# State Bar Court of California



	Hearing Department San Francisco	
Counsel For The State Bar	Case Number (s) 09-O-10786	(for Court's use)
Donald R. Steedman	09-0-10/80	The second second
180 Howard Street, 7th Floor		PIDIO REATTED
San Francisco, CA 94105		PUBLIC MATTER
(415) 538-2345		
Bar # 104927		FILED of
Counsel For Respondent		1411 0 0 2011
- Council of Respondent		JAN 2 0 2011 /
Michael E. Platt		STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
121 W. Weber Avenue		SAN FRANCISCO
Stockton, CA 95202-0244		
Bar # 77779	Submitted to: Assigned J	udge
In the Matter Of:	STIPULATION RE FACTS DISPOSITION AND ORDE	, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ER APPROVING
David R. LeBeouf		
	PUBLIC REPROVAL	
Bar # 99403	☐ PREVIOUS STIPULAT	ION REJECTED
A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)	a ·	

provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

### A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 1, 1981.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 7 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

(Do r	not writ	e abov	re this line.)	
(6)	The "Su	e part ipport	ies must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading ting Authority."	
(7)	No per	No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.		
(8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & 6140.7. (Check one option only):			t of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & (Check one option only):	
		ca co (ha	sts added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline (public reproval) se ineligible for costs (private reproval) sts to be paid in equal amounts for the following membership years:  ordship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 284, Rules of Procedure)  sts waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs"  sts entirely waived	
(9)	The	e parti	ies understand that:	
	(a)		A private reproval imposed on a respondent as a result of a stipulation approved by the Court prior to initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's officials State Bar membership records, but is not disclosed in response to public inquiries and is not reported on the State Bar's web page. The record of the proceeding in which such a private reproval was imposed is not available to the public except as part of the record of any subsequent proceeding in which it is introduced as evidents of a prior record of discipline under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar.	
	(b)		A private reproval imposed on a respondent after initiation of a State Bar Court proceeding is part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.	
	(c)		A public reproval imposed on a respondent is publicly available as part of the respondent's official State Bar membership records, is disclosed in response to public inquiries and is reported as a record of public discipline on the State Bar's web page.	
F	<sup>o</sup> rofe	essic equi	ting Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for onal Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances red.	
	(a)		State Bar Court case # of prior case	
	(b)		Date prior discipline effective	
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:	
	(d)		Degree of prior discipline	
	(e)		If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.	
(2)		Dish conc	<b>conesty:</b> Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, sealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.	

(Do 1	iol writ	e above this line.)
(3)		<b>Trust Violation:</b> Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
(4)		Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
(6)		<b>Lack of Cooperation:</b> Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
(7)		<b>Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:</b> Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
(8)	$\boxtimes$	No aggravating circumstances are involved.
Add	itiona	al aggravating circumstances:
C. I	Mitig circu	ating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating imstances are required.
(1)	$\boxtimes$	<b>No Prior Discipline:</b> Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious. Respondent has been in practice since 1981.
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
(4)		<b>Remorse:</b> Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
(5)		<b>Restitution:</b> Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
(6)		<b>Delay:</b> These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.
(8)		<b>Emotional/Physical Difficulties:</b> At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
(9)		<b>Severe Financial Stress:</b> At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.

(Do n	ot writ	e above this line.)
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
(12)		<b>Rehabilitation:</b> Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.
Addi	tiona	al mitigating circumstances:
D. I	Disc	ipline:
(1)		Private reproval (check applicable conditions, if any, below)
	(a)	Approved by the Court prior to initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (no public disclosure).
<u>or</u>	(b)	Approved by the Court after initiation of the State Bar Court proceedings (public disclosure).
(2)	$\boxtimes$	Public reproval (Check applicable conditions, if any, below)
E. C	ond	litions Attached to Reproval:
(1)	$\boxtimes$	Respondent must comply with the conditions attached to the reproval for a period of one year.
(2)		During the condition period attached to the reproval, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
(3)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
(4)		Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
(5)		Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the condition period attached to the reproval. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of the reproval during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state in each report whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 (thirty) days, that report must be submitted on the next following quarter date, and cover the extended period.

<u>(Do n</u>	ot writ	le above this line.)		
		In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the condition period and no later than the last day of the condition period.		
(6)		Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the monitor.		
(7)		Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the conditions attached to the reproval.		
(8)	$\boxtimes$	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test giver at the end of that session.		
		□ No Ethics School recommended. Reason: .		
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.		
(10)	$\boxtimes$	Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within on year of the effective date of the reproval.		
		☐ No MPRE recommended. Reason:		
(11)		The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:		
		☐ Substance Abuse Conditions ☐ Law Office Management Conditions		
		☐ Medical Conditions ☐ Financial Conditions		

## F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

Attachment language (if any):

#### DISCLOSURE OF PENDING INVESTIGATIONS

The disclosure date mentioned above in paragraph A7 was December 20, 2010.

#### **FACTS**

On December 23, 2008, the State Bar opened an investigation against based on a complaint submitted by Eduardo Araya on behalf of Jose DeSousa. Mr. DeSousa is a foreign resident, and Mr. Araya acts as Mr. DeSousa's agent with respect to DeSousa's business interests in the United States. Mr. DeSousa had been respondent's client, but respondent had mainly dealt with Mr. Araya during the course of the attorney-client relationship.

On April 28, 2009, the State Bar sent respondent a letter of inquiry, asking him to respond to the allegations that Mr. DeSousa had made through Mr. Araya. Mr. Araya alleged that, although respondent obtained a large civil judgment for Mr. DeSousa, he had not collected upon it. Respondent contends that he was not employed to collect the judgment, but the files supporting his claim were lost when his car was stolen in November 2007. Respondent received the letter shortly thereafter, but did not timely respond.

In October 2009, respondent was contacted by George Garcia, the son of Javier Garcia. In the past, Javier Garcia had employed both respondent and Mr. Araya in connection with business matters unrelated to Mr. DeSousa. However, Javier Garcia had terminated his business relationship with Mr. Araya earlier that year. In his October 2009 communication with respondent, George Garcia: (1) alleged that Mr. Araya had authored an anonymous letter that defamed Javier Garcia and breached confidentiality and (2) requested that respondent contact Mr. Araya and inform him that Mr. Garcia would Mr. Araya if he did not cease such activities.

As of October 22, 2009, respondent still had not responded to the State Bar's letter of inquiry and had not communicated with Mr. Araya for many months. On that date, respondent personally visited Mr. Araya's office and communicated Mr. Garcia's lawsuit threat to Mr. Araya. In addition, respondent demanded that Mr. Araya withdraw the State Bar complaint he had submitted on behalf of DeSousa. Although Mr. Araya did not withdraw the complaint, no litigation has been initiated against Mr. Araya.

On September 17, 2010, the State Bar sent respondent a notice of intent to file a notice of disciplinary charges. Since that date, respondent has been fully cooperative in supplying information and documentation.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Respondent willfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(i) by failing to cooperate and participate in a disciplinary investigation pending against respondent by (1) failing to respond to the State Bar's April 28, 2009 letter of inquiry until after September 17, 2010 and (2) demanding that Mr. Araya withdraw the DeSousa State Bar complaint during the course of a meeting in which respondent was threatening Mr. Araya with a lawsuit on an unrelated matter.

#### SUPPORTING AUTHORITY

Violations of section 6068 may warrant suspension (Std. 2.6(a), Stds. for Attorney Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct). However, the State Bar has offer to settle this case for a public reproval because of respondent's willingness to accept an early settlement and because of respondent's lack of prior discipline.

(Do not write above this line.) In the Matter of David R. LeBeouf	Case number(s): 09-0-10786		

#### SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

December 2 2010		David R. LeBeouf	
Date	Respondent's Gignature	Print Name	
December 2010	1 Niver HOR	Michael E. Platt	<u>_</u>
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name	
December 2010		Donald R. Steedman	
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name	

(Do not write a	bove this line.)		
In the Matt	ter Of LeBEOUF	Case Number(s): 09-0-10786	
<b>L</b>	OR	DER	
by any co	nat the stipulation protects the public and notitions attached to the reproval, IT IS arges, if any, is GRANTED without prej	d that the interests of Respondent will be served ORDERED that the requested dismissal of udice, and:	
Ø	The stipulated facts and disposition a IMPOSED.	re APPROVED AND THE REPROVAL	
	The stipulated facts and disposition a below, and the REPROVAL IMPOSE	re APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth D.	
	All court dates in the Hearing Departr	ment are vacated.	
	•		
stipulation further mo	, filed within 15 days after service of thi	oved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the s order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or le 125(b), Rules of Procedure.) <b>Otherwise the</b> vice of this order.	
Failure to separate	comply with any conditions attache proceeding for willful breach of rule	d to this reproval may constitute cause for a 1-110, Rules of Professional Conduct.	
Date	January 20, 2011	Judge of the State Bar Court	
	- 	V	

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on January 20, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sea	aled envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:
	by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:
	MICHAEL EUGENE PLATT MICHAEL E PLATT ATTORNEY AT LAW 121 E WEBER AVE STOCKTON, CA 95202
	by certified mail, No. , with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal Service at , California, addressed as follows:
	by overnight mail at , California, addressed as follows:
	by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.
	By personal service by leaving the documents in a sealed envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or a person having charge of the attorney's office, addressed as follows:
$\boxtimes$	by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:
	Donald Steedman, Enforcement, San Francisco
	by certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on by 20, 2011.
	George Hule
	Case Administrator

State Bar Court