

**FILED** *JD*

FEB 22 2011

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE  
SAN FRANCISCO

STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
HEARING DEPARTMENT – SAN FRANCISCO

In the Matter of	)	Case No. <b>09-O-10226</b> (09-O-11170)
	)	
<b>THOMAS VICTOR DILLON,</b>	)	<b>ORDER RE EXTENSION OF TIME TO</b>
	)	<b>PAY COSTS</b>
<b>Member No. 236380,</b>	)	
	)	
A Member of the State Bar.	)	
_____	)	

**TO ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL IN THE ABOVE ENTITLED MATTER:**

On January 28, 2011, **Thomas Victor Dillon** (Dillon) filed a motion for relief from disciplinary costs based on financial hardship. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, *new* rule 5.130(B), effective January 1, 2011.) Specifically, Dillon requests that he be allowed to pay the disciplinary costs, ordered by the California Supreme Court in case No. S184690 by paying monthly installments in the amount of \$150.

Deputy Trial Counsel Robin Brune of the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a response, opposing a payment plan that involves monthly payments due to administrative limitations of the State Bar's Membership Billing Services. However, the State Bar proposes that Dillon be given an extension of two years to pay the disciplinary costs.



Having considered the parties' contentions and and good cause appearing, the court ORDERS Thomas Victor Dillon to pay the disciplinary costs assessed under the Supreme Court's September 28, 2010 order in case No. S184690 (State Bar Court case No. 09-O-10226 (09-O-11170)) in two equal installments along with his membership fees, commencing with the 2012 billing cycle. Thus, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10, Mitchell must pay one-half of the disciplinary costs with his membership fees for the years 2012 and 2013.

It is further ordered that if Dillon fails to pay any installment of disciplinary costs within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and payable immediately, unless relief has been granted under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, *new* rule 5.134, effective January 1, 2011.) The payment of costs is enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: February 22, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
LUCY ARMENDARIZ  
Judge of the State Bar Court

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, On February 22, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

ORDER RE EXTENSION OF TIME TO PAY COSTS

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

**THOMAS V. DILLON  
LAW OFFICES OF BENJAMIN  
GREENE  
230 TRUXTUN AVE  
BAKERSFIELD, CA 93301**

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

**ROBIN BRUNE** , Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on February 22, 2011.

  
Laurretta Cramer  
Case Administrator  
State Bar Court