THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL LAWRENCE J. DAL CERRO, No. 104342 180 Howard Street San Francisco, California 94105-1639 Telephone: (415) 538-2000

Date of entry of conviction:

OCT 19 2011 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES

IN THE STATE BAI	R COURT OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA	
IN THE MATTER OF THE CONVICTION OF:	Case No. 10-C-2277 Transmittal of Records of Conviction of Attorney (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 6101-6102; Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.5 et seq.)	
DAVID BOYER PRINCE, No. 166113		
A Member of the State Bar	 [X] Felony; [X] Crime(s) involved moral turpitude; [] Probable cause to believe the crime(s) involved moral turpitude; [] Crime(s) which may or may not involve moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline; [] Transmittal of Notice of Finality of Conviction. [X] SUMMARY DISBARMENT IMPLICATIONS 	
To the CLERK OF THE STATE BAR (COURT:	
1. Transmittal of records.		
Rules of Court, rule 9.5 et s	of Business and Professions Code, section 6101-6102 and California eq., the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel transmits a certified copy of the following member of the State Bar and for such consideration and ppropriate:	
[] B. Notice of Appeal		
[] C. Evidence of Finality of Con	Evidence of Finality of Conviction (Notice of Lack of Appeal) Other	
	YER PRINCE	
Date member admitted to practice		
Member's Address of Record:		
	PO Box 33144	
	Los Gatos, CA 95031	
2. Date and court of conviction; offense	(s).	
The record of conviction reflects that the	above-named member of the State Bar was convicted as follows:	
The record of conviction reflects that the	above-named inchiber of the state Dal was convicted as follows.	

October 5, 2011

Convicting court:

United States District Court, Northern District of CA - San Francisco

Case number(s):

United States Dist. Ct., No. Dist. of CA - San Francisco 3:10-cr-00153-CRB

Crime(s) of which convicted and classification(s): <u>Violation of 18 U.S.C. section 1343, fraud by wire, radio, or television, five counts, felonies and crimes that involve moral turpitude as in *In re Utz* (1989) 48 Cal.3d 468, 482 (section 1341, wire fraud is a crime involving the specific intent to defraud constituting moral turpitude per se).</u>

[X] 3. Compliance with Rule 9.20. (Applicable only if checked.)

We bring to the Court's attention that, should the Court enter an order of interim suspension herein, the Court may wish to require the above-named member to comply with the provisions of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, paragraph (a), within 30 days of the effective date of any such order; and to file the affidavit with the Clerk of the State Bar Court provided for in paragraph (c) of rule 9.20 within 40 days of the effective date of said order, showing the member's compliance with the provisions of rule 9.20.

4. Other information to assist the State Bar Court

DOCUMENTS TRANSMITTED:

Indictment filed March 3, 2010 Verdict Form filed October 5, 2011 Criminal Trial Minutes filed October 5, 2011

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

LAWRENCE J. DAL CERRO Assistant Chief Trial Counsel

A copy of this transmittal and its Attachments have been sent to:

PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL DAVID BOYER PRINCE Law Offices of David B. Prince PO Box 33144 Los Gatos, CA 95031

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY REGULAR MAIL

CASE NUMBER: 10-C-2277

I, the undersigned, over the age of eighteen (18) years, whose business address and place of employment is the State Bar of California, 180 Howard Street, San Francisco, California 94105, declare that I am not a party to the within action; that I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; that in the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day; that I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit. That in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of San Francisco, on the date shown below, a true copy of the within

TRANSMITTAL OF CONVICTION RE DAVID BOYER PRINCE

in a sealed envelope placed for collection and mailing as regular mail, at San Francisco, on the date shown below, addressed to:

PERSONAL & CONFIDENTIAL DAVID BOYER PRINCE Law Offices of David B. Prince PO Box 33144 Los Gatos, CA 95031

Λ

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at San Francisco, on the date shown below.

Signed Ur	bellis	Date: 10/18/11