


(Do not write above this line.)

**State Bar Court of California  
Hearing Department  
San Francisco**

|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Counsel For The State Bar</b><br><br>Mark Hartman<br>Deputy Trial Counsel<br>180 Howard Street<br>San Francisco, CA 94105<br><br>Telephone: (415) 538-2558<br><br>Bar # 114925 | <b>Case Number (s)</b><br>08-C-14328<br>08-C-14555<br>09-C-10667   | <b>(for Court's use)</b><br><br><b>PUBLIC MATTER</b><br><br><b>FILED</b> <i>[Signature]</i><br><br>JUL 20 2010<br><br>STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE<br>SAN FRANCISCO<br><br>kwiktag® 018 039 701<br> |
| <b>Counsel For Respondent</b><br><br>Jonathan I. Arons<br>221 Main Street, suite 740<br>San Francisco, CA 94105<br><br>Telephone: (415) 957-1818<br><br>Bar # 111257              | <b>Submitted to: Assigned Judge</b><br><br>STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND<br>DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING<br><br><b>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</b><br><br><input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED |  |
| <b>In the Matter Of:</b><br><br>ERNEST SCOTT KINNEY<br><br>Bar # 207234<br><br>A Member of the State Bar of California<br>(Respondent)  |  |  |

**Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.**

**A. Parties' Acknowledgments:**

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted on June 5, 2000.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 13 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

(Do not write above this line.)

- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
- until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 284, Rules of Procedure.
  - costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: 2011, 2012, and 2013.  
(hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)
  - costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs"
  - costs entirely waived

**B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.**

- (1)  **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
- (a)  State Bar Court case # of prior case
  - (b)  Date prior discipline effective
  - (c)  Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
  - (d)  Degree of prior discipline
  - (e)  If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
- (2)  **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3)  **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4)  **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5)  **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6)  **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (7)  **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. The acts which led to respondent's criminal convictions involved multiple acts of wrongdoing, but did not demonstrate a pattern of misconduct. See page 8.
- (8)  **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

**Additional aggravating circumstances:**

Respondent violated Vehicle Code section 23152(a) [driving under the influence of alcohol "(DUI)"] and Vehicle Code section 23152(b) [DUI with blood alcohol content of more than 0.08 percent]. He then failed to comply with the requirements of his probation for the DUI matters by going to a bar on November 27, 2008, and by assaulting David Jones on November 28, 2008. These failures to comply with probation requirements were additional aggravating circumstances. See page 8.

Respondent significantly injured his former wife, the victim of his violation of Penal Code section 173.5(a) [spousal battery], and David Jones, the victim of his violation of Penal Code section 245(a)(1) [assault with a deadly weapon]. These injuries were additional aggravating circumstances. See page 8.

**C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.**

- (1)  **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious. Respondent's misconduct was serious. He practiced law for a period of almost eight years before the start of his misconduct. This period should be accorded limited weight in mitigation. See page 9.
- (2)  **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3)  **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. See page 9.
- (4)  **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5)  **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ \_\_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_\_ in restitution to \_\_\_\_\_ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6)  **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7)  **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8)  **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities. See page 9.

(Do not write above this line.)

---

- (9)  **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10)  **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature. See page 9.
- (11)  **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct. Eight attorneys submitted letters commending respondent's character and professional abilities. See page 9.
- (12)  **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13)  **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

**Additional mitigating circumstances**

**D. Discipline:**

- (1)  **Stayed Suspension:**
- (a)  Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of three years.
- i.  and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- ii.  and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii.  and until Respondent does the following:
- (b)  The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

(2)  **Probation:**

Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of four years, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)

(3)  **Actual Suspension:**

- (a)  Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of 18 months.
- i.  and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct

- ii.  and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii.  and until Respondent does the following:

**E. Additional Conditions of Probation:**

- (1)  If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- (2)  During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3)  Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4)  Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5)  Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6)  Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7)  Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (8)  Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.  
 No Ethics School recommended. Reason:
- (9)  Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.

(Do not write above this line.)

(10)  The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions         | <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions             |

**F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:**

- (1)  **Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination:** Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. **Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.**
- No MPRE recommended. Reason:
- (2)  **Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (3)  **Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (4)  **Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]:** Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension: November 6, 2009.
- (5)  **Other Conditions:**

**ATTACHMENT TO STIPULATION RE FACTS,  
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND DISCIPLINE**

In the Matter of:                 **Ernest Scott Kinney**  
Membership No.:                 **207234**  
State Bar Court Case No.:     **08-C-14328, 08-C-14555, and 09-C-10667**

**FACTS**

Respondent admits that the following facts are true:

**Case Number 08-C-14328**

1. Soon after midnight on May 3, 2008, a police officer observed respondent Ernest Scott Kinney ("respondent") driving a pickup truck more than 50 miles per hour on an avenue where the speed limit was 35 miles per hour. After respondent pulled into a parking lot and stopped, the police officer turned into the lot, activated the red lights on his car, got out of his car, and questioned respondent. Observing signs of intoxication, the officer asked respondent to take field sobriety tests. Respondent's blood alcohol content ("BAC") was 0.17 percent in one test and 0.18 percent in another test. The officer then arrested respondent.

2. On May 27, 2008, respondent was charged with misdemeanor violations of Vehicle Code section 23152(a) [DUI] and Vehicle Code section 23152(b) [DUI with BAC of more than 0.08 percent].

3. On October 29, 2008, after a jury trial, respondent was found guilty of both the charges against him.

4. On November 3, 2008, the Fresno County Superior Court imposed judgment on respondent. His sentence included the following:

- Three years' suspended sentence and three years' probation
- Obedience to all laws
- Attendance and completion of a nine-month First Offender Alcohol Program
- No presence in an establishment where the primary items for sale are alcoholic beverages
- Payment of fees and a fine

5. Subsequently, respondent completed the nine-month First Offender Alcohol Program and paid the fees and fine.

**Case Number 08-C-14555**

6. On August 7, 2008, respondent quarreled with his former wife, and physical violence ensued. The injuries suffered by his former wife included swelling on her forehead, a cut on the inside of her lower lip, scratches on her left thigh, and bruises on both her upper legs and buttocks. Respondent suffered bruising on his inner left thigh. The police were called, the former wife refused any medical attention, and respondent was arrested.

7. On July 28, 2009, respondent pled nolo contendere to a felony violation of Penal Code section 173.5(a) [spousal battery].

8. On September 3, 2009, the Fresno County Superior Court imposed judgment on respondent. His sentence included the following:

- Three years' suspended sentence and three years' probation
- Payment of fees
- Enrollment in 52-week batterer's treatment program
- Enrollment in, and completion of, mental health counseling
- Enrollment in a substance abuse program
- Taking of all medications prescribed by a physician

9. Subsequently, respondent paid the fees and enrolled in a substance abuse program. He also has been taking all prescribed medications, has been seeing a mental health counselor, and has enrolled in a 52-week batterer's program, which he is still attending.

#### **Case Number 09-C-10677**

10. On the evening of November 27, 2008, respondent and his friend Robert Linares went to a bar, where respondent played ping pong. At the bar, respondent met David Jones. Respondent and Jones stayed overnight at Linares's apartment. On the morning of November 28, 2008, without explanation, respondent hit Jones on the forehead with a hammer. Jones called the police, who arrested respondent. Jones was later treated at a hospital for small cuts, redness, and swelling on his forehead.

11. On July 28, 2009, at the same time as respondent pled nolo contendere to felony spousal battery, he pled nolo contendere to a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 245(a)(1) [assault with a deadly weapon].

12. On September 3, 2009, the Fresno County Superior Court imposed judgment on respondent for the assault against Jones, as well as the battery against his former wife. His sentence for the assault matter included one year's suspended sentence, one year's probation, and payment of fees.

13. Subsequently, he paid the fees.

#### **CONCLUSION OF LAW**

Respondent admits that the acts which led to his criminal convictions in the DUI, battery, and assault cases constitute misconduct warranting discipline.

#### **AGGRAVATION**

**Multiple Acts of Wrongdoing:** Respondent's misconduct involved several acts of wrongdoing.

**Failures to Comply With Probation Requirements:** Respondent failed to comply with the requirements of his probation for the DUI matters by going to a bar on November 27, 2008, and by assaulting Jones on November 28, 2008. These failures were violations of Business and Professions Code sections 6068(a) and 6103.

**Injuries to Victims of Crimes:** Respondent significantly injured the victims of his crimes, his former wife and David Jones.



## MITIGATION

No Prior Discipline: Respondent practiced law for a period of almost eight years before the start of his misconduct (i.e., from June 5, 2000, to May 3, 2008). This period merits limited weight in mitigation. (*In the Matter of Aguiluz* (Review Dept. 1992) 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 32, 44 [seven years of practice before misconduct deserves “only slight weight in mitigation”].)

Candor and Cooperation: Respondent has been candid and cooperative with the State Bar during the current disciplinary proceeding.

Alcohol and Mental Health Problems: Respondent has alcohol problems and began attending Alcoholics Anonymous (“AA”) in 2006. These problems contributed to his misconduct in the DUI cases. Since his release from jail, he has attended AA meetings three or four times a week.

In 1992, respondent was diagnosed with bipolar condition. From then until 2008, respondent took lithium, a prescribed medication, which kept his bipolar condition under control.

In 2008, a doctor changed respondent’s medication to Abilify, which failed to control his bipolar condition. This failure contributed to his battery of his former wife and to his assault of Jones. While in jail, he again started taking lithium, which has kept his bipolar condition under control.

In November 2009, respondent signed a plan for participation in the Lawyer Assistance Program (“LAP”). Since then, he has complied with LAP requirements.

Emotional and Family Problems: Respondent was very close to his father, who died in April 2008. At the time of his misconduct, respondent was suffering from grief over his father’s death and from the emotional hardships resulting from the dissolution of his marriage.

Character Reference Letters: Respondent submitted reference letters from eight attorneys, who commended his character and professional skill.

## SUPPORTING AUTHORITY

Standards 1.3 and 3.4 of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.

*In re Otto* (1989) 48 Cal.3rd 970.

## ETHICS SCHOOL REQUIREMENT

Within one year of the effective date of the discipline for the current cases, respondent must attend Ethics School, must pass the examination at the end of the Ethics School session which he attends, and must provide proof of such passage to the Office of Probation.

## MULTISTATE PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY EXAMINATION REQUIREMENT

Within one year of the effective date of the discipline for the current cases, respondent must pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination and provide proof of such passage to the Office of Probation.

**DATE OF DISCLOSURE OF ANY PENDING INVESTIGATION OR PROCEEDING**

On June 22, 2010, the State Bar sent a disclosure letter by e-mail to respondent's counsel, Jonathan Arons. In this letter, the State Bar advised Arons of any pending investigations or proceedings against respondent other than the current cases.

In the Matter of  
ERNEST SCOTT KINNEY

Case number(s):  
08-C-14328; 08-C-14555; 09-C-10667

### Substance Abuse Conditions

- a.  Respondent must abstain from use of any alcoholic beverages, and shall not use or possess any narcotics, dangerous or restricted drugs, controlled substances, marijuana, or associated paraphernalia, except with a valid prescription.
- b.  Respondent must attend at least 8 meetings per month of:
- Alcoholics Anonymous and/or
  - Narcotics Anonymous
  - The Other Bar
  - Other program

As a separate reporting requirement, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance during each month, on or before the tenth (10<sup>th</sup>) day of the following month, during the condition or probation period.

- c.  Respondent must select a license medical laboratory approved by the Office of Probation. Respondent must furnish to the laboratory blood and/or urine samples as may be required to show that Respondent has abstained from alcohol and/or drugs. The samples must be furnished to the laboratory in such a manner as may be specified by the laboratory to ensure specimen integrity. Respondent must cause the laboratory to provide to the Office of Probation, at the Respondent's expense, a screening report on or before the tenth day of each month of the condition or probation period, containing an analysis of Respondent's blood and/or urine obtained not more than ten (10) days previously.
- d.  Respondent must maintain with the Office of Probation a current address and a current telephone number at which Respondent can be reached. Respondent must return any call from the Office of Probation concerning testing of Respondent's blood or urine within twelve (12) hours. For good cause, the Office of Probation may require Respondent to deliver Respondent's urine and/or blood sample(s) for additional reports to the laboratory described above no later than six hours after actual notice to Respondent that the Office of Probation requires an additional screening report.
- e.  Upon the request of the Office of Probation, Respondent must provide the Office of Probation with medical waivers and access to all of Respondent's medical records. Revocation of any medical waiver is a violation of this condition. Any medical records obtained by the Office of Probation are confidential and no information concerning them or their contents will be given to anyone except members of the Office of Probation, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, and the State Bar Court who are directly involved with maintaining, enforcing or adjudicating this condition.

In the Matter of  
ERNEST SCOTT KINNEY

Case number(s):  
08-C-14328; 08-C-14555; 09-C-10667

### Medical Conditions

- a.  Unless Respondent has been terminated from the Lawyer Assistance Program ("LAP") prior to respondent's successful completion of the LAP, respondent must comply with all provisions and conditions of respondent's Participation Agreement with the LAP and must provide an appropriate waiver authorizing the LAP to provide the Office of Probation and this court with information regarding the terms and conditions of respondent's participation in the LAP and respondent's compliance or non-compliance with LAP requirements. Revocation of the written waiver for release of LAP information is a violation of this condition. However, if respondent has successfully completed the LAP, respondent need not comply with this condition.
- b.  Respondent must obtain psychiatric or psychological help/treatment from a duly licensed psychiatrist, psychologist, or clinical social worker at respondent's own expense a minimum of **one** times per month and must furnish evidence to the Office of Probation that respondent is so complying with each quarterly report. Help/treatment should commence immediately, and in any event, no later than thirty (30) days after the effective date of the discipline in this matter. Treatment must continue for ~~months or~~ ~~years or~~ **days or** the period of probation or until a motion to modify this condition is granted and that ruling becomes final.

If the treating psychiatrist, psychologist, or clinical social worker determines that there has been a substantial change in respondent's condition, respondent or Office of the Chief Trial Counsel may file a motion for modification of this condition with the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court, pursuant to rule 550 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar. The motion must be supported by a written statement from the psychiatrist, psychologist, or clinical social worker, by affidavit or under penalty of perjury, in support of the proposed modification.

- c.  Upon the request of the Office of Probation, respondent must provide the Office of Probation with medical waivers and access to all of respondent's medical records. Revocation of any medical waiver is a violation of this condition. Any medical records obtained by the Office of Probation are confidential and no information concerning them or their contents will be given to anyone except members of the Office of Probation, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, and the State Bar Court, who are directly involved with maintaining, enforcing or adjudicating this condition.



Other:

(Do not write above this line.)

|  |  |
|--|--|
| In the Matter of<br><b>ERNEST SCOTT KINNEY</b> | Case number(s):<br><b>08-C-14328; 08-C-14555; 09-C-10667</b> |
|--|--|

**SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES**

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

|                              |   |  |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| <u>June 30, 2010</u><br>Date | <br>Respondent's Signature         | <u>ERNEST SCOTT KINNEY</u><br>Print Name |
| <u>June 30, 2010</u><br>Date | <br>Respondent's Counsel Signature | <u>JONATHAN I. ARONS</u><br>Print Name   |
| <u>7/1/10</u><br>Date        | <u>Mark Hartman</u><br>Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature   | <u>MARK HARTMAN</u><br>Print Name        |

(Do not write above this line.)

|  |  |
|--|--|
| In the Matter Of<br><b>ERNEST SCOTT KINNEY</b> | Case Number(s):<br><b>08-C-14328; 08-C-14555; 09-C-10667</b> |
|--|--|

**ORDER**

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- All Hearing dates are vacated.

On page 4 of the stipulation, the "X" in box D(1)(a)(i) is deleted to remove the "and until" condition requiring that respondent's stayed suspension continue until he complies with standard 1.4(c)(ii). (It is inappropriate to attach "and until" conditions to periods of *stayed* suspension. See, In the Matter of Luis (Review Dept. 2004) 4 Cal. StateBar Ct. Rptr. 737.)

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) **The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)**

July 20, 2010  
Date

Pat E. McElroy  
Pat E. McElroy  
Judge of the State Bar Court

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on July 20, 2010, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

### STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

**JONATHAN IRWIN ARONS  
LAW OFC JONATHAN I ARONS  
221 MAIN ST STE 740  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105**

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

**MARK HARTMAN, Enforcement, San Francisco**

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on July 20, 2010.



---

Bernadette C.O. Molina  
Case Administrator  
State Bar Court