Hearing Department San Francisco REPROVAL. Counsel For The State Bar Case Number(s): For Court use only 09-C-18818 Erica L. M. Dennings Office of the Chief Trial Cathsel PUBLIC MATTER 180 Howard Street, 7th Fl. San Francisco, CA 94105 NOT FOR PUBLICATION (415) 538-2285 Bar # 145755 Counsel For Respondent STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE SAN FRANCISCO Samuel C. Bellicini Fishkin & Slatter, LLP 1111 Civic Dr., Suite 215 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Submitted to: Settlement Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND Bar # 152191 DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING In the Matter of:

State Bar Court of California

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

PRIVATE REPROVAL

PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

A Member of the State Bar of California

Carolyn Sue Jenkins

Bar # 108060

(Respondent)

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted June 3, 1983.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 10 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

kwiktag [®] 018 039 239

(Do r	ot writ	e abov	e this line.)				
(5)	Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".						
(6)	The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."						
(7)	No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.						
(8)	Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):						
		rep Ca Co (Ha Re Co	sts are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public proval). se ineligible for costs (private reproval). sts are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: ardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If spondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar urt, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. sts are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".				
		Co	sts are entirely waived.				
(9)	The	The parties understand that:					
	(a)		A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidence of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.				
	(b)	\boxtimes	A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.				
	(c)		A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.				
Pro	fess	avat iona uired	ing Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for III Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances				
(1)		Prio	r record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]				
	(a)		State Bar Court case # of prior case				
	(b)		Date prior discipline effective				
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:				
	(d)		Degree of prior discipline				

(Do n	ot write	e above this line.)			
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.			
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.			
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.			
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.			
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.			
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.			
(7)	\boxtimes	Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. See attachment.			
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.			
Add	itiona	al aggravating circumstances:			
	•				
		ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating stances are required.			
(1)	\boxtimes	No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious. Respondent was admitted to the practice of law on June 3, 1983 and has no prior record of discipline.			
(2)	\boxtimes	No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.			
(3)	\boxtimes	Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. Respondent cooperated throughout the disciplinary proceedings.			
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.			
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, clvll or criminal proceedings.			
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.			
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.			

(Do no	ot write	above this line.)		
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.		
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.		
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.		
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.		
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.		
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.		
Addi	tiona	al mitigating circumstances:		
D. D	isci	pline:		
(1)	\boxtimes	Private reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)		
	(a)	Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).		
<u>or</u>	(b)	Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).		
(2)		Public reproval (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)		
E. C	ond	litions Attached to Reproval:		
(1)	\boxtimes	Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of two (2) years.		
(2)	\boxtimes	During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.		
(3)	×	Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.		
(4)		Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.		

(Do n	ot writ	e above	e this line.)				
(5)		Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10 July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty of perju Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report we less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date, and extended period.					
		In ad twen perio	ity (20) days before the last day of the cond	, contai dition p	ning the same information, is due no earlier than eriod and no later than the last day of the condition		
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.					
(7)		Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.					
(8)	\boxtimes	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test give at the end of that session.					
			No Ethics School recommended. Reaso	n:			
(9)	\boxtimes	Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.					
(10)		Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year of the effective date of the reproval.					
		nt do	No MPRE recommended. Reason: The p not require passage of the MPRE in the ate Bar Ct. Rptr. 175.	rotecti is case	on of the public and the interests of the . In the Matter of Respondent G (Review Dept.		
(11)	\boxtimes	The f	following conditions are attached hereto ar	nd incol	porated:		
		\boxtimes	Substance Abuse Conditions		Law Office Management Conditions		
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions		
F. O	thei	r Cor	nditions Negotiated by the Parties	s:			

(De	o not	write abov	e this line.)		
In the Matter of: CAROLYN SUE JENKINS (#108060)			of:	Case Number(s): 09-C-18818	
Sı	ubs	tance	Abuse Conditions		
a.		Respondent must abstain from use of any alcoholic beverages, and shall not use or possess any narcotics, dangerous or restricted drugs, controlled substances, marijuana, or associated paraphernalia, except with a valid prescription.			
b.	\boxtimes	Respo	ndent must attend at least eight (8) meeting	s per month of:	
			Alcoholics Anonymous		
			Narcotics Anonymous		
			The Other Bar		
		\boxtimes	Other program Attendance at Abstinence	Based Group	
		abstin Narco accept persor 1994) and se	ence based self-help group of her own chics Anonymous, Life Ring, S.M.A.R.T., able if they include: (i) a subculture to sual development that does not have finances of F. Supp. 303 [No first amendment was suppressed to the control of the control	ngs per month (at least two meetings per week) of an acosing, including, inter alia. Alcoholics Anonymous, S.O.S. Other self-help maintenance programs are apport recovery (meetings); and (ii) a process of cial barriers. (See O'Conner v. California (C.D. Calif. iolation where probationer given choice between AA Ioderation Management" is not acceptable because it ohol.	
	Before respondent attends the first self help group meeting, she sand obtain approval for the program that she has selected.				
		attenda	eparate reporting requirement, Respondent in ance during each month, on or before the tell on period.	must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of hth (10 th) day of the following month, during the condition or	
C.	Respondent must select a license medical laboratory approved by the Office of Probation. Responder furnish to the laboratory blood and/or urine samples as may be required to show that Respondent has abstained from alcohol and/or drugs. The samples must be furnished to the laboratory in such a man may be specified by the laboratory to ensure specimen integrity. Respondent must cause the laboratory provide to the Office of Probation, at the Respondent's expense, a screening report on or before the of each month of the condition or probation period, containing an analysis of Respondent's blood and obtained not more than ten (10) days previously.				
d.		which f testing require laborat	Respondent can be reached. Respondent in of Respondent's blood or urine within twelve Respondent to deliver Respondent's urine :	tion a current address and a current telephone number at nust return any call from the Office of Probation concerning (12) hours. For good cause, the Office of Probation may and/or blood sample(s) for additional reports to the after actual notice to Respondent that the Office of	

e. Department of the Office of Probation, Respondent must provide the Office of Probation with medical waivers and access to all of Respondent's medical records. Revocation of any medical waiver is a violation of this condition. Any medical records obtained by the Office of Probation are confidential and no information concerning them or their contents will be given to anyone except members of the Office of Probation, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, and the State Bar Court who are directly involved with maintaining, enforcing or adjudicating this condition.

Other:

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

CAROLYN SUE JENKINS (#108060)

CASE NUMBER(S):

09-C-18818

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Procedural Background: This is a proceeding pursuant to sections 6101 and 6102 of the Business and Professions Code and rule 9.10 of the California Rules of Court. On October 27, 2010, a jury found respondent guilty of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a) (driving under the influence) and Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (driving while having a .08% or higher blood alcohol level), with two prior convictions. On March 22, 2011, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order referring the matter to the Hearing Department on the following issues: whether the facts and circumstances surrounding the violations of Vehicle Code sections 23152, subdivision (a) (driving under the influence) and 23152 subdivision (b) (driving with blood alcohol level of .08% or more) involved moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline.

<u>Facts</u>: On September 17, 2009, respondent broke her arm, and underwent surgery to repair it. Respondent was prescribed narcotics post surgery, which respondent stopped taking because she could not tolerate the side effects.

On October 7, 2009, respondent was prescribed Naproxen, a non narcotic, non steroidal anti inflammatory, which she took. The Naxopren did not relieve respondent's post surgical pain. Shortly thereafter, respondent began drinking 2-3 glasses of wine in the evening to relieve her pain enough for her to sleep.

On November 12, 2009 at approximately 10:33 p.m., respondent was driving in San Rafael when her vehicle collided into a light pole. Respondent admitted to drinking approximately three glasses of wine earlier that evening at an event in San Francisco. Respondent's blood alcohol level was .109/.111%.

On December 1, 2009, respondent was charged with one count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a), driving while under the influence of alcohol, a misdemeanor, one count of violating Vehicle Code Section 23152(b), driving with a blood alcohol level of .08% or higher. The complaint also charged respondent with two admitted prior convictions, pursuant to Vehicle Code section 23546(a). One prior conviction, a violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(B), occurred on May 25, 2003. The other prior conviction, a violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(B), occurred on April 15, 2005.

On October 27, 2010, a jury found respondent guilty on both counts: Violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a) and (b) with two admitted prior convictions, pursuant to Vehicle Code section 23546(a).

Respondent was sentenced to five years probation with the conditions that she pays fines, serve eight (8) days in custody, attend three (3) alcohol anonymous meetings a week, totally abstain from alcohol/drugs, and submit to testing. Respondent was declared a habitual traffic offender.

Following her convictions on May 25, 2003 and April 15, 2005, Respondent was admitted to the Alternative Discipline Program on May 8, 2006 and graduated from ADP after successful completion on November 27, 2007.

<u>Conclusions of Law</u>: The facts and circumstances surrounding Respondent's violation of California Vehicle Code sections 23152(a) and 23152(b) and do not involve moral turpitude, but do involve other conduct warranting discipline. Respondent acknowledges that by the conduct described herein, she willfully violated Business and Professions Code section 6068(a).

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES

E (7) Multiple acts of misconduct

On July 21, 2003, respondent pled guilty to a violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(B) for violating that code section on May 25, 2003. Her blood alcohol level was .16.

On June 10, 2005, respondent pled guilty to a violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(B) for violating that code section on April 15, 2005. Her blood alcohol level was .16.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was July 25, 2011.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE

Standard 3.4, 2.6, 1.7(a), In re Kelley (1990 52 Cal. 3d 487, In re Carr (1988) 46 Cal. 3d 1089, In the Matter of Anderson (Review Dept. 1992) 2 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 208.

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

July 25, 8011		Carolyn Sue Jenkins
/ Date /	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
1 Aug 2011		Samuel C. Bellicini
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
a August 2011	Bucar M Lennige	Erica L. M. Dennings
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

In the Matter of:	LOssa Nussikaria)
CAROLYN SUE JENKINS (#108060)	Case Number(s): 09-C-18818
REP	ROVAL ORDER
Finding that the stipulation protects the public and the attached to the reproval, IT IS ORDERED that the reprejudice, and:	eat the interests of Respondent will be served by any conditions equested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED withou
The stipulated facts and disposition ar	e APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL IMPOSED.
The stipulated facts and disposition ar REPROVAL IMPOSED.	re APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the
All court dates in the Hearing Departm	nent are vacated.
within 15 days after service of this order, is granted;	d unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved ure.) Otherwise the stipulation shall be effective 15 days after
Failure to comply with any conditions attached to proceeding for willful breach of rule 1-110, Rules	o this reproval may constitute cause for a separate of Professional Conduct.
Aug. 4 2011	July Jel
Date	Judge of the State Bar Court
	Judge of the State Dar Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on August 5, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows: \boxtimes by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at , California, addressed as follows: SAMUEL C. BELLICINI FISHKIN & SLATTER, LLP **1111 CIVIC DR STE 215** WALNUT CREEK, CA 94596 by certified mail, No. 7160 3901 9845 7818 9705, with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows: , California, addressed as follows: by overnight mail at by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine that I used. By personal service by leaving the documents in a sealed envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or a person having charge of the attorney's office, addressed as follows: by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California \boxtimes addressed as follows: Erica Dennings, Enforcement, San Francisco I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on August 5, 2011.

> Case Administrator State Bar Court