

State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** San Francisco Counsel For The State Bar Case Number (s) (for Court's use)..... 07-O-14038: 03-O-01831 Tammy M. Albertsen-Murray PUBLIC MATTER 180 Howard Street San Francisco, CA 94105 (415) 538-2527 APR 1 0 2008 Bar # 154248 In Pro Per Respondent STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE John E. Linneball SAN FRANCISCO 41 Sutter Street, #1779 San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 986-7355 Submitted to: Settlement Judge Bar # 181795 STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND In the Matter Of DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING JOHN E. LINNEBALL **ACTUAL SUSPENSION** Bar # 181795 PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority." etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted March 18, 1996.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of **13** pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."

<u>(Do</u>	not wr	ite abov	e this line.)				
(7)	No pe	No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.					
(8)	Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):						
		rel	til costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless ief is obtained per rule 284, Rules of Procedure. sts to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years:				
		(ha CO:	sts to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: ardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure) sts waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs" sts entirely waived				
	Prof	ravat essic requi	ing Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for onal Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances red.				
(1)	\boxtimes	Prio	r record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]				
	(a)	\boxtimes	State Bar Court case # of prior case 99-O-12579, et al.				
	(b)	\boxtimes	Date prior discipline effective August 1, 2002				
	(c) 4-10 2 co	⊠ 00(A) · ounts;	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations: 3-110(A) - 6 counts; 4-100(B)(4) - 9 counts; - 2 counts; 6106 - 7 counts; 3-200(A) - 1 count; 3-700(A)(2) - 1 count; 6103 - 1 count; 3-700(D)(2) - 6068(b) - 1 count; 6068(m) - 2 counts				
	(d) pro	⊠ batior	Degree of prior discipline 3 years suspension & until restitution is made, stayed; 3 years with conditions including 9 months actual suspension & until compliance with rule 1.4c(ii).				
	(e)		If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.				
2)		Dish conc	onesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, ealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.				
3)		Trus to the prope	t Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account e client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or erty.				
4)	\boxtimes	Harn	n: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.				
5)		Indif	ference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the equences of his or her misconduct.				
3)		Lack misco	of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her onduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.				
7)		Multi or de	ple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing monstrates a pattern of misconduct.				

(Do not write above this line.)				
(8) No aggravating circumstant	es are involved.			
Additional aggravating circumstances				
C. Mitigating Circumstances [se circumstances are required.	e standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating			
(1) No Prior Discipline: Respon with present misconduct which	dent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled is not deemed serious.			
(2) No Harm: Respondent did no	t harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.			
(3) Candor/Cooperation: Responsible his/her misconduct and to the	ndent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.			
(4) Remorse: Respondent promprecognition of the wrongdoing, misconduct.	otly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her			
(5) Restitution: Respondent paid disciplinary, civil or criminal pro	s on in restitution to without the threat or force of occeedings.			
(6) Delay: These disciplinary proc Respondent and the delay pre	ceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to judiced him/her.			
(7) Good Faith: Respondent acte	ed in good faith.			
Respondent suffered extreme establish was directly responsi	es: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would ble for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of other, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer disabilities.			
(9) Severe Financial Stress: At the which resulted from circumstar which were directly responsible.	he time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress nces not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and e for the misconduct.			
(10) Family Problems: At the time personal life which were other	of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her than emotional or physical in nature.			
(11) Good Character: Responden and general communities who	t's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.			
(12) Rehabilitation: Considerable followed by convincing proof of	time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred subsequent rehabilitation.			
(13) No mitigating circumstances	are involved.			
Additional mitigating circumstances				
Respondent suffers financi	al difficulties other than as encompassed by circumstance (9) above.			

D. Discipline:

(1)	\boxtimes	Stay	Stayed Suspension:		
	(a)	\boxtimes	Resp	condent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of five (5) years.	
		1.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.	
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.	
	. •	iii.	×.	and until Respondent does the following: Respondent hereby acknowledges and stipulates that he must fully complete the restitution requirement as previously ordered by the Supreme Court in case number S106171 [State Bar Court case number 99-O-12579, et al] prior to being relieved from actual suspension.	
	(b)	\boxtimes	The a	above-referenced suspension is stayed.	
(2) Probation:				:	
	Res date	pond of the	ent mu e Sup	ust be placed on probation for a period of five (5) years , which will commence upon the effective reme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)	
(3) Actual Suspension:		spension:			
	(a)	\boxtimes	Resp of the	ondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period ree (3) years.	
		i.	\boxtimes	and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct	
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.	
	and until Respondent does the following: Respondent hereby acknowledges and stipulates that he must fully complete the restitution requirement as previously ordered by the Supreme Court in case number S106171 [State Bar Court case number 99-O-12579, et al] prior to being relieved from				

E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- (2) During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of

(Do n	ot writ	e above	e this line.)	
			mation, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar loses, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.	
(4)		and cond prob	in thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and ditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the lation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must apply meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.	
(5)		July whet cond are a curre	pondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state ther Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all litions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and ent status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be nitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.	
			ddition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than thy (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.	
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.		
(7)		Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.		
(8)		Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.		
			No Ethics School recommended. Reason:	
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.		
(10)		The	following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:	
			Substance Abuse Conditions Law Office Management Conditions	
			Medical Conditions Financial Conditions	
F. C	the	r Coı	nditions Negotiated by the Parties:	
(1)		the Cor one fur	Itistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National inference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within e year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without ther hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & Rules of Procedure.	

1		
		☐ No MPRE recommended. Reason:
(2)		Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(4)		Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
(5)	\boxtimes	Other Conditions:

COMPLIANCE WITH LAWYER ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.

(Do not write above this line)

Respondent shall comply with all provisions and conditions of his participation plan with the State Bar Lawyer's Assistance Program ("LAP"), and all modifications thereto, until such time as he graduates from LAP or until the expiration of this Stipulation, whichever is sooner. Within 14 calendar days from the effective date of this Stipulation, Respondent shall provide the Office of Probation with a copy of the waiver which he has signed with LAP that authorizes the LAP to provide Probation with information regarding his compliance with LAP. Revocation of this written waiver would be a violation of this Stipulation. In addition, each quarter and before the due date of his final report, Respondent shall request and obtain from LAP written proof of his compliance with LAP, and provide the original of the LAP compliance report to the Office of Probation with his written report. The written LAP compliance report shall be dated not sooner than 10 calendar days prior to the date Respondent submits his required written reports to the Office of Probation.

STIPULATION ATTACHMENT

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Facts.

Case No. 07-O-14038 Bus. and Prof. Code, section 6068(k) [Failure to Comply With Conditions of Probation]

On July 2, 2002, the California Supreme Court filed an order in case number S106171 (State Bar Case number 99-O-12579 et al.) suspending respondent from the practice of law, staying imposition of suspension, placing respondent on probation for a period of three years, and requiring respondent to comply with specified conditions of probation.

The Supreme Court order became effective on or about August 1, 2002, and thereafter remained in full force and effect, except that the State Bar Court issued the following modification orders:

<u>Date Filed</u>	<u>Modification</u>
October 7, 2002	Extended deadline for compliance with former rule 955 (now Rule 9.20), California Rules of Court.
November 12, 2002	Modified restitution requirements.
February 17, 2004	Modified restitution requirements.
August 11, 2005	Extended probation for additional five years.
	Modified restitution conditions.
	Deleted probation monitor requirement.
	Deleted Alcoholics Anonymous condition.
	Added Lawyer's Assistance Program requirement.
May 17, 2006	Modified restitution requirements.

At all times pertinent hereto, respondent had notice of and was aware of the July 2, 2002 Supreme Court order and each of the modification orders mentioned above. Respondent has remained on probation at all times since August 1, 2002.

QUARTERLY REPORTING CONDITIONS

(a) One of the conditions of probation (and this condition was not modified by any of the State Bar Court orders mentioned above) required respondent to submit quarterly reports as follows:

"Respondent shall submit written quarterly reports to the Probation Unit on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, respondent shall state whether respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the probation during the preceding calendar quarter. If the first report would cover less than 30 (thirty) days, that report shall be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the probation period."1

¹ After the stipulation was signed, the name of the Probation Unit was changed to the Office of Probation, in some documents, "OP."

(b) Respondent violated this condition by failing to submit the following quarterly probation reports to the Office of Probation on time, as follows:

Date Due	Date Filed with the Office of Probation
1/10/2004	1/12/2004
4/10/2004	6/18/2004
7/10/2004	11/23/2004
10/10/2004	11/23/2004
1/10/2005	1/20/2005
4/10/2005	4/11/2005
7/10/2005	7/12/2005
10/10/2005	10/12/2005
1/10/2006	1/11/2006
4/10/2006	5/8/2006
7/10/2006	10/10/2006
4/10/2007	4/16/2007
7/10/2007	8/10/2007

7. DRUG/ALCOHOL SCREENING

(a) One of the conditions of probation (and this condition was not modified by any of the State Bar Court orders mentioned above) required respondent to comply with the following requirement:

"Respondent shall select a licensed medical laboratory approved by the Probation Unit. Respondent shall furnish to the laboratory blood and/or urine samples as may be required to show that respondent has abstained from alcohol and/or drugs. The samples shall be furnished to the laboratory in such a manner as may be specified by the laboratory to ensure specimen integrity. Respondent shall cause the laboratory to provide to the Probation Unit, at respondent's expense, a screening report on or before the tenth day of each month of the condition or probation period, containing an analysis of respondent's blood and or/urine obtained not more than ten (10) days previously."

(b) During the first nine months, respondent violated this condition of his probation by submitting the proof late, as follows:

Screening must have occurred within 20 days prior to:	Date screening occurred:	Deadline for submitting screening report to Probation Office:	Screening report was filed on:
9/10/2002	8/29/2002	9/10/2002	10/9/2002
11/10/2002	10/31/2002	11/10/2002	3/16/2003
2/10/2003	2/6/2002	2/10/2003	3/14/2003
3/10/2003	3/6/2003	3/10/2003	3/16/2003

⁽c) On May 2, 2003, respondent sent the Probation Unit a letter stating in part as follows:

[&]quot;It is my understanding that the Lawyer's Assistance Program will start random testing on May 19, 2003, and from our earlier conversations, that the LAP's testing program will be accepted by the

State Bar in lieu of my being independently tested."

The Office of Probation did not respond to this letter. Most of respondent's subsequent quarterly reports recited that he was being randomly tested by the Lawyer's Assistance Program, but respondent provided no proof of this random testing or of the results of the testing until November 13, 2006. The Office of Probation did not advise respondent that his participation in LAP did not comply with the language of the screening provision until October 25, 2006.

- (d) On August 11, 2005, the State Bar Court issued an order modifying respondent's probation to require him to participate in the Lawyer's Assistance Program (LAP). The August 11, 2005 order contained no provision affecting the drug/alcohol screening condition quoted above. However, the Office of Probation amended its quarterly reporting form, which it supplied to respondent, to delete any reference to the screening condition.
- (e) On or about October 25, 2006, the Office of Probation advised respondent that the alcohol/drug screening condition was still in effect. Thereafter, respondent violated the screening condition by failing to provide screening reports or failing to provide them on a timely basis, as follows:

Screening must have occurred within 20 days prior to:	Date screening occurred:	Deadline for submitting screening report to Probation Office:	Screening report was was filed on:
11/10/2006	11/2/2006	11/10/2006	11/13/2006
12/10/2006	11/30/2006	12/10/2006	12/14/2007
1/10/2007	1/3/2007	1/10/2007	1/17/2007
3/10/2007	3/2/2007	3/10/2007	4/16/2007
4/10/2007	3/23/2007	4/10/2007	4/16/2007
5/10/2007	5/4/2007	5/10/2007	11/9/2007
6/10/2007	6/7/2007	6/10/2007	11/9/2007
7/10/2007	7/5/2007	7/10/2007	11/9/2007
8/10/2007	8/3/2007	8/10/2007	11/9/2007
9/10/2007	NOT PROVIDED*	9/10/2007	NOT PROVIDED*
10/10/2007	NOT PROVIDED*	10/20/2007	NOT PROVIDED*

^{*} Note: test results for dates outside the window period were provided on November 9, 2007.

8. LAP PARTICIPATION.

The August 11, 2005, modification order required respondent to participate in LAP and to Aprovide monthly LAP compliance reports to the OP, with each quarterly report, and execute any necessary waivers with LAP to comply with these orders. Respondent violated this requirement as shown in the following table. For the first four quarters, respondent failed to provide any LAP compliance reports. For the next five quarters, respondent provided some reports. However, respondent did not comply with LAP participation reporting requirement because (1) these reports were only provided at sporadic intervals, not on a monthly basis, (2) respondent never provided the three required monthly reports required for any quarter, (3) respondent provided no reports for some quarters, and (4) the reports did not describe respondent's compliance for a given month but instead stated whether he was in compliance as of the date the report was signed.

Date Report Due	LAP Compliance Report		
10/10/2005	Not provided		
1/10/2006	Not provided		
4/10/2006	Not provided		
7/10/2006	Not provided		
	p		

10/10/2006	Respondent provided LAP reports dated 8/24/2006, 9/25/2006
1/10/2007	Respondent provided LAP report dated 11/16/2006
4/10/2007	Respondent provided LAP reports dated 2/1/2007, 3/8/2007
7/10/2007	Respondent provided LAP report dated 7/5/2007
10/10/2007	Respondent provided LAP report dated 9/27/2007

9. RESTITUTION REQUIREMENTS.

(a) The original restitution (effective August 1, 2002, to November 12, 2002), provided as follows:

"Within three years from the effective date of discipline in this matter, Respondent must make restitution in the principal amounts set forth herein to Catherine Dombrowski (\$1,386.00), Edwina Terry (\$9,575.00), Joan Van de Weil (\$5,475.00), Donald Willis (\$8,000.00), Maxine Webb (\$2,237.00), Co Van Lu (\$2,500), Frank Darby (\$12,062.66), Lawrence Lynch (\$1,000.00) and Antonio Victa (\$2,500.00) or the Client Security Fund if it has paid plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum from April 30, 2001 in no fewer than equal quarterly installments until paid in full and furnish satisfactory evidence of such restitution to the Probation Unit. Respondent shall include in each quarterly report required herein satisfactory evidence of all restitution payments made by him during that reporting period."

(b) By order dated November 12, 2002, the State Bar Court modified respondent's minimum restitution obligations to \$600.00 per quarter, but only for the first four calendar quarters of his probation, i.e., the quarters ending September 30, 2002, December 31, 2002, March 31, 2003, and June 30, 2003. Respondent made the following payments during the period in which the November 12, 2002 order remained in effect:

Quarter Ending	Minimum Amount Due	Amount Paid
September 30, 2002	\$600	\$599.30
December 31, 2002	\$600	\$599.24
March 31, 2003	\$600	\$599.24
September 30, 2003	over \$5,000	\$608.42
December 31, 2003	over \$6,000	Nothing

(c) By order filed February 17, 2004, the State Bar Court reduced respondent's minimum restitution obligation to \$600 per calendar quarter for the quarters ending March 31, 2004, and June 30, 2004. As concerned the prior delinquencies, the February 17, 2004, order required respondent to make an additional payment of \$600 on or before June 30, 2003. The order further noted that respondent would be required to pay at least \$1,000 for each of the subsequent quarters. Respondent made the following payments during the time the February 17, 2004 order was in effect:

Quarter Ending	Minimum Amount Due	um Amount Due Amount Paid	
March 31, 2004	\$600	Nothing	
June 30, 2004	\$1,200	Nothing	
September 30, 2004	\$1,000	Nothing	
December 31, 2004	\$1,000	Nothing	
March 31, 2005	\$1,000	Nothing	
June 30, 2005	\$1,000	\$100	

(d) By order filed August 11, 2005, based upon respondent's stipulation with the Office of Probation, the State Bar Court set respondent's future restitution payments at a minimum of \$1,000 per calendar quarter. Respondent made the following payments during the time the August 11, 2004 order was in effect:

Quarter Ending

Minimum Amount Due

Amount Paid

September 30, 2005	\$1,000	\$1,700
December 31, 2005	\$1,000	\$800 (payment not cashed for several months).
March 31, 2006	\$1,000	\$300

Respondent violated the conditions of his probation by failing to make the minimum restitution payments ordered during the calendar quarters ending December 31, 2005 and March 31, 2006.

(e) By order filed May 17, 2006, based upon respondent's motion, the State Bar Court set respondent's future restitution payments at a minimum of \$1,200 per calendar quarter. At all times thereafter, this order has remained in effect. Respondent has made the following restitution payments during the quarters in which the May 17 order has been in effect:

Quarter Ending	Minimum Amount Due	Amount Paid	
June 30, 2006	\$1,200	\$800	
September 30, 2006	\$1,200	\$4,000	
December 31, 2006	\$1,200	Nothing	
March 31, 2007	\$1,200	Nothing	
June 30, 2007	\$1,200	Nothing	
September 30, 2007	\$1200	\$100	

Respondent violated the conditions of his probation by failing to make the minimum restitution payments during the calendar quarters ending June 30, 2006, December 31, 2006, March 31, 2007, June 30, 2007, and September 31, 2007.

CONCLUSION OF LAW.

By his many and various failures to comply with conditions of his probation as described in detail above, respondent wilfully failed to comply with all conditions attached to any disciplinary probation, in violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(k).

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

As of February 15, 2008, there are no pending proceedings which are not disposed of by way of this Stipulation.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of February 14, 2008, the estimated prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$ 2915.00. Respondent acknowledges that this figure is an estimate only and that it does not include State Bar Court costs which will be included in any final cost assessment. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

(Do not write above this line.)		
In the Matter of JOHN E. LINNEBALL	Case number(s): 07-0-14038; 03-0-01831	

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

John & Jell	John E. Linneball
Respondent's Signature	Print Name
Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Tammy M. Albertsen-Murray Print Name
	Respondent's Signature Respondent's Counsel Signature

(Do not write above this line.) In the Matter Of JOHN E. LINNEBALL		Case Number(s): 07-O-14038; 03-O-01831
	0	RDER
	DERED that the requested dismissal	and that it adequately protects the public, of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without
\checkmark	The stipulated facts and disposition RECOMMENDED to the Supreme	n are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE Court.
		n are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth COMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
	All Hearing dates are vacated.	
•		
the stipula or further i effective (tion, filed within 15 days after service modifies the approved stipulation. (S	proved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify e of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies ee rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The ctive date of the Supreme Court order herein, 18(a), California Rules of Court.)
_ Cy	ril 9,2008	Jat McElry Judge of the State Bar Court
Date		Judge of the State Bar ourt

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY REGULAR MAIL

CASE NUMBER: 07-O-14038, 03-O-01831

I, the undersigned, over the age of eighteen (18) years, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 180 Howard Street, San Francisco, California 94105, declare that I am not a party to the within action; that I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; that in the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day; that I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit; and that in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of San Francisco, on the date shown below, a true copy of the within

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing at San Francisco, on the date shown below, addressed to:

John E. Linneball 41 Sutter Street, # 1779 San Francisco, CA 94104

in an inter-office mail facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to:

N/A

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, California, on the date shown below.

DATED: 3/12/08 SIGNED: Zane Halvorsen

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on April 10, 2008, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

[X] by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

JOHN E. LINNEBALL LINNEBALL & ASSOCIATES 41 SUTTER ST PMB 1779 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

[X] by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Mark Hartman, Enforcement, San Francisco Tammy Albertsen-Murray, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on April 10, 2008.

George Hue
Case Administrator
State Bar Court