State Bar Court of California **Hearing Department** San Francisco Counsel For The State Bar (for Court's use) Case Number (s) Donald R. Steedman 10-C-5909 **PUBLIC MATTER** Supervising Trial Counsel 180 Howard Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Bar# 104927 JUL 2 6 2010 In Pro Per Respondent Philip Wayne Smith STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE HCR 67. Box 620 SAN FRANCISCO Hoopa, CA 95546-9601 Submitted to: Assigned Judge Bar # 75058 STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING; ORDER OF In the Matter of: INVOLUNTARY INACTIVE ENROLLMENT **Philip Wayne Smith** DISBARMENT Bar # 75058 ☐ PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted **June 28, 1977**.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of (6) pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."
- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law."
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."

(DO II	IOL WITE	abuve	uns wie.)		
(7)	No r	more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, respondent has been advised in writing of any adding investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.			
(8)		Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):			
		Co	sts to be awarded to the State Bar sts waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs" sts entirely waived		
(9)	ORDER OF INACTIVE ENROLLMENT: The parties are aware that if this stipulation is approved, the judge will issue an order of inactive enrollment under Business and Professions Code section 6007, subdivision (c)(4), and Rules of Procedure of the State Bar, rule 220(c).				
j	Aggr Profe are re	essio	ing Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for onal Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances red.		
(1)		Prio	r record of discipline		
	(a)		State Bar Court case # of prior case		
	(b)		Date prior discipline effective		
	(c)		Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:		
	(d)		Degree of prior discipline		
	(e)		If respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below:		
(2)		Dishonesty: Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.			
(3)		Trust Violation: Trust funds or property were involved and respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.			
(4)	\boxtimes	Harm: Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice. See statement of facts.			
(5)		Indifference: Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.			
(6)	\boxtimes	Lack of Cooperation: Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings. Respondent did not report to the State Bar the fact that he had been charged and convicted of a felony (as required by Bus. & Prof. Code sect. 6068(o).			

(7)

Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct: Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct. See statement of facts.

(Do not write above this line.)				
(8)		No aggravating circumstances are involved.		
Addi	tiona	al aggravating circumstances:		
C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.				
(1)		No Prior Discipline: Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious. Although the misconduct is deemed serious, respondent is entitled to some mitigation credit due to the fact that he has no prior record of discipline.		
(2)		No Harm: Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.		
(3)		Candor/Cooperation: Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.		
(4)		Remorse: Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.		
(5)		Restitution: Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.		
(6)		Delay: These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.		
(7)		Good Faith: Respondent acted in good faith.		
(8)		Emotional/Physical Difficulties: At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.		
(9)		Severe Financial Stress: At the time of the misconduct, respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.		
(10)		Family Problems: At the time of the misconduct, respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.		
(11)		Good Character: Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.		
(12)		Rehabilitation: Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.		
(13)		No mitigating circumstances are involved.		

(Do not write above this line.)		
Additional mitigating circumstances:		
D.	Disc	ipline: Disbarment.
E.	Addi	tional Requirements:
(1)	Rul	e 9.20, California Rules of Court: Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California es of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar s, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
(2)		Restitution: Respondent must make restitution to in the amount of \$ plus 10 percent interest per year from . If the Client Security Fund has reimbursed for all or any portion of the principal amount, respondent must pay restitution to CSF of the amount paid plus applicable interest and costs in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5. Respondent must pay the above restitution and furnish satisfactory proof of payment to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles no later than days from the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this case.
(3)		Client Security Fund Reimbursement: Respondent must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment obligation is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.
(4)		Other:

Attachment language begins here (if any)

The disclosure referenced in paragraph A(7) of this stipulation was made on July 1, 2010.

FACTS

Respondent admits that the following facts are true:

- During the early 1990s, respondent molested two female children who were aged 5 to 7 at the time of molestations. The molestations occurred on at least ten occasions and involved respondent physically touching the genitals of the female children, and causing the female children to touch his genitals. There was no penetration. This conduct occurred when the female children were visiting respondent at his home, and no one else was present. The conducted ended several years before it was reported to law enforcement.
- In 1998, one of the victims reported the conduct to school officials, and a criminal investigation was commenced. Respondent promptly admitted the crime.
- On April 7, 1998, respondent pleaded guilty to two violations of Penal Code section 288(a) (lewd and lascivious act upon a child under age 14). Respondent admitted offenses that occurred in 1990 (with respect to the first victim) and 1992 (with respect to the second victim). Respondent was placed on probation with a requirement that he participate in the Humboldt County Sheriff's Work Alternative Program for 60 days.
- On January 1, 2002, respondent placed his law license on inactive status. His license has remained inactive ever since.

The conviction was not reported to the State Bar until July 2009, when an anonymous caller informed the State Bar about the conviction.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Respondent's conduct involved multiple acts of moral turpitude.

SUPPORTING AUTHORITY

In re Lesansky (2001) 25 Cal.4th 11

(Do not write above this line.)			
In the Matter of	Case number(s):		
Phillip Wayne Smith	10-C5909		

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Fact, Conclusions of Law and Disposition.

Jah 12, 200	Respondent's Signature	Phillip Wayne Smith Print Name
Date ',	Respondent's Counsel Signature	Print Name
2/16/2010	1 h l	Donald R. Steedman
Date `	Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature	Print Name

(Do not write above this line.) In the Matter of Case Number(s):				
PHILLIP W	AYNE SMITH	10-C-05909		
,	·			
	ORD	ER		
_	ERED that the requested dismissal of $\mathfrak c$	I that it adequately protects the public, counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without		
	The stipulated facts and disposition a RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Co			
\boxtimes	The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.			
\boxtimes	All Hearing dates are vacated.			
On page 1,	on the Case Caption, Respondent's r	name should read "Phillip".		
The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 135(b), Rules of Procedure.) The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date. (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)				
effective the effective day 490(b) of the	s Code section 6007, subdivision (c)(4 ree (3) calendar days after this order is ate of the Supreme Court's order impose Rules of Procedure of the State Bai Court pursuant to its plenary jurisdiction	Ly I		
Date	Date Judge of the State Bar Court			

LUCY ARMENDARIZ

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rule 62(b), Rules Proc.; Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of San Francisco, on July 26, 2010, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING; ORDER OF INVOLUNTARY INACTIVE ENROLLMENT

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:

by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at San Francisco, California, addressed as follows:

PHILLIP WAYNE SMITH HC 67 BOX 620 HOOPA, CA 95546

by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

DONALD STEEDMAN, Enforcement, San Francisco

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in San Francisco, California, on July 26, 2010.

Laine Silber

Case Administrator State Bar Court