18, 2002,
14 Respondent Jaroscak maintained control of the approximate sum of \$12,000 belonging to the
15 Quatrochi Family Trust in the Trust's bank account. Respondent Jaroscak also maintained
16 control over the approximate sum of \$58,000 belonging to the Trust in an IRA account.

17 25. Between in or about October 2002 and in or about March 2003, Gerald and Susan
18 made repeated demands to Respondent Jaroscak to distribute the remainder of the funds
19 belonging to the Trust. Respondent Jaroscak received the demands but failed to distribute the
20 funds.

21 26. Respondent as trustee of the Quatrochi Family Trust, owed a fiduciary duty to Gerald
22 and Susan, the beneficiaries, to disburse the assets to them after the death of Lyle, the surviving
23 trustor.

24 27. On or before March 25, 2003, Gerald and/or Susan employed attorney Stanley P.
25 Lieber ("Lieber") to represent them in obtaining the financial records and remaining funds
26 belonging to the Trust from Respondent Jaroscak.

27
28 ¹ The account number has been redacted to protect the account and account holder.

1 28. On or about March 25, 2003, Lieber filed a petition in the Los Angeles County
2 Superior Court titled *In re: Susan McIntire and Gerald Quatrochi v. Maureen Jaroscak*, case no.
3 VP009421 (the "Quatrochi Trust case"). The petition requested removal of Respondent Jaroscak
4 as trustee and the release of trust funds.

5 29. On or about March 11, 2004, March 1, 2006, April 26, 2007, May 10, 2007, July 13,
6 2007, July 18, 2007, August 17, 2007, and June 28, 2007, Lieber served pleadings concerning
7 the Quatrochi Trust case on Respondent Jaroscak at her official membership address.
8 Respondent Jaroscak received the pleadings.

9 30. In or about August 2006, Respondent Jaroscak's law partner and husband,
10 Respondent Oxman, contacted Lieber and offered to release the funds if Gerald and Susan would
11 pay Respondent Jaroscak the \$10,000 that Respondent Jaroscak claimed that she was entitled to
12 receive for attorney fees. Lieber declined the offer, but counter-offered that Respondent
13 Jaroscak release the funds less the \$10,000 in dispute and that they would allow the superior
14 court to rule on the issue of Respondent Jaroscak's fees. Respondent Oxman rejected Lieber's
15 counter-offer and refused on behalf of Respondent Jaroscak to release the undisputed funds.

16 31. On or about April 5, 2007, a hearing was held on the petition to remove Respondent
17 Jaroscak as Trustee in the Quatrochi Trust case. Lieber, Gerald and Susan appeared. Neither
18 Respondent Jaroscak nor Respondent Oxman appeared. The superior court struck Respondent
19 Jaroscak's response to the petition, removed Respondent Jaroscak as Trustee, ordered
20 Respondent Jaroscak to prepare an accounting, and ordered Respondent Jaroscak to release all
21 funds belonging to the Trust.

22 32. Respondent Jaroscak never disbursed the remaining funds belonging to the Quatrochi
23 Family Trust.

24 33. In or about June 2008, Gerald was able to obtain the funds belonging to the Trust
25 using orders from the Quatrochi Trust case to gain control of the Trust bank account.

1 34. By failing to account and disburse in violation of her fiduciary duties as the sole
2 trustee of the Quatrochi Family Trust, Respondent Jaroscak committed an act involving moral
3 turpitude, dishonesty or corruption.

4 COUNT FOUR

5 Case No. 07-O-13696
6 Business and Professions Code section 6068(i)
[Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation]

7 35. Respondent Jaroscak willfully violated Business and Professions Code section
8 6068(i), by failing to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against
9 Respondent Jaroscak, as follows:

10 36. The allegations in Count Three are incorporated herein.

11 37. On or about October 17, 2007, and November 19, 2007, a State Bar Investigator
12 mailed letters to Respondent Jaroscak at her official membership records address requesting that
13 Respondent Jaroscak respond in writing to the complaint by Lieber that Respondent Jaroscak
14 regarding the disbursement of the funds belonging to the Quatrochi Family Trust. Respondent
15 Jaroscak received the letters.

16 38. Respondent Jaroscak did not provide a written or oral response to the Investigator's
17 letters.

18 39. By this conduct, Respondent Jaroscak failed to cooperate and participate in a
19 disciplinary investigation.

20 COUNT FIVE

21 Case Nos. 08-O-12328 and 09-O-12276
22 Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 4-100(A)
[Commingling Personal Funds in Client Trust Account]

23 40. Respondent Oxman and Respondent Jaroscak willfully violated Rules of Professional
24 Conduct, rule 4-100(A), by depositing or commingling funds belonging to them in a bank
25 account labeled "Trust Account," "Client's Funds Account" or words of similar import, as
26 follows:
27
28

41. At all times herein relevant, Respondent Oxman and Respondent Jaroscak were married and were partners in the law firm of Oxman & Jaroscak.

42. From January 1, 2008 through July 31, 2008, the law firm of Oxman & Jaroscak maintained a client trust account at Wells Fargo Bank titled "R. Brian Oxman - Attorney Trust Account," account number ***-***3825 ("CTA").²

43. Between on or about January 14, 2008 and on or about July 30, 2008, Respondent Oxman and Respondent Jaroscak repeatedly deposited their personal funds into the CTA, including but not limited to the following:

DATE	PAYEE	PAYOR	AMOUNT
01/14/08	Oxman	Jaroscak's Manhattan Life Capitol Account, check # 104, dated 1/14/08	\$3,000.00
01/28/08	Oxman	Jaroscak's Manhattan Life Capitol Account, check # 106, dated 1/28/08	\$5,000.00
01/28/08	Oxman	LawTalk/MCLE, Inc., check # 8847, dated 1/19/08	\$619.00
01/28/08	Oxman	LawTalk/MCLE, Inc., check # 8853, dated 1/26/08	\$500.00
01/31/08	Oxman	Irvine University, check # 1532	\$225.00
02/19/08	Oxman	C.A.S.C.U., check # 543, dated 2/5/08	\$225.00
02/19/08	Oxman	Jaroscak's Manhattan Life Capitol Account, check # 107, dated 2/18/08	\$1,000.00
02/22/08	Oxman	Jaroscak's Manhattan Life Capitol Account, check # 108, dated 2/22/08	\$2,500.00
02/22/08	Jaroscak	C.A.S.C.U., check # 546, dated 2/12/08	\$75.00
02/29/08	Jaroscak	Irvine University, check # 1575, dated 2/20/08	\$112.50
02/29/08	Oxman	Irvine University, check # 1573, dated 2/20/08	\$225.00
03/11/08	Jaroscak	Irvine University, check # 1595, dated 3/5/08	\$225.00
03/11/08	Oxman	Irvine University, check # 1594, dated 3/5/08	\$225.00
03/14/08	Oxman	Jaroscak's Manhattan Life Capitol Account, check # 109, dated 3/14/08	\$2,000.00
03/19/08	Oxman	Jaroscak's Manhattan Life Capitol Account, check # 110, dated 3/18/08	\$5,000.00
03/28/08	Jaroscak	Office Depot, check # 00138951, dated 3/26/08	\$8.00
03/28/08	Jaroscak	Irvine University, check # 2742, dated 3/20/08	\$225.00
03/28/08	Oxman	C.A.S.C.U., check # 571, dated 3/13/08	\$29.53
03/28/08	Oxman	Irvine University, check # 2741, dated 3/20/08	\$225.00
04/03/08	Oxman	Jaroscak's Manhattan Life Capitol Account, check # 111, dated 4/3/08	\$3,000.00
04/03/08	Jaroscak	Marjorie Jaroscak & William Jaroscak, check # 2678, dated 4/2/08	\$50.00
04/14/08	Oxman	Jaroscak's Manhattan Life Capitol Account, check # 112, dated 4/14/08	\$4,000.00

² The account number has been redacted to protect the account and account holder.

04/14/08	Oxman	Irvine University, check # 2757, dated 4/5/08	\$75.00
04/22/08	Oxman	Jaroscak's Manhattan Life Capitol Account, check # 114, dated 4/22/08	\$6,000.00
04/28/08	Jaroscak	Epson, check # 6305483, dated 4/11/08	\$70.00
04/28/08	Jaroscak	Irvine University, check # 2761, dated 4/9/08	\$112.50
04/28/08	Jaroscak	Irvine University, check # 1627, dated 4/20/08	\$225.00
05/20/08	Oxman	Jaroscak's Manhattan Life Capitol Account, check # 116, dated 5/19/08	\$5,000.00
05/20/08	Jaroscak	Irvine University, check # 1663, dated 4/20/08	\$325.00
05/20/08	Jaroscak	hp Invent, check # 00117309, undated	\$50.00
06/12/08	Jaroscak	City of Los Angeles, check # 412041, dated 4/15/08	\$35.00
06/12/08	Jaroscak	Irvine University, check # 1704, dated 6/4/08	\$337.50
06/12/08	Oxman	ROI - D.R.S. Media Account, check # 60817, dated 5/16/08	\$1,700.00
06/12/08	Oxman	HSBC Card Services, check # 0100470071, dated 5/16/08	\$4.10
07/11/08	Jaroscak	Irvine University, check # 1729, dated 6/20/08	\$225.00
07/11/08	Jaroscak	C.A.S.C.U., check # 640, dated 7/5/08	\$225.00
07/11/08	Jaroscak	Netgear, check # 27920109, dated 6/11/08	\$60.00
07/11/08	Oxman	Jaroscak's Manhattan Life Capitol Account, check # 117, dated 7/11/08	\$3,000.00
07/11/08	Oxman	C.A.S.C.U., check # 634, dated 7/2/08	\$112.50
07/11/08	Oxman	C.A.S.C.U., check # 637, dated 7/5/08	\$337.50
07/30/08	Jaroscak	C.A.S.C.U., check # 649, dated 7/20/08	\$225.00
707/30/08	Oxman	C.A.S.C.U., check # 646, dated 7/20/08	\$337.50

44. Between on or about January 14, 2008 and on or about July 30, 2008, Respondent Oxman and Respondent Jaroscak repeatedly issued checks drawn upon the CTA to pay personal expenses, including but not limited to the following:

CHECK #	DATE	PAYEE	PAYOR	AMOUNT
2060	01/10/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$1,100.00
2061	01/14/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$2,000.00
2067	01/14/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$2,000.00
2068	01/16/08	Staples	Jaroscak	\$121.99
2069	01/17/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$1,000.00
2073	01/18/08	Buzz Off	Jaroscak	\$40.00
2074	01/18/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$1,000.00
2078	01/25/08	Best Buy	Oxman	\$775.78
2071	01/30/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$500.00
2070	01/31/08	German Centeno	Jaroscak	\$3,500.00
2076	01/31/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$2,500.00
2100	02/01/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$1,000.00
2103	02/04/08	Zip Cleaners	Jaroscak	\$25.35
2104	02/05/08	River Place Holdings [Memo - 1002]	Jaroscak	\$1,916.31
2181	02/09/08	Nordstrom	Jaroscak	\$793.04
2182	02/11/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$1,000.00
2186	02/13/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$1,000.00
2187	02/14/08	Express Cleaners	Jaroscak	\$8.00

1	2188	02/19/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$1,000.00
	2190	02/20/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$1,500.00
2	2191	02/22/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$1,500.00
	2192	02/29/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$1,187.50
3	2227	03/07/08	River Place Holdings [Memo - 1002]	Jaroscak	\$1,916.31
	2229	03/10/08	David Clare	Oxman	\$2,000.00
4	2222	03/12/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$1,000.00
	2223	03/19/08	Buzz Off	Jaroscak	\$100.00
5	2224	03/19/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$2,000.00
	2233	03/21/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$2,000.00
6	2234	04/01/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$1,225.00
	2236	04/03/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$2,050.00
7	2235	04/03/08	Zip Cleaners	Jaroscak	\$19.50
	2240	04/05/08	River Place Holding [Memo - 1002]	Oxman	\$1,916.31
8	2194	04/14/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$2,500.00
	2200	04/15/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$1,500.00
9	2237	04/19/08	Zip Cleaners	Jaroscak	\$17.55
	2238	04/22/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$500.00
10	2195	04/23/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$500.00
	2239	04/30/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$337.50
11	2246	05/03/08	US Depart of State	Oxman	\$75.00
	2117	05/05/08	Parking Concepts, Inc.	Oxman	\$7.00
12	2119	05/07/08	One River Place [Memo - 1002]	Oxman	\$1,916.31
	2203	05/11/08	High Tech Auto Repair	Jaroscak	\$315.07
13	2197	05/20/08	Buzz Off	Jaroscak	\$91.00
	2211	05/20/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$2,325.00
14	2213	06/12/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$372.50
	2216	06/17/08	Buzz Off	Jaroscak	\$50.00
15	2219	06/23/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$2,500.00
16	2212	07/11/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$3,450.00
17	2128	07/31/08	South Coast Preschool	Jaroscak	\$225.00

45. By depositing personal funds in the CTA and leaving personal funds in the CTA for withdrawal as needed to pay their personal expenses, Respondent Oxman and Respondent Jaroscak commingled funds belonging to them in a client trust account.

COUNT SIX

Case Nos. 08-O-12328 and 09-O-12276
Business and Professions Code section 6106
[Moral Turpitude - Dishonesty]

46. Respondent Oxman and Respondent Jaroscak willfully violated Business and Professions Code section 6106, by committing an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption, as follows:

47. The allegations of Count Five are incorporated by reference.

1 48. Respondent Oxman and Respondent Jaroscak deposited their funds into the CTA in
2 order to hide the funds from creditors, including but not limited to the State of California
3 Franchise Tax Board and the County of Los Angeles.

4 49. By this conduct, Respondent Oxman and Respondent Jaroscak committed acts
5 involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption.

6 COUNT SEVEN

7 Case No. 08-O-12328

8 Business and Professions Code section 6068(i)
[Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation]

9 50. Respondent Oxman willfully violated Business and Professions Code section 6068(i),
10 by failing to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against Respondent
11 Oxman, as follows:

12 51. The allegations in Counts Five and Six are incorporated herein.

13 52. On or about July 3, 2008, August 19, 2008, February 3, 2009, and March 6, 2009, a
14 State Bar Investigator mailed letters to Respondent Oxman at his official membership records
15 address requesting that Respondent Oxman respond in writing to a to a State Bar Investigation
16 concerning Respondent Oxman's possible misuse of the CTA. Respondent Oxman received the
17 letters.

18 53. On or about March 9, 2009, Respondent Jaroscak left a message for the Investigator
19 in which she stated that she had allegedly provided a prior response on behalf of Respondent
20 Oxman to the State Bar.

21 54. On or about March 10, 2009, the Investigator spoke with Respondent Jaroscak and
22 she agreed to provide a copy of the alleged prior response on behalf of Respondent Oxman to the
23 State Bar.

24 55. Neither Respondent Jaroscak nor Respondent Oxman provided a written or oral
25 response to the Investigator's letters.

26 56. By this conduct, Respondent Oxman failed to cooperate and participate in a
27 disciplinary investigation.

1 COUNT EIGHT

2 Case No. 09-O-12276
3 Business and Professions Code section 6068(i)
4 [Failure to Cooperate in State Bar Investigation]

5 57. Respondent Jaroscak willfully violated Business and Professions Code section
6 6068(i), by failing to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against
7 Respondent Jaroscak, as follows:

8 58. The allegations in Counts Five and Six are incorporated herein.

9 59. On or about June 10, 2008, and July 7, 2009, a State Bar Investigator mailed letters to
10 Respondent Jaroscak at her official membership records address requesting that Respondent
11 Jaroscak respond in writing to a State Bar Investigation concerning Respondent Jaroscak's
12 possible misuse of the CTA. The letter dated June 10, 2008 was returned by the US Post Office.
13 However, Respondent Jaroscak received the letter dated July 7, 2009.

14 60. Respondent Jaroscak did not provide a written or oral response to the Investigator's
15 letters.

16 61. By this conduct, Respondent Jaroscak failed to cooperate and participate in a
17 disciplinary investigation.

18 NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!

19 YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR
20 COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE
21 SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL
22 THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO
23 THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN
24 INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE
25 ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE
26 RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT. SEE RULE 101(c), RULES OF
27 PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.

28 NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!

IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC
DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS
INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND
PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10. SEE RULE 280, RULES OF
PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.

Respectfully submitted,

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

DATED:

May 7, 2010

By:

Charles E. Calix
Deputy Trial Counsel

1 **DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL**

2 **CASE NUMBER(s): 07-O-11968; 07-O-13696; 08-O-12328; and 09-O-12276**

3 I, the undersigned, over the age of eighteen (18) years, whose business address and
4 place of employment is the State Bar of California, 1149 South Hill Street, Los Angeles,
5 California 90015, declare that I am not a party to the within action; that I am readily familiar
6 with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for
7 mailing with the United States Postal Service; that in the ordinary course of the State Bar of
8 California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California
9 would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day; that I am aware that
10 on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage
11 meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing
12 contained in the affidavit; and that in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of
13 California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and
14 mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles, on the date shown below, a true copy of the
15 within

16 **NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES**

17 in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt
18 requested, Article No.: **7160 3901 9849 2214 4121**, at
19 Los Angeles, on the date shown below, addressed to:

20 By United States Certified Mail

21 By United States Certified Mail

22 RICKEY B. OXMAN
23 14126 E. ROSECRANS
24 SANTA FE SPRINGS, CALIFORNIA 90670

25 in an inter-office mail facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to:

26 N/A

27 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is
28 true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California, on the date shown below.

29 DATED: May 10, 2010

30 SIGNED: 
31 **BERNARD PIMENTEL**
32 Declarant

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL

CASE NUMBER(s): 07-O-11968; 07-O-13696; 08-O-12328; and 09-O-12276

I, the undersigned, over the age of eighteen (18) years, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 1149 South Hill Street, Los Angeles, California 90015, declare that I am not a party to the within action; that I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; that in the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day; that I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit; and that in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles, on the date shown below, a true copy of the within

NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, Article No.: **7160 3901 9849 2214 4138**, at Los Angeles, on the date shown below, addressed to:

By United States Certified Mail

By United States Certified Mail

**MAUREEN P. JAROSCAK
OXMAN & JAROSCAK
14126 E. ROSECRANS
SANTA FE SPRINGS, CALIFORNIA 90670**

in an inter-office mail facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to:

N/A

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California, on the date shown below.

DATED: May 10, 2010

SIGNED:


BERNARD PIMENTEL
Declarant