

(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California
Hearing Department
Los Angeles
STAYED SUSPENSION

Counsel For The State Bar

Hugh G. Radigan
Deputy Trial Counsel
1149 South Hill Street
Los Angeles, California 90015
213-765-1206

Bar # 94251

Case Number(s):
09-O-12427

For Court use only

FILED
JUL 21 2011

STATE BAR COURT
CLERK'S OFFICE
LOS ANGELES

PUBLIC MATTER

Counsel For Respondent

Andres F. Quintana
26135 Mureau Road, Suite 101
Calabasas, California 91302
818-914-2100

Bar # 190525

Submitted to: **Settlement Judge**

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND
DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

In the Matter of:
Marvyn Barry Gordon

Bar # 65981

STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION

PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED

A Member of the State Bar of California
(Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted December 18, 1975.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 12 pages, not including the order.



(Do not write above this line.)

- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
 - Costs are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline.
 - Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: two billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court order.. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure). If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.
 - Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".
 - Costs are entirely waived.

B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
 - (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case
 - (b) Date prior discipline effective
 - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
 - (d) Degree of prior discipline
 - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline."
- (2) **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.

- (6) **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious. Respondent was admitted to practice on December 18, 1975 and has no record of prior discipline. Under Standard 1.2(e)(i) Respondent is to be extended significant mitigation by virtue of 35 years of discipline free practice.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. Since the filing of these charges, Respondent has displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation to the State Bar.
- (4) **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ _____ on _____ in restitution to _____ without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith. Throughout the course of the lien negotiation process, Respondent acted in the utmost good faith in attempting to secure the most favorable recovery for his client.
- (8) **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.

(Do not write above this line.)

- (10) **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct. Respondent has provided a broad sample of declarations and statements attestesting to his competency, professionalism, and awareness of these pending charges from a variety of professional disciplines including judges, attorneys, medical doctors, chiropractors and former clients.
- (12) **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.
- (13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances

D. Discipline:

(1) **Stayed Suspension:**

- (a) Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year.
- i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
 - ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
 - iii. and until Respondent does the following:

The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

(2) **Probation:**

Respondent is placed on probation for a period of one year, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18 California Rules of Court.)

E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (2) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (3) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (4) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (5) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.

- (6) Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.

- (7) Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the State Bar Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
 - No Ethics School recommended. Reason: .

- (8) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.

- (9) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
 - Substance Abuse Conditions Law Office Management Conditions
 - Medical Conditions Financial Conditions

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

- (1) **Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination:** Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year. **Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.**
 - No MPRE recommended. Reason:

- (2) **Other Conditions:**

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: Marvyn Barry Gordon	Case Number(s): 09-O-12427
--	-------------------------------

Financial Conditions

a. Restitution

- Respondent must pay restitution (including the principal amount, plus interest of 10% per annum) to the payee(s) listed below. If the Client Security Fund ("CSF") has reimbursed one or more of the payee(s) for all or any portion of the principal amount(s) listed below, Respondent must also pay restitution to CSF in the amount(s) paid, plus applicable interest and costs.

Payee	Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From
J.C.Scott-Klane	\$5,904.91	January 21, 2009

- Respondent must pay above-referenced restitution and provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation not later than six months from the issuance of the Supreme Court order approving the discipline herein.

b. Installment Restitution Payments

- Respondent must pay the above-referenced restitution on the payment schedule set forth below. Respondent must provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation with each quarterly probation report, or as otherwise directed by the Office of Probation. No later than 30 days prior to the expiration of the period of probation (or period of reprobation), Respondent must make any necessary final payment(s) in order to complete the payment of restitution, including interest, in full.

Payee/CSF (as applicable)	Minimum Payment Amount	Payment Frequency

- If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

c. Client Funds Certificate

1. If Respondent possesses client funds at any time during the period covered by a required quarterly report, Respondent must file with each required report a certificate from Respondent and/or a certified public accountant or other financial professional approved by the Office of Probation, certifying that:
- Respondent has maintained a bank account in a bank authorized to do business in the State of California, at a branch located within the State of California, and that such account is designated as a "Trust Account" or "Clients' Funds Account";

- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
- i. A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 1. the name of such client;
 2. the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client;
 3. the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and,
 4. the current balance for such client.
 - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 1. the name of such account;
 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 3. the current balance in such account.
 - iii. all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
 - iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- c. Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies:
- i. each item of security and property held;
 - ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
 - iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
 - iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
 - v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
2. If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate described above.
3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d. Client Trust Accounting School

- Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

ATTACHMENT TO
STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: Marvyn Barry Gordon

CASE NUMBER(S): 09-O-12427

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

Case No. 09-O-12427 (Complainant: J. C. Scott-Klane)

FACTS:

1. In March 2006, J.C. Scott-Klane ("Klane") employed Respondent to represent her in a personal injury claim against Richard and Jane Kellard (the "Kellards") related to a slip and fall incident.

2. In September 2008, Respondent settled Klane's claim for \$225,000.

3. Some of Klane's medical expenses related to the claim were covered by her husband's medical insurance through the Writers' Guild Association ("WGA").

4. On December 12, 2008, Respondent provided an accounting for the \$225,000 settlement to Klane (the "accounting"). In the accounting, Respondent indicated that \$13,420.25 would be "withheld until further notice" for payment to WGA.

5. Respondent negotiated a reduction of WGA's subrogation claim with its claims representative. On July 17, 2007, WGA claimed a subrogation lien of \$19,812.07. Respondent negotiated a reduction of WGA's subrogation claim to \$13,420.25.

6. Under Respondent's contingency fee agreement with Klane, Respondent was entitled to 44% of the \$225,000 received on behalf of Klane, or \$99,000.

7. In addition to \$99,000, Respondent charged attorney's fees to WGA and collected \$5,904.91 as fees from the \$13,420.25 withheld from the \$225,000 settlement for WGA. Respondent disclosed to Klane that he would seek attorney's fees from WGA for honoring its lien and providing status reports during the litigation.

8. On January 21, 2009, Scott sent Respondent a written request for the release of the original client file on behalf of Klane. Respondent received the request.

9. On January 28, 2009, Klane sent Respondent a written request for the release of the original client file to Scott. Respondent received the request.

10. On February 6, 2009, Respondent charged Klane \$1,878.57 to copy the client file and provided Klane a copy, but not the original, of the client file. Respondent later reversed the charge.

11. On February 10 and 12, 2009, Scott sent Respondent written requests for the release of the original client file. Respondent received the requests.

12. To date, Respondent has not released the original client file to Klane or Scott. Client received all documents necessary to her representation.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

13. By negotiating a reduction of WGA's subrogation claim without a signed conflict waiver, Respondent negligently created a conflict of interest between Respondent and Klane by not securing the informed written consent of the client with respect to the lien negotiations constituting a willful violation of rule 3-310(C)(1) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

14. By not releasing the original client file to Klane or Scott, Respondent wilfully failed to release promptly, upon termination of employment, to the client, at the request of the client, all the client papers and property in willful violation of rule 3-700(D)(1) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was July 7, 2011.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.

The Standards should be followed whenever possible. *In re Silvertown* (2005) 36 Cal. 4th 81, 92. In imposing discipline, the court should consider the appropriate discipline in light of the standards, but in so doing the court may consider any ground that may form a basis for an exception to application of the standards. *In the Matter of Van Sickle* (Review Dept. 2006) 4 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 980. Inasmuch as the standards are not mandatory, they may be deviated from when there is a compelling, well-defined reason to do so. *Bates v. State Bar* (1990) 51 Cal. 3rd 1056, 1061.

Standard 1.6(a) provides that if two or more acts of professional misconduct are found or acknowledged in a single disciplinary proceeding, and different sanctions are prescribed by these standards for said acts, the sanction imposed shall be the more or most severe of the different applicable sanctions.

Standard 2.4(b) provides that where culpability of a member of a willful failure to communicate with a client shall result in reproof or suspension depending upon the extent of the misconduct and the degree of harm to the client.

Standard 2.10 provides that where culpability of a member of a violation of any Rule of Professional Conduct not specified in these standards shall result in reproof or suspension according to the gravity of the offense or the harm, if any, to the victim with due regard for the purpose of imposing discipline set forth in standard 1.3.

In consideration of the facts and circumstances surrounding Respondent's misconduct, and the aggravating and mitigating circumstances present, the parties submit that the intent and goals of the Standards are met in this matter with the imposition of a one year stayed suspension and one year probation. Respondent's inadvertent failure to keep his client's fully informed and secure their consent to the lien negotiation process undertaken on their behalf constitutes a failure to perform services and Respondent has agreed to make full and complete restitution with interest to the clients.

DISMISSALS.

The parties respectfully request the Court to dismiss the following alleged violations in the interest of justice:

<u>Case No.</u>	<u>Count</u>	<u>Alleged Violation</u>
09-O-12427	One	Rule 4-100(B)(1)
09-O-12427	Two	Business and Professions Code section 6104
09-O-12427	Three	Rule 4-100(A)
09-O-12427	Four	Rule 4-200(A)
09-O-12427	Five	Business and Professions Code section 6106

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.




Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of July 7, 2011, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$5,272.00. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: Marvyn Barry Gordon	Case number(s): 09-O-12427
--	-------------------------------

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

7/19/11		Marvyn Barry Gordon
Date	Respondent's Signature	Print Name
7/19/11		Andres F. Quintana
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
July 19 '11		Hugh G. Radigan
Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: Marvyn Barry Gordon	Case Number(s): 09-O-12427
--	-------------------------------

STAYED SUSPENSION ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- All Hearing dates are vacated.

Page 3: An "X" is added to box B(8): No aggravating circumstances are involved.


Page 5: The "X" in box D(1)(a)(ii) is deleted to remove the "and until" restitution condition.

Page 10; ¶ 8: The name "Scott" is deleted and replaced with "Michael Scott (Scott)."

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) **The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)**

7/21/11

Date



Judge of the State Bar Court

DONALD F. MILES

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on July 21, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

- by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

**ANDRES F. QUINTANA
QUINTANA LAW GROUP, APC
26135 MUREAU RD STE 101
CALABASAS, CA 91302**

- by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

HUGH G. RADIGAN, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on July 21, 2011.



Tammy Cleaver
Case Administrator
State Bar Court