

**PUBLIC MATTER
FILED** *R*

JUL 24 2012

STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE
SAN FRANCISCO



**STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
HEARING DEPARTMENT – SAN FRANCISCO**

In the Matter of)	Case Nos.: 09-O-11275 [10-O-04742; 10-O-
)	05229; 11-O-13374-LMA
LELAND L. MOGLEN,)	(S19500)
)	
Member No. 141490,)	ORDER MODIFYING CONDITIONS OF
)	PROBATION
A Member of the State Bar.)	

Assistant Chief Trial Counsel Susan Chan, on behalf of the State Bar of California (State Bar) and Respondent's Counsel Michael E. Wine, on behalf of Respondent Leland L. Moglen filed a Stipulation to Modify Conditions of Probation (Stipulation) in the above-captioned matter on July 23, 2012.


The parties stipulated that as part of the Stipulation re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition and Order Approving, box E(6), which indicates that respondent must be assigned a probation monitor, was erroneously checked. The parties, therefore, further stipulated to modify the conditions of probation to remove the requirement that respondent be assigned a probation monitor.

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, THEREFOR, and by the authority granted this court under rule 9.10, subdivision (b) of the California Rules of Court, the requirement of a probation

monitor for respondent is **DELETED** from the conditions of probation in the Stipulation re Facts, Conclusions of Law and Disposition and Order Approving.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 24, 2012



LUCY ARMENDARIZ
Judge of the State Bar Court