MAY 29 201 STATE BAR COURT **CLERK'S OFFICE** LOS ANGELES

# STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

#### **HEARING DEPARTMENT – LOS ANGELES**

In the Matter of

## **ROSEMARY STATHAKIS-COOK,**

Member No. 104143,

A Member of the State Bar.

## Case Nos.: 10-C-01188; 10-C-01189; 10-C-01191; 10-C-04617; 10-C-04618; 12-J-15789 (Cons) [S215212]-DFM

## ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PAY COSTS, DENVING MOTION TO SEAL

On April 23, 2014, a motion was filed by Petitioner, seeking relief from the prior cost award in the captioned matter and citing financial hardship. On May 12, 2014, the State Bar filed a response to the motion, opposing the granting of complete relief from the cost award but not opposing the granting of an extension of time to pay the costs.

)

The court agrees that complete relief from the cost obligation should not be given. To that extent, Petitioner's motion is DENIED. Even though Petitioner has been ineligible to practice law for quite some time, there is no basis for this court to conclude that her unemployed status is a permanent condition.

Petitioner is under an obligation to pay costs of approximately \$11,206 in the captioned matters. Given the financial burden that these costs will entail at the present time and in the foreseeable future, this court concludes that good cause exists in this matter both to apportion the obligation to pay costs over a period of years and to defer the commencement of the resulting installment plan.

Accordingly, the costs are now ordered to be paid in equal installments over the three billing cycles beginning 2016. More specifically, one-third of the disciplinary costs is to be paid prior to February 1, 2016; one-third of the costs is to be paid prior to February 1, 2017; and one-third of the costs is to be paid prior to February 1, 2018.

If Petitioner fails to pay any of the above installment payment more than 10 days after its due date without the prior written approval of the State Bar or the State Bar Court, the remaining unpaid balance of the costs will be due immediately and be enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.



In addition, Petitioner filed a motion on April 23, 2014 to have her motion and supporting financial statement be filed or placed under seal. No good cause having been shown for that request, the motion is DENIED. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.12.)

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May **29**, 2014

DONALD F. MILES Judge of the State Bar Court

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

#### [Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on May 29, 2014, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

### ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO PAY COSTS, DENYING MOTION TO SEAL

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

ROSEMARY STATHAKIS-COOK 19607 N 141ST AVE SUN CITY WEST, AZ 85375 - 5604

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

WILLIAM TODD, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on May 29, 2014.

M. Buth'

Rose M. Luthi Case Administrator State Bar Court