



(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California

Hearing Department
Los Angeles**PUBLIC MATTER**

Counsel For The State Bar David T. Sauber 1149 S. Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015-2299 Telephone: (213) 765-1000	Case Number (s) 09-C-14100	(for Court's use)
Bar # 176554 In Pro Per Respondent	Elroy Jin Park 1940 N. Highland Ave., Apt. 77 Los Angeles, CA 90068 Telephone: (213) 880-7044	FILED
Bar # 225029 In the Matter Of: Elroy Jin Park	Bar # 225029 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	Submitted to: Assigned Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING PUBLIC REPROVAL <input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted June 3, 2003.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 10 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."

- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
- costs added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public reproof)
 - case ineligible for costs (private reproof)
 - costs to be paid in equal amounts for the following membership years: 2011, 2012, & 2013. (hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)
 - costs waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs"
 - costs entirely waived
- (9) The parties understand that:
- (a) A private reproof imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproof was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.
 - (b) A private reproof imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.
 - (c) A public reproof imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.

B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
- (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case
 - (b) Date prior discipline effective
 - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
 - (d) Degree of prior discipline
 - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline."
- (2) **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (3) **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct. Respondent immediately pled nolo contendere and has successfully completed all steps of sentence to date. Further, Respondent has been fully candid and cooperative during the State Bar's investigation of this matter.
- (5) **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ _____ on _____ in restitution to _____ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (9) **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct. A number of letters attesting to Respondent's good character were received.
- (12) **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

D. Discipline:

- (1) **Private reproof (check applicable conditions, if any, below)**
- (a) Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).
- (b) Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).

or

- (2) **Public reproof (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)**

E. Conditions Attached to Reproof:

- (1) Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproof for a period of one year.
- (2) During the condition period attached to the reproof, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (4) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproof. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproof during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover

less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period.

- (6) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.
- (7) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reprobation.
- (8) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- No Ethics School recommended. Reason: _____
- (9) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reprobation.
- No MPRE recommended. Reason: _____
- (11) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions |

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

In the Matter of
ELROY JIN PARK

Case number(s):
09-C-14100

Substance Abuse Conditions

- a. Respondent must abstain from use of any alcoholic beverages, and shall not use or possess any narcotics, dangerous or restricted drugs, controlled substances, marijuana, or associated paraphernalia, except with a valid prescription.
- b. Respondent must attend at least 2 meetings per month of:
- Alcoholics Anonymous
 - Narcotics Anonymous
 - The Other Bar
 - Other program

As a separate reporting requirement, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance during each month, on or before the tenth (10th) day of the following month, during the condition or probation period.

- c. Respondent must select a license medical laboratory approved by the Office of Probation. Respondent must furnish to the laboratory blood and/or urine samples as may be required to show that Respondent has abstained from alcohol and/or drugs. The samples must be furnished to the laboratory in such a manner as may be specified by the laboratory to ensure specimen integrity. Respondent must cause the laboratory to provide to the Office of Probation, at the Respondent's expense, a screening report on or before the tenth day of each month of the condition or probation period, containing an analysis of Respondent's blood and/or urine obtained not more than ten (10) days previously.
- d. Respondent must maintain with the Office of Probation a current address and a current telephone number at which Respondent can be reached. Respondent must return any call from the Office of Probation concerning testing of Respondent's blood or urine within twelve (12) hours. For good cause, the Office of Probation may require Respondent to deliver Respondent's urine and/or blood sample(s) for additional reports to the laboratory described above no later than six hours after actual notice to Respondent that the Office of Probation requires an additional screening report.
- e. Upon the request of the Office of Probation, Respondent must provide the Office of Probation with medical waivers and access to all of Respondent's medical records. Revocation of any medical waiver is a violation of this condition. Any medical records obtained by the Office of Probation are confidential and no information concerning them or their contents will be given to anyone except members of the Office of Probation, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, and the State Bar Court who are directly involved with maintaining, enforcing or adjudicating this condition.

At the jail, a blood-alcohol breath test was conducted. The breath test provided two separate readings of Respondent's blood-alcohol content: .19 & .18.

On July 17, 2009, a misdemeanor complaint was filed against Respondent in Los Angeles Superior Court, case no. 9MP07290. The misdemeanor complaint contained two charges: Count 1- Vehicle Code section 23152(a); and Count 2- Vehicle Code section 23152(b). The misdemeanor complaint also contained an allegation of a prior conviction occurring on January 10, 2006, for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b).

On July 31, 2009, Respondent appeared in court in pro per for his arraignment. Respondent pled nolo contendere to Count 2- Vehicle Code section 23152(b). Respondent also admitted the prior conviction charged in the misdemeanor complaint. Count 1 of the misdemeanor complaint was dismissed in furtherance of justice pursuant to Penal Code, section 1385.

On July 31, 2009, the court in Los Angeles Superior Court, case no. 9MP07290 imposed the following sentence on Respondent: 60 months summary probation; 96 hours county jail; enrollment and successful completion of 18-month licensed second-offender alcohol and other drug education and counseling program; and fines.

Legal Conclusions

The facts and circumstances surrounding Respondent's conviction, including his violation of Vehicle Code sections 23152(b), a misdemeanor, does not involve moral turpitude, but does involve other misconduct warranting discipline pursuant to Business and Professions Code, sections 6101 and 6102 in willful violation of Business and Professions Code, section 6068(a).

DISCUSSION RE DISCIPLINE.

Standards:

A proper determination of discipline begins by considering the purposes of discipline which are the "protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys, and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession."¹

The "presumptively appropriate level of discipline" for any misconduct is as set forth in the standards.² The Standards should be followed whenever possible³ as they serve the valuable purpose of eliminating disparity and assuring consistency, by imposing similar attorney discipline for similar misconduct.⁴

Applicable Standards allow for a wide range of possible discipline. They include, but are not limited to:

- Standard 1.2 (aggravating and mitigating factors);

¹ Standard 1.3

² See, e.g., *Morgan v. State Bar* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 598, 607, for this general proposition.

³ See *In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 267, fn. 11.

⁴ *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.

- Standard 1.3 (purposes of discipline);
- Standard 3.4: final conviction of a crime not involving moral turpitude but other misconduct warranting discipline shall result in a sanction as prescribed under part B of these Standards. According to the California Supreme Court, the discipline suggested under standard 3.4 “is that discipline appropriate to the nature and extent of the misconduct.”⁵

Case Law:

In *In re Kelley, supra*, the attorney was publicly reproved and placed on disciplinary probation for three years, along with a referral to the State Bar program on alcohol abuse, for her second DUI conviction while she was still on probation from a prior offense. The court found a nexus between Kelley’s misconduct and her fitness to practice law because Respondent’s violation of a court order directed specifically at her as result of her first DUI conviction “demonstrated a lapse of character and a disrespect for the legal system that directly relates to an attorney’s fitness to practice law. . . .” In mitigation, Kelley did not have a prior record, showed extensive involvement in community service, and was cooperative during the disciplinary proceedings. The Supreme Court ordered that Kelley be publicly reproved and placed on disciplinary probation for three years.

Unlike *Kelley*, Respondent here was not in violation of probation or any specially imposed court order at the time of his second arrest. However, there is no showing of extensive community involvement similar to that shown in *Kelley* and Respondent’s instant arrest occurred after he was involved in a traffic collision.

Given the above, the parties submit that the agreed upon discipline in this matter is consistent with each of the above-referenced Standards and case law and, with the agreed upon probationary conditions, will satisfactorily serve to protect the public.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page one, paragraph A.(7), was February 24, 2009.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of February 19, 2010, the prosecution costs in this matter are approximately \$1,636.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

⁵ *In Re Kelley* (1990) 52 Cal.3d 487, 498.


(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of ELROY JIN PARK, #225029	Case number(s): 09-C-14100
--	--------------------------------------

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES


By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

2/20/2010
Date


Respondent's Signature

ELROY JIN PARK
Print Name

3/5/10
Date

Respondent's Counsel Signature

Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature

Print Name
DAVID T. SAUBER
Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter Of ELROY JIN PARK, #225029	Case Number(s): 09-C-14100
--	--------------------------------------

ORDER

Finding that the stipulation protects the public and that the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions attached to the reproof, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSED.
- All court dates in the Hearing Department are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 125(b), Rules of Procedure.) **Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after service of this order.**

Failure to comply with any conditions attached to this reproof may constitute cause for a separate proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct.

03-09-10
Date


Judge of the State Bar Court

RICHARD A. PLATEL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on March 10, 2010, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:


- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

ELROY J. PARK
1940 N HIGHLAND AVE APT 77
LOS ANGELES, CA 90068

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

DAVID SAUBER, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on March 10, 2010.



Johnnie Lee Smith
Case Administrator
State Bar Court