1		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL SCOTT J. DREXEL, No. 65670 CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL RUSSELL G. WEINER, No. 94504 DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL LAWRENCE J. DAL CERRO, No. 104342 ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL DONALD R. STEEDMAN, No. 104927 SUPERVISING TRIAL COUNSEL TAMMY M. ALBERTSEN-MURRAY, No. 154248 DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL 180 Howard Street San Francisco, California 94105-1639 Telephone: (415) 538-2527	
10	STATE BAR COURT	
11	HEARING DEPARTMENT - SAN FRANCISCO	
12	HEARING DEFARTMENT - SAINTRANCISCO	
13	In the Matter of:) Case No. 08-C-12845; 08-C-12998-LMA	
14	TIMOTHY J. EVANS,) PARTIES' STIPULATION TO CORRECT	
15	No. 42795,) TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR CONTAINED) IN PREVIOUSLY FILED AND ORDERED	
16	A Member of the State Bar. () IN PREVIOUSE I FILED AND ORDERED () STIPULATION AS TO FACTS, () CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND	,
17	 A Member of the state bar. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION; REQUEST FOR CORRECTION NUNC PRO TUNC 	
18) Rules of Procedure, rules 271 and 551	
19	I. INTRODUCTION	
20	In March, 2009, the State Bar, by and through Deputy Trial Counsel Tammy M.	
21	Albertsen-Murray (hereinafter, "DTC"), and pro per respondent Timothy Evans (hereinafter,	
22	"respondent") entered into a Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions Of Law And Disposition	
23	(hereinafter, "the Stipulation") to resolve pending disciplinary matters. On March 25, 2009,	
24	Hon. Lucy Armendariz of the State Bar Court signed the order approving the disposition and the	he
25	Stipulation was filed by the State Bar Court on March 26, 2009.	
26	On or about April 20, 2009, it was brought to the attention of the DTC that the	
27	Stipulation contained a blank at section E.(1) on page 4 where information was to be inserted.	
28		
1		

Specifically, the period for which respondent is required to comply with the conditions attached 1 2 to the public reproval was inadvertently left blank.

3 The DTC conferred with respondent and with various State Bar employees to discern the 4 ramifications of and methods to correct this error. The DTC and respondent agreed that the 5 parties would submit the instant stipulation and request to insert the missing information.

6

LEGAL ARGUMENT II.

7 Pursuant to Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, this Court is permitted to 8 modify and/or correct a condition of reproval, particularly where the parties have stipulated to 9 the modification and/or correction.

10 The March, 2009 Stipulation at issue is a reproval with conditions, as set forth in Rules of 11 Procedure, rule 271. Rule 271 specifically provides that conditions "effective for a reasonable time may be attached to reprovals."¹ As part of the Stipulation to resolve all issues, the parties 12 13 agreed that the Stipulation would contain conditions compliance with which would remain in 14 effect for two (2) years. The DTC personally typed the reproval form pages, including the 15 attachment pages.

16 As indicated and as the Court's own records will reflect, this Court approved the 17 Stipulation by signature on March 25. The State Bar Court thereafter filed the approved Stipulation on March 26. 18

19 Upon discovery of the missing information regarding the period of time with which 20 respondent is to comply with the conditions attached to the reproval, the parties discussed the 21 State Bar's previous and ongoing intention that the compliance period is to be two years. 22 Therefore, the original Stipulation must be modified to correct the error by inclusion of the 23 missing information.

24 Rule 551 specifically allows for modification and/or corrections of conditions and for the 25 parties to stipulate thereto. By and through this stipulation, the parties have set forth "specific

26

28

27 Rule 271 states as follows: "Conditions effective for a reasonable time may be attached to reprovals in the manner authorized by California Rules of Court, rule 9.19. Motions to modify conditions attached to reprovals shall be governed by rules 550-554 (modification or early termination of probation)."

1 facts demonstrating that the requested relief is appropriate" as is required by rule 551.² In the
2 instant matter, this Court - which has retained jurisdiction per rule 551 - is permitted to correct
3 the Stipulation by including the phrase "two (2) years" at section E.(1) on page 4 of the
4 Stipulation.

It is clearly in the interests of justice to have a finite period of time during which
respondent is ordered to comply with the conditions of the reproval to which he previously
stipulated. Likewise, it is also in the interests of justice that the total compliance time period not
be elongated by reason of this error. This Court can and should issue its order *nunc pro tunc* so
that the effective date and related compliance period will be calculated from the service date of
the original order, March 26, 2009.

11

III. STIPULATION

Whereas, the parties hereto desire and request this Court rectify the error in the originally
filed stipulation to include a specific period of time for the reproval conditions to continue;

Whereas, the parties stipulate and agree that the negotiated time period for the reproval
conditions to continue was and continues to be two (2) years;

Whereas, the parties hereby stipulate and agree and respectfully request that this Court
correct the typographical error resulting from the blank space left at section E.(1) of the
Stipulation originally filed and served on March 26, 2009, by inserting the period of two (2)
years into the blank space at section E.(1) on page 4; and

Whereas, the parties specifically stipulate and ask this Court to enter an order making said correction *nunc pro tunc* to the date of March 26, 2009, which is the date the order was originally served by this Court. The parties specially request this retroactive order because the parties agree and stipulate that this correction is not intended by them to alter or modify or otherwise change any other term or condition of the reproval, including the original date from

25

28 || discretion to reject the stipulation in the interest of justice."

Rule 551 states as follows: "The parties may stipulate to a modification or correction of conditions of probation, as permitted by rule 9.10(c) of the California Rules of Court, or to early termination of probation. The stipulation must state specific facts demonstrating that the requested relief is appropriate and serves the objectives of probation. The stipulation shall be reviewed by the Court, which retains the

1	which compliance is calculated.
2	Attached hereto as Exhibit 'A' for the convenience of the Court is page 4 from the
3	original Stipulation corrected to include the appropriate information inserted into the blank at
4	section E.(1). The parties respectfully submit that, should it please this Court, the Court may
5	order that the attached, corrected page 4 be exchanged and inserted in place of the original
6	incorrect page 4.
7	
8	Respectfully submitted,
9	THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
10	OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL
11	
12	DATED: May 19, 2009 By: halt
13	Tammy-M. Albertsen-Murray Deputy Trial Counsel
14	
15	<u> </u>
16	
17	DATED: May 15 2009 By: May 15 2009 Timothy J. Evans
18 19	Respondent
20	
20	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE, the Court finds that it is in the interests
22	of justice to grant the request set forth herein. As a result, the parties' Stipulation To Correct
23	Typographical Error Contained In Previously Filed And Ordered Stipulation is accepted and
24	approved.
25	SO ORDERED.
26	
27	Yw M
28	Hon. Lucy Armendariz Judge of the State Bar Court

J

(Do not write above this line.)

- (10) Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

** Respondent stipulated to the imposition of discipline, thus obviating the additional expenditure of trial.

D. Discipline:

- (1) Private reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)
 - (a) Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).
 - (b) Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).
- or

(2) **Description** Public reproval (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)

E. Conditions Attached to Reproval:



- (1) Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of two (2) years.
- (2) During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date, and cover the extended period.

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY REGULAR MAIL

CASE NUMBER: 08-C-12845 & 08-C-12998

1

2

3 I, the undersigned, over the age of eighteen (18) years, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 180 Howard Street, San Francisco, California 4 94105, declare that I am not a party to the within action; that I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the 5 United States Postal Service; that in the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, 6 correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day; that I am aware that on motion of party served, 7 service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit; and that 8 in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of San Francisco, 9 on the date shown below, a true copy of the within 10 PARTIES' STIPULATION TO CORRECT TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR 11 **CONTAINED IN PREVIOUSLY FILED AND ORDERED** STIPULATION AS TO FACTS, CONCLUSION OF LAW AND DISPOSITION; 12 **REQUEST FOR CORRECTION NUNC PRO TUNC** 13 in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing at San Francisco, on the date shown below, addressed to: 14 15 **Timothy J. Evans** 527 J Street 16 Marysville, CA 95901 17 in an inter-office mail facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to: 18 N/A 19 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 20 foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, California, on the date shown below. 21 Signed: Chromen (Inwalt 22 Dated: May 19, 2009 armen Arevalo, Declarant 23 24 25 26 27 28

-1-

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on May 20, 2009, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

PARTIES' STIPULATION TO CORRECT TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR CONTAINED IN PREVIOUSLY FILED AND ORDERED STIPULATION AS TO FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION; REQUEST FOR CORRECTION NUNC PRO TUNC

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

TIMOTHY J. EVANS TIMOTHY J EVANS ATTORNEY AT LAW 527 J ST MARYSVILLE, CA 95901

by certified mail, No. , with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal Service at , California, addressed as follows:

by overnight mail at , California, addressed as follows:

by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.

- By personal service by leaving the documents in a sealed envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or a person having charge of the attorney's office, addressed as follows:
- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

TAMMY ALBERTSEN-MURRAY, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on May 20, 2009.

hne-

Bernadette C.O. Molina Case Administrator State Bar Court