

FILED

OCT 23 2013

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
SAN FRANCISCO

STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
HEARING DEPARTMENT – SAN FRANCISCO

In the Matter of)	Case Nos.: 08-O-11613-PEM, et al.
)	(S196241)
CHESTERFIELD ADAMS SPAHR,)	
)	ORDER RE RESPONDENT'S MOTION
Member No. 190173)	FOR MODIFICATION OF PROBATION
)	
<u>A Member of the State Bar.</u>)	

On October 3, 2013, respondent Chesterfield Adams Spahr (respondent) filed a motion for modification of the terms of his probation in the above-listed matter (request for modification). In his request for modification, respondent asked that the drug and alcohol testing requirement be modified to excuse him from testing during his travel in January 2014. On October 8, 2013, the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California (State Bar) filed an opposition to the request for modification. On October 11, 2013, the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California (Office of Probation) filed a separate opposition to the request for modification. On October 17, 2013, respondent filed a reply to the oppositions of the State Bar and Office of Probation.


The court finds that respondent's request is consistent with protecting the public, his successful rehabilitation, and maintaining the integrity of the legal profession. Good cause having been shown, the request for modification is **GRANTED** as follows. Respondent is not required to comply with his monthly drug and alcohol testing requirement for the month of



January 2014.¹ During this time period, respondent is still required to comply with all other conditions of probation.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 23, 2013



Pat McElroy
Judge of the State Bar Court

¹ In the alternative, respondent requested that his monthly drug and alcohol testing requirement be removed or modified to quarterly or bi-monthly testing. These requests are **DENIED**, no good cause having been shown.

² In his reply, respondent alleged that he should not have been required to provide monthly drug and alcohol testing following his successful completion of the Alternative Discipline Program. This assertion is erroneous as a monthly drug and alcohol testing requirement was included in both the amended confidential statement and decision.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, On October 23, 2013, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

ORDER RE RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR MODIFICATION OF PROBATION

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:


- ☒ by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

CHESTERFIELD A. SPAHR
229 23RD AVE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94121

- ☒ by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

TERRIE GOLDADE, Probation , Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on October 23, 2013.


Lauretta Cramer
Case Administrator
State Bar Court