09-C-10309

FILED (NO)

JAN 26 2010

STATE BAR COURT

LERK'S OFFICE

## REVIEW DEPARTMENT OF THE STATE BAR COURT IN BANK

In the Matter of Robert Andrew Karpuk

a Member of the State Bar of California

On December 23, 2009, we issued an order to show cause why respondent Robert Andrew Karpuk should not be placed on interim suspension as a result of his conviction under Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a). On January 12, 2010, the State Bar filed its response. On January 19, 2010, Karpuk filed his response, requesting we not place him on suspension.

Finding no good cause, Karpuk's request is denied at this time. (See Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 321(b) [information required for exception].) Accordingly, under the authority of rule 9.10(a) of the California Rules of Court, it is ordered that Karpuk be suspended from the practice of law effective February 22, 2010, pending final disposition of this proceeding. It is further ordered that Karpuk comply with rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this suspension. As we have not yet received evidence that the conviction is final, we will not refer this matter to the hearing department for a recommendation on discipline, if any, pending the submission of evidence of finality of the conviction or Karpuk's waiver of finality pursuant to rule 603(b) of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.

REMKE
Presiding Judge



## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on January 26, 2010, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

ORDER FILED JANUARY 26, 2010

in a se	ealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:
$\boxtimes$	by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:
	ROBERT ANDREW KARPUK 1557 MONTE VIENTO DR MALIBU, CA 90265 - 3061
	by certified mail, No. , with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal Service at , California, addressed as follows:
	by overnight mail at , California, addressed as follows:
	by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.
	By personal service by leaving the documents in a sealed envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or a person having charge of the attorney's office, addressed as follows:
	andre de la companya de la companya La companya de la co
$\boxtimes$	by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:
,	Kristin L. Ritsema, Enforcement, Los Angeles
I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on January 26, 2010.	

Milagro del R. Salmeron Case Administrator State Bar Court