

# PUBLIC MATTER

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL SCOTT J. DREXEL, No. 65670 CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL PATSY J. COBB, No. 107793 DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL JAYNE KIM, No. 174614 ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL PAUL T. O'BRIEN, No. 171252 SUPERVISING TRIAL COUNSEL 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, California 90015-2299 Telephone: (213) 765-1714	FILED  OCT 07 2008  STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES
8		
9	STATE BAR COURT	
10	HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES	
11		
12	In the Matter of:	Case No. 08-O-12672
13	STEPHEN ALLEN RODRIGUEZ SR., ) 1 No. 158840,	NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES
14		
15	A Member of the State Bar	
16	NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!	
17	IF YOU FAIL TO FILE AN ANSWER TIME ALLOWED BY STATE BAR RU	JLES, INCLUDING EXTENSIONS.
18	OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL, (1) YOUR DEFAULT SHALL BE ENTERED. (2) YOU SHALL BE	
19	ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEN WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO	MBER OF THE STATE BAR AND PRACTICE LAW UNLESS THE
20	DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE ON MOTIC RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE ST	ON TIMELY MADE UNDER THE TATE BAR, (3) YOU SHALL NOT
21	BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIA PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOUR DEFA	PATE FURTHER IN THESE AULT IS SET ASIDE, AND (4) YOU
22	SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONA	L DISCIPLINE.
23	STATE BAR RULES REQUIRE YO RESPONSE TO THIS NOTICE WI	OU TO FILE YOUR WRITTEN THIN TWENTY DAYS AFTER
24	SERVICE.	
25	IF YOUR DEFAULT IS ENTERED AND THE SUPREME COURT IN THIS PRO	CEEDING INCLUDES A PERIOD
26	OF ACTUAL SUSPENSION, YOU WINTHE PRACTICE OF LAW FOR AT	LEAST THE PERIOD OF TIME
27	SPECIFIED BY THE SUPREME COUP SUSPENSION WILL CONTINUE UN	RT. IN ADDITION, THE ACTUAL ITIL YOU HAVE REQUESTED.
28	AND THE STATE BAR COURT HA	S GRANTED, A MOTION FOR

kwiktag<sup>®</sup> 035 134 625

TERMINATION OF THE ACTUAL SUSPENSION. AS A CONDITION FOR TERMINATING THE ACTUAL SUSPENSION, THE STATE BAR COURT MAY PLACE YOU ON PROBATION AND REQUIRE YOU TO COMPLY WITH SUCH CONDITIONS OF PROBATION AS THE STATE BAR COURT DEEMS APPROPRIATE. SEE RULE 205, RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR STATE BAR COURT PROCEEDINGS.

The State Bar of California alleges:

### **JURISDICTION**

1. Stephen Allen Rodriguez Sr. ("Respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on June 8, 1992, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

### **COUNT ONE**

Case No. 08-O-12672
Business and Professions Code, section 6068(a)
[Failure to Comply With Laws]

- 2. Respondent wilfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(a), by failing to support the Constitution and laws of the United States and of this state, as follows:
- 3. On or about April 25, 2008, the Review Department of the State Bar of California filed a decision recommending that Respondent be actually suspended from the practice of law for six months and ordered Respondent to be placed on inactive status effective May 25, 2008. Respondent received said decision and order.
- 4. At all times herein, Respondent knew that he would not be entitled to practice law or hold himself out as entitled to practice law after May 24, 2008.
- 5. On or about May 23, 2008, Respondent appeared and announced ready for trial in Los Angeles County Superior Court case no. GA071589, entitled *People v. Steven Keion Rials* ("Rials criminal matter"). At that time, the court continued the trial to June 2, 2008, and ordered the parties and counsel to appear on that date.
- 6. Respondent did not notify the court or opposing counsel that he would not be entitled to practice law or otherwise represent Rials after May 24, 2008.

///

- 7. On or about June 2, 2008, Respondent failed to appear for trial in the Rials criminal matter. Respondent sent an appearance attorney in his place. As a result, the court sanctioned Respondent in the amount of \$1000.00 for his failure to appear.
- 8. On or about June 2, 2008, Respondent provided the Los Angeles County District Attorney's with a one-page Notice of Motion to Continue the Rials criminal matter. The caption of the Notice identified Respondent as the attorney for Rials and the Notice was signed by Respondent. The Notice was not accompanied by any declaration or motion stating the grounds for continuance.
- 9. On or about June 3, 2008, Respondent filed a motion to continue the Rials criminal matter with the court on the grounds that Respondent was suspended from the practice of law.
- 10. On or about June 3, 2008, the court stayed its sanction order and set for a hearing on June 17, 2008 for Respondent to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed. The court also took judicial notice of Respondent's failure to appear in another case, Los Angeles County Superior Court case no. GA068424, and a similar order for sanctions, which the court had vacated.
- 11. Finding no good cause for Respondent's conduct, on or about June 17, 2008, the court ordered Respondent to pay the aforementioned \$1000.00 sanctions in full by July 17, 2008.
  - 12. Respondent did not pay the sanctions until on or about July 18, 2008.
- 13. By remaining Rials attorney after May 24, 2008, preparing and signing a notice of motion to continue, and otherwise engaging in the authorized practice of law and/or holding himself out as entitled to practice law in wilful violation of Business and Professions Code sections 6125, 6126, Respondent failed to support the Constitution and laws of the United States and of this state.

1	<u>COUNT TWO</u>		
2	Case No. 08-O-12672 Business and Professions Code, section 6106 [Moral Turpitude]		
4	14. Respondent wilfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6106, by		
5	committing an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption, as follows:		
6	15. The allegations in paragraphs 3 through 12 are incorporated by reference.		
7	16. By remaining Rials' attorney after May 24, 2008, preparing and signing a notice of		
8	motion to continue, otherwise engaging in the authorized practice of law when he knew he was		
9	not entitled, Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty or corruption.		
10	<u>COUNT THREE</u>		
11 12	Case No. 08-O-12672 Business and Professions Code, section 6103 [Failure to Obey a Court Order]		
13	17. Respondent wilfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6103, by		
14	wilfully disobeying or violating an order of the court requiring him to do or forbear an act		
15	connected with or in the course of Respondent's profession which he ought in good faith to do		
16	forbear, as follows:		
17	18. The allegations in paragraphs 3 through 12 are incorporated by reference.		
18	19. By not appearing for trial on June 2, 2008 or making appropriate arrangements for		
19	trial, Respondent wilfully disobeyed or violated an order of the court requiring him to do an act		
20	connected with or in the course of Respondent's profession, which he ought in good faith to do.		
21	<b>NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!</b>		
22	YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR		
23	COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL		
24	THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN IN CTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE PAR YOUR IN CTIVE		
25	INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE DECOMMENDED BY THE COURT. SEE DIJLE 101(2) BILLES OF		
26	RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT. SEE RULE 101(c), RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.		
27			

or

## **NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!**

IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10. SEE RULE 280, RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA.

Respectfully submitted,

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

DATED: October 7, 2008

Assistant Chief Trial Counsel

#### **DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY CERTIFIED MAIL**

**CASE NUMBER: 08-O-12672** 

I, the undersigned, over the age of eighteen (18) years, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 1149 South Hill Street, Los Angeles, California 90015, declare that I am not a party to the within action; that I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; that in the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day; that I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit; and that in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles, on the date shown below, a true copy of the within

NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES

in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as certified mail, return receipt requested, Article No.: 7160 3901 9849 2214 8891, at Los Angeles, on the date shown below, addressed to:

STEPHEN A. RODRIGUEZ
THE LAW OFFICES OF RODRIGUEZ & RODRIGUEZ
4801 WILSHIRE BLVD., STE 303
LOS ANGELES, CA 90010

in an inter-office mail facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to:

N/A

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Los Angeles, California, on the date shown below.

DATED: Votoble 7, 2008

SIGNED:

Declarant