(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California Hearing Department ORIGINAL Los Angeles Counsel For The State Bar Case Number (s) (for Court's use) 09-O-13082, Suzan J. Anderson 09-O-13176, Supervising Trial Counsel 09-O-18329, 1149 South Hill Street 10-O-01257, Los Angeles, California 90015 10-0-04157. (213) 765-1209 10-O-05784, 10-O-05892, 10-O-06528, JAN 06 2011 Bar # 160559 10-O-06585. In Pro Per Respondent STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICÉ Jeffrey Allen Cancilla LOS ANGELES 1748 West Katella Avenue Suite 112 Orange, California 92867 (714) 532-2577 Submitted to: Assigned Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING Bar # 235428 In the Matter Of: JEFFREY ALLEN CANCILLA ACTUAL SUSPENSION PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED Bar # 235428

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

A Member of the State Bar of California

(Respondent)

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted January 10, 2005.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of taw or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 14 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".

(Stipulation form approved by SBC Executive Committee 10/16/00. Revised 12/16/2004; 12/13/2006.)

Actual Suspension

(Do i	not wr	te above this line.)		
(6)	Th	ne parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading upporting Authority."		
(7)	No pe	more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any adding investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.		
(8)	Ра 61	yment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086,10 & 40.7. (Check one option only):		
• 27		relief is obtained per rule 284, Rules of Procedure.		
•	Prof	ravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for essional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances required.		
(1)		Prior record of discipline [see standard 1.2(f)]		
	(a)	☐ State Bar Court case # of prior case		
	(b)	☐ Date prior discipline effective		
	(c)	Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:		
	(d)	Degree of prior discipline		
	(e)	If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.		
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.		
(3)	- 🗖	Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.		
(4)	\boxtimes	Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice. Please see attachment		
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.		
(6)		Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.		

(Do	not w	ité above this line.)		
(7)		Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.		
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.		
Add	ditio	nal aggravating circumstances:		
C.	Miti circ	gating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating umstances are required.		
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.		
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.		
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.		
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.		
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.		
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.		
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.		
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.		
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.		
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.		
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.		
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.		
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved		
Addi	tiona	al mitigating circumstances		

(Do	(Do not write above this line.)					
	Please see Attachment, page 13.					
D.	Disc	cipli	ne:			
(1)	\boxtimes	Sta	yed Sı	uspension:		
	(a)	\boxtimes	Resp	condent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year.		
		t.		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.		
		Ü.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.		
41. Î		Hí.		and until Respondent does the following:		
	(b)	\boxtimes	The	above-referenced suspension is stayed.		
(2)	\boxtimes	Pro	bation			
	Res date	spond e of th	lent me se Sup	ust be placed on probation for a period of two years, which will commence upon the effective preme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)		
(3)	\boxtimes	Acti	ıal Su	spension:		
. •	(a)	(a) Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of ninety days.				
		· i .		and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct		
		ii.		and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.		
		iii.		and until Respondent does the following:		
E. <i>F</i>	\ddit	iona	ıl Cor	nditions of Probation:		
(1)	If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.					
(2)	\boxtimes	During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.				
(3)		Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.				

Trio u	OL WIT	S SDOAE	(nis line.)		
(4)	⊠	and a cond prob	schedule a meeting with Respondent's ass litions of probation. Upon the direction of the	signed ne Offic one. Du	oline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation probation deputy to discuss these terms and ce of Probation, Respondent must meet with the uring the period of probation, Respondent must and upon request.
(5)		July whet cond are a curre	10, and October 10 of the period of probat her Respondent has complied with the Sta itions of probation during the preceding ca my proceedings pending against him or he	tion. Ur ate Bar alendar er in the ort wo	he Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, ander penalty of perjury, Respondent must state. Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all quarter. Respondent must also state whether there is State Bar Court and if so, the case number and all cover less than 30 days, that report must be ended period.
					ining the same information, is due no earlier than robation and no later than the last day of probation.
(6)		cond Durin in ad	itions of probation with the probation moning the period of probation, Respondent mu	tor to e ist furn	espondent must promptly review the terms and establish a manner and schedule of compliance. ish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, nitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must
(7)	×	Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.			
(8)	\boxtimes	Prob			ne herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of n of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given
			No Ethics School recommended. Reaso	n:	•
(9)		Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.			
(10)		The f	ollowing conditions are attached hereto ar	nd inco	rporated:
			Substance Abuse Conditions	\boxtimes	Law Office Management Conditions
			Medical Conditions		Financial Conditions
F. O	the	r Con	iditions Negotiated by the Parties	3:	
(1)	⊠	Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 321(a)(1) & (c), Rules of Procedure.			
			No MPRE recommended. Reason:	-	•

(Do n	ot write	above this line.)
(2)	☒	Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(3)		Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court: If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(4)		Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]: Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
(5)	\boxtimes	Other Conditions: COOPERATION AGREEMENT
Resp	onder	nt has agreed to cooperate fully with any law enforcement agency in any investigation or prosecution regarding any person involved in any manner with Solutions Law Center, Solutions Center and Legal Loan Bailout. In cooperating with any law enforcement agency in any such investigation or prosecution, Respondent agrees to testify truthfully if requested at any related deposition or trial.

(Do not write above this line.)

Attachment language begins here (if any): Please see Attachment, pages 11 through 13.

J 2:	35428 Member o	LLEN CANCILLA, of the State Bar	Case number(s): 09-O-13082, et al.	· .		
Fi	nancial (Conditions				
a.	Restituti	on		•		
	annu one d Resp	m) to the payee(s) list or more of the payee(s	tution (including the principal amou ed below. If the Client Security Fur) for all or any portion of the princip restitution to CSF in the amount(s)	nd ("CSF") has reimbursed oal amount(s) listed below.		
	Payee		Principal Amount	Interest Accrues From		
b.	Respondent must pay above-referenced restitution and provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation not later than Installment Restitution Payments Respondent must pay the above-referenced restitution on the payment schedule set below. Respondent must provide satisfactory proof of payment to the Office of Probation each quarterly probation report, or as otherwise directed by the Office of Probation No later than 30 days prior to the expiration of the period of probation (or period of reproval), Respondent must make any necessary final payment(s) in order to complet the payment of restitution, including interest, in full.			payment schedule set forth It to the Office of Probation by the Office of Probation bobation (or period of		
		* .				
						
;	Client	required quarterly re certificate from Resp professional approve	esses client funds at any time during port, Respondent must file with eacondent and/or a certified public acted by the Office of Probation, certified	ch required report a scountant or other financial ying that:		
	 Respondent has maintained a bank account in a bank authorized to do business in the State of California, at a branch located within the State of California, and that such account is designated as a "Trust Account" or "Clients' Funds Account"; 					

(Financial Conditions form approved by SBC Executive Committee 10/16/2000. Revised 12/16/2004; 12/13/2006.)



- b. Respondent has kept and maintained the following:
 - A written ledger for each client on whose behalf funds are held that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such client;
 - the date, amount and source of all funds received on behalf of such client:
 - the date, amount, payee and purpose of each disbursement made on behalf of such client; and.
 - the current balance for such client.
 - ii. a written journal for each client trust fund account that sets forth:
 - 1. the name of such account;
 - 2. the date, amount and client affected by each debit and credit; and,
 - 3. the current balance in such account.
- all bank statements and cancelled checks for each client trust account; and,
- iv. each monthly reconciliation (balancing) of (i), (ii), and (iii), above, and if there are any differences between the monthly total balances reflected in (i), (ii), and (iii), above, the reasons for the differences.
- Respondent has maintained a written journal of securities or other properties held for clients that specifies;
- i. each item of security and property held;
- ii. the person on whose behalf the security or property is held;
- iii. the date of receipt of the security or property;
- iv. the date of distribution of the security or property; and,
- v. the person to whom the security or property was distributed.
- If Respondent does not possess any client funds, property or securities during
 the entire period covered by a report, Respondent must so state under penalty of
 perjury in the report filed with the Office of Probation for that reporting period. In
 this circumstance, Respondent need not file the accountant's certificate
 described above.
- 3. The requirements of this condition are in addition to those set forth in rule 4-100, Rules of Professional Conduct.

d. Client Trust Accounting School

Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must supply to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School Client Trust Accounting School, within the same period of time, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.

J		e Matter of REY ALLEN CANCILLA, 28	Case number(s): 09-0-13082, et al.
A	Mer	mber of the State Bar	
La	w C	Office Management Cond	itions
a.		must be approved by the Office send periodic reports to clients maintain files; (4) meet deadlin when clients cannot be contact	elop a law office management/organization plan, which of Probation. This plan must include procedures to (1); (2) document telephone messages received and sent; (3) es; (5) withdraw as attorney, whether of record or not, and or located; (6) train and supervise support personnel; ea or deficiency that caused or contributed to
b .		Respondent must submit to the no less than six hours of Minim courses in law office managem. This requirement is separate for	years of the effective date of the discipline herein, a Office of Probation satisfactory evidence of completion of num Continuing Legal Education (MCLE) approved tent, attorney client relations and/or general legal ethics. om any MCLE requirement, and Respondent will not sing these courses (Rule 3201, Rules of Procedure of the
) .		Practice Management and Tech dues and costs of enrollment for	section to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of

ATTACHMENT TO

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF:

JEFFREY ALLEN CANCILLA

CASE NUMBER(S): ET AL.

09-O-13082, 09-O-13176, 09-O-18329, 10-O-01257,

10-O-04157, 10-O-05784, 10-O-05892, 10-O-06528,

10-O-06585

FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Josephons Law Group (#5LG")

Aba Solvfiens Law Group (#5LG") Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that he is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 1. In late 2008, Respondent entered into agreements with several loan modification companies: California Legal Assistants; Solutions Law Group; Legal Loan Audits; Solutions Processing and YMS Jba S ("Loan Modification Companies"). Respondent effectively partnered with the Loan Modification Companies and they would perform much of the loan modification work for the clients which hired Respondent. At all times herein, Respondent also operated his own law office, the Law Office of Jeffrey A. Cancilla. The Loan Modification Companies were owned and operated by non-attorneys. The Loan Modification Companies conducted all the advertising for loan modification clients which then referred the clients to Respondent's law office. Respondent would collect the legal fees, deposit them into his account and then pay the loan modification companies a portion of the legal fees.
- 2. Respondent was employed by the following clients through the Loan Modification Companies to represent them in order to negotiate with their home mortgage lender and obtain a modification of their home mortgage loans:

Client	Date Client Employed	Company through
	Respondent	which Client employed
	_	Respondent
Jaime and Reina Delfin	December 1, 2008	California Legal
		Assistants
Francisco Delgadillo	January 21, 2009	Solutions Law Group
Laura Edmiston	January 14, 2009	Solutions Law Group Solutions Law Group
Linda Duncan	December 30, 2008	Legal Loan Audits dba
Wesley Bowman	February 18, 2009	Solutions Group
Sandra Ayad	September 3, 2009	California Legal
		Assistants (30)
Pablo and Sandra Varela	December 2008	YMS aba SLG (4)
James Russell	February 2009	Solutions Processing
Annie Garwood	February 2009	Solutions Processing
	Jaime and Reina Delfin Francisco Delgadillo Laura Edmiston Linda Duncan Wesley Bowman Sandra Ayad Pablo and Sandra Varela James Russell	Respondent Jaime and Reina Delfin December 1, 2008 Francisco Delgadillo Laura Edmiston Linda Duncan Wesley Bowman Sandra Ayad Pablo and Sandra Varela James Russell Respondent December 1, 2008 January 21, 2009 January 14, 2009 January 14, 2009 February 18, 2009 Permber 3, 2009 Permber 2008 February 2009

- 3. In March 2009, Mr. Delgadillo (09-O-13176) received a letter from Solutions Law Group informing him that his attorney would be changed from Respondent to another attorney.
- 4. On May 28, 2009, Ms. Edmiston (09-O-18329) received a facsimile from another attorney informing her that he would be her attorney instead of Respondent for her loan modification through Solutions Law Group.
- 5. On February 12, 2010, Ms. Ayad (10-O-05784) paid \$1000 of her advanced legal fees and on February 13, 2010, Ms. Ayad paid \$1500 of her advanced legal fees for her loan modification services by Respondent.
- 6. In May 2009, Mr. Russell (10-O-06528) was informed by Solutions Processing that his attorney would be changed from Respondent to another attorney.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

By entering into agreements with the Loan Modification Companies to perform much of the loan modification work for the clients who hired Respondent through the Loan Modification Companies, Respondent formed a partnership where some of the activities of the partnership consisted of the practice of law, with a person who is not a lawyer, in willful violation of rule 1-310 of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

By paying the Loan Modification Companies from a portion of the legal fees he collected from each of the clients, Respondent shared legal fees with a person who is not a lawyer, in willful violation of rule 1-320(A) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

By not informing Mr. Delgadillo, Ms. Edmiston, and Mr. Russell that he would no longer be their attorney on their loan modification matters, Respondent withdrew from employment without taking reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to the rights of his clients in willful violation of rule 3-700(A)(2) of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

By collecting advance fees from Ms. Ayad after the passage of Civil Code section 2944.7, Respondent willfully violated section 6068(a) of the Business and Professions Code by failing to uphold the laws of California.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was December 8, 2010.

COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of December 8, 2010, the estimated prosecution costs in this matter are \$6935. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES

Respondent has no prior record of discipline.

Respondent has displayed candor and cooperation with the State Bar throughout these matters and by entering into this Stipulation including the cooperation agreement.

Respondent fully recognizes his wrongdoing and is no longer involved with any of the Loan Modification Companies.

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES

Respondents clients were harmed by the above described misconduct, in not having Respondent perform all the loan modification services which were performed by the Loan Modification Companies, and in not knowing who their attorney would be at a given time.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE

Standards

Standard 1.3 provides that the primary purposes of attorney discipline are, "the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high legal professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession."

Standard 2.6 provides that suspension or disbarment is appropriate for a violation of section 6068 depending on the gravity of the offense or the harm, if any, to the victim.

Standard 2.10 provides that any violation of the Business and Professions Code and the Rules of Professional Conduct not specified in these standards shall result in reproval or suspension according to the gravity of the offense or the harm, if any, to the victim.

The parties submit that the stipulated discipline in this matter complies with the Standards both specifically and with regard to the general purposes and goals of the disciplinary process.

Given the aggravating and mitigating circumstances present in this case, a ninety day suspension, along with the probationary conditions set forth herein, is consistent with the Standards.

Finally, the parties submit that given Respondent's recognition of wrongdoing, along with his conduct in attempting to rectify the harm, the stipulated discipline and probationary conditions in this matter are sufficient to assure that Respondent will conform his future conduct to ethical standards and, therefore, protect the public, courts and profession. This is consistent with Standard 1.3.

STATE BAR ETHICS AND CLIENT TRUST ACCOUNT SCHOOLS

Because Respondent has agreed to attend State Bar Ethics School and State Bar Client Trust Account School as part of this stipulation, Respondent may receive Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit upon the satisfactory completion of State Bar Ethics School and State Bar Client Trust Account School.

In the Matter of JEFFREY ALLEN CANCILLA, 235428	Case number(s):	

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

12/13/10 Date	Respondent's Signature	JEFFREY ALLEN CANCILLA Print Name
Date	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
2 11 10 Date	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	SUZAN J. ANDERSON Print Name

(Do no	nt write a	bove this line.)	
In th	e Matt		Case Number(s): 09-O-13082, et al.
h			ORDER
IT IS	ing the ORD idice,	ERED that the requested dismiss:	s and that it adequately protects the public, al of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without
**	X	The stipulated facts and disposit RECOMMENDED to the Supren	ion are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE ne Court.
		The stipulated facts and disposit below, and the DISCIPLINE IS R	ion are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
	X	All Hearing dates are vacated.	
	÷		
he st	tipulat	ion, filed within 15 days after servi	pproved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify ice of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies
effec	tive d	ate of this disposition is the eff	See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The ective date of the Supreme Court order herein, 9.18(a), California Ryles of Court.)
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	i /	h	o. ro(a), Camorina Kuiss Of Court.)
Date	, /	u j ''	Judge of the State Bar Court

RICHARDA HOND

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on January 6, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

JEFFREY A CANCILLA ESQ LAW OFFICE OF JEFFREY A. CANCILLA 1748 W KATELLA AVE STE 112 ORANGE, CA 92867

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Suzan J. Anderson, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on January 6, 2011.

Julieta E. Gonzales

Case Administrator

State Bar Court