## ORIGINAL

| State Bar Court of California<br>Hearing Department<br>Los Angeles<br>STAYED SUSPENSION |   |                                   |  |  |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Counsel For The State Bar   | Case Number(s):<br>10-C-02625-RAH   | For Court use only                |  |  |
| PAUL T. O'BRIEN<br>1149 S. HILL STREET  |   |                                   |  |  |
| LOS ANGELES, CA 90015-2299  |   | FILED                             |  |  |
| (213) 765-1378  | PUBLIC MATTER   | FEB 1 8 2011                      |  |  |
| Bar # 171252  |   | STATE BAR COURT<br>CLERK'S OFFICE |  |  |
| Counsel For Respondent  |   | LOS ANGELES                       |  |  |
| PAUL J. VIRGO<br>CENTURY LAW GROUP<br>5200 W. CENTURY BLVD., STE. 345                   |   |                                   |  |  |
| LOS ANGELES, CA 90045   |   |                                   |  |  |
| (310)642-6900   | Submitted to: Assigned Jud  | ge                                |  |  |
| Bar # 67900   | STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND<br>DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING |                                   |  |  |
| In the Matter of:<br>DEIRDRE FRANK  | STAYED SUSPENSION; NO ACTUAL SUSPENSION   |                                   |  |  |
|   |   |                                   |  |  |
| Bar # 81526   |   |                                   |  |  |
| A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)                                    |   |                                   |  |  |

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

#### A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted November 29, 1978.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."

1

(Effective January 1, 2011)

Stayed Suspension



- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):



Costs are added to membership fee for calendar year following effective date of discipline.

Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: two billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court order. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure). If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.



Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs". Costs are entirely waived.

# B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
  - (a) State Bar Court case # of prior case
  - (b) Date prior discipline effective
  - (c) Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
  - (d) Degree of prior discipline
  - (e) If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below or a separate attachment entitled "Prior Discipline.
- (2) Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.
- (6) Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.

(Effective January 1, 2011)

- (7) Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) No aggravating circumstances are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances

## C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings. Respondent's nolo contendere plea, as well as her settlement of this matter with the State Bar in providing detailed information demonstrate her spontaneous candor and cooperation.
- (4) Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct. Respondent provided numerous letters and sworn declarations attesting to her good character from well-respected members of the legal and general communities, including attorneys, judges, and the Chief of the Oxnard Police Department.

(Effective January 1, 2011)

Stayed Suspension

(12) Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.

(13) **No mitigating circumstances** are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances

#### **D. Discipline:**

- (1) X Stayed Suspension:
  - (a) I Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year.
    - i. and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
    - ii. and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
    - iii. and until Respondent does the following:

The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

(2) **Probation**:

Respondent is placed on probation for a period of two years, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18 California Rules of Court.)

#### E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (2) Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (3) Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (4) Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

(5) Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.

(Effective January 1, 2011)

| (6) | $\boxtimes$ | Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any |
|-----|-------------|--|
|     |             | inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are |
|     |             | directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has       |
|     |             | complied with the probation conditions.  |

(7) X Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the State Bar Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.



No Ethics School recommended. Reason:

- (8) Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (9) The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:
  - Substance Abuse Conditions
     Law Office Management Conditions
  - Medical Conditions
     Financial Conditions

#### F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

(1) Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination: Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation within one year. Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.

No MPRE recommended. Reason:

(2) **Other Conditions:** 

Attachment language (if any):

#### **ATTACHMENT TO**

#### **STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION**

IN THE MATTER OF: DEIRDRE FRANK

CASE NUMBER(S): ET AL. 10-C-02625-RAH

#### FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true and that she is culpable of violations of the specified statutes and/or Rules of Professional Conduct.

- 1. In the early morning hours of February 7, 2010, the daughter of Respondent's close friend called 911 after an altercation involving Respondent's son and the close friend. The close friend and Respondent's son had been drinking. Respondent had also been drinking, but Respondent had already been asleep for four hours at the time of the altercation. By the time officers arrived at Respondent's home, the altercation had ended; her friend was mildly injured in a brief fight with her son. Respondent's son left the home immediately after the physical altercation and before Oxnard police officers arrived on the scene.
- 2. While officers were attempting to determine the extent of the injuries to Respondent's friend, as well as investigate the cause of his injuries, Respondent's son returned to the residence. During one officer's attempt to question Respondent's son, Respondent repeatedly encouraged her son to leave the home and not to respond to the officer. While doing so, Respondent twice pulled on Officer Yvonne Negrete's arm. Despite a warning from Officer Negrete to refrain from further contact, Respondent pulled again on her arm. At that time, Officer Negrete took Respondent into custody.
- 3. On February 7, 2010, at approximately 3:00 a.m., Respondent was arrested by the Oxnard Police Department for alleged violations of Penal Code section 148(a)(1) [obstructing an officer in the course of an investigation] and Penal Code section 136.1(a)(1) [dissuading a witness from testifying at trial].
- 4. On March 9, 2010, the Ventura County District Attorney's Office filed a misdemeanor complaint charging Respondent with violating Penal Code sections 243(b) [battery on a peace officer] and 148(a)(1) [resisting, obstructing, delaying of a peace officer].
- 5. On April 29, 2010, Respondent pled Nolo Contenedre to a single count of violating Penal Code section 243(a). Count Two, alleging the violation of Penal Code section 148(a)(1) was dismissed.
- 6. Respondent's sentencing also took place April 29, 2010. At that time, she was ordered to serve two days in custody, with credit for one day actual time served in the Ventura County Jail. Respondent was permitted to participate in the Work Release program in lieu of further custodial time. Respondent accepted summary probation of 36 months, with fines, fees and state restitution fund payment totaling \$390, plus restitution, if any, to be determined.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW.

Respondent's violation of Penal Code section 243(b) also constituted a violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(a).

(Effective January 1, 2011)

#### PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was January 7, 2011.

#### COSTS OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

Respondent acknowledges that the Office of the Chief Trial Counsel has informed respondent that as of January 7, 2011, the prosecution costs in this matter are \$\$3,530. Respondent further acknowledges that should this stipulation be rejected or should relief from the stipulation be granted, the costs in this matter may increase due to the cost of further proceedings.

#### PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND IN CONVICTION PROCEEDING.

1. This is a proceeding pursuant to sections 6101 and 6102 of the Business and Professions Code and rule 9.10 of the California Rules of Court.

2. On April 29, 2010, respondent was convicted of violating Penal Code section 243(b) [battery on a peace officer], one count, a misdemeanor.

3. On August 13, 2010, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order referring the matter to the Hearing Department on the following issues: the discipline to be imposed in the event the facts and circumstances surrounding the violation of Penal Code section 243, subdivision (b) (battery on a peace officer) involved moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline.

#### **AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE.**

Standard 3.4—final conviction of a member of a crime which does not involve moral turpitude inherently or on the facts and circumstances surrounding the crime's commission but which does involve other misconduct warranting discipline shall result in a sanction as prescribed under part B of the Standards, appropriate to the nature and extent of the misconduct to have been committed by the member.

Standard 2.6—culpability of a member of a violation of any of the following provisions of the Business and Professions Code shall result in disbarment or suspension depending on the gravity of the offense or the harm, if any, to the victim, with due regard to the purposes of imposing discipline set forth in standard  $1.3: \ldots 6068(a) \ldots$ 

Standard 1.3—the primary purposes of disciplinary proceedings conducted by the State Bar of California and of sanctions imposed upon a finding or acknowledgement of a member's professional misconduct are the protection of the public, the courts, and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the profession.

In the Matter of Stewart (Review Dept. 1994) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 52—Respondent Stewart was actually suspended for 60 days following a conviction for battery on a peace officer. The circumstances were quite similar to those surrounding Respondent's misconduct: a family altercation, alcohol

consumption, resulted in a 911 call, ultimately leading to the respondent's altercation with an officer. Respondent Stewart's misconduct, however, was somewhat more severe, and he had a recent prior imposition of discipline.

#### STATE BAR ETHICS SCHOOL.

Because respondent has agreed to attend State Bar Ethics School as part of this stipulation, respondent may receive Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit upon the satisfactory completion of State Bar Ethics School.

## COMPLIANCE WITH CONDITIONS OF PROBATION IN UNDERLYING CRIMINAL MATTER.

Respondent shall comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and shall so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report required to be filed with the Office of Probation.

In the Matter of: DEIRDRE FRANK Case number(s): 10-C-02625-RAH

#### SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

Deirdre 1 Sand Date Respon Signature Date Respondent's Counsel Signature Deputy Trial Counset's Signature Print Name

| In the Matter of: | Case Number(s): |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| Deirdre Frank     | 10-C-02625-RAH  |
|                   |                 |

#### STAYED SUSPENSION ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:



The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.

All Hearing dates are vacated.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)

2-18-11

Date

Judge of the State Bar Court

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on February 18, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

 $\boxtimes$ by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

PAUL J. VIRGO CENTURY LAW GROUP LLP 5200 W CENTURY BLVD #345 LOS ANGELES, CA 90045

\_\_\_\_ by certified mail, No. , with return receipt requested, through the United States Postal , California, addressed as follows: Service at

by overnight mail at , California, addressed as follows:

by fax transmission, at fax number . No error was reported by the fax machine that I used.

By personal service by leaving the documents in a sealed envelope or package clearly labeled to identify the attorney being served with a receptionist or a person having charge of the attorney's office, addressed as follows:

 $\boxtimes$ by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Paul T. O'Brien, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los-Angeles, California, on February 18, 2011.

Cristina Potter Case Administrator State Bar Court