

(Do not write above this line.)

State Bar Court of California
Hearing Department
Los Angeles
ACTUAL SUSPENSION

PUBLIC MATTER

Counsel For The State Bar Margaret P. Warren 1149 S. Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015-2299 (213) 765-1342 Bar # 108774	Case Number(s): 10-C-7206 & 10-C-7207- RAH (Consol.)	For Court use only <div style="text-align: center;"> FILED FEB 25 2011 STATE BAR COURT CLERK'S OFFICE LOS ANGELES </div>
Counsel For Respondent Paul J. Virgo P.O. Box 67682 Los Angeles, CA 90067 (310) 666-9701 Bar # 67900	Submitted to: Assigned Judge STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING ACTUAL SUSPENSION <input type="checkbox"/> PREVIOUS STIPULATION REJECTED	
In the Matter of: Lionel E. Giron Bar # 200450 A Member of the State Bar of California (Respondent)		

Note: All information required by this form and any additional information which cannot be provided in the space provided, must be set forth in an attachment to this stipulation under specific headings, e.g., "Facts," "Dismissals," "Conclusions of Law," "Supporting Authority," etc.

A. Parties' Acknowledgments:

- (1) Respondent is a member of the State Bar of California, admitted February 11, 1999.
- (2) The parties agree to be bound by the factual stipulations contained herein even if conclusions of law or disposition are rejected or changed by the Supreme Court.
- (3) All investigations or proceedings listed by case number in the caption of this stipulation are entirely resolved by this stipulation and are deemed consolidated. Dismissed charge(s)/count(s) are listed under "Dismissals." The stipulation consists of 13 pages, not including the order.
- (4) A statement of acts or omissions acknowledged by Respondent as cause or causes for discipline is included under "Facts."



- (5) Conclusions of law, drawn from and specifically referring to the facts are also included under "Conclusions of Law".
- (6) The parties must include supporting authority for the recommended level of discipline under the heading "Supporting Authority."
- (7) No more than 30 days prior to the filing of this stipulation, Respondent has been advised in writing of any pending investigation/proceeding not resolved by this stipulation, except for criminal investigations.
- (8) Payment of Disciplinary Costs—Respondent acknowledges the provisions of Bus. & Prof. Code §§6086.10 & 6140.7. (Check one option only):
- ☒ Until costs are paid in full, Respondent will remain actually suspended from the practice of law unless relief is obtained per rule 5.130, Rules of Procedure.
 - ☒ Costs are to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following membership years: Costs to be paid in equal amounts prior to February 1 for the following two billing cycles following the effective date of the Supreme Court order: 2012 and 2013.. (Hardship, special circumstances or other good cause per rule 5.132, Rules of Procedure.) If Respondent fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.
 - ☐ Costs are waived in part as set forth in a separate attachment entitled "Partial Waiver of Costs".
 - ☐ Costs are entirely waived.

B. Aggravating Circumstances [for definition, see Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, standard 1.2(b)]. Facts supporting aggravating circumstances are required.

- (1) ☐ **Prior record of discipline** [see standard 1.2(f)]
- (a) ☐ State Bar Court case # of prior case
 - (b) ☐ Date prior discipline effective
 - (c) ☐ Rules of Professional Conduct/ State Bar Act violations:
 - (d) ☐ Degree of prior discipline
 - (e) ☐ If Respondent has two or more incidents of prior discipline, use space provided below.
- (2) ☐ **Dishonesty:** Respondent's misconduct was surrounded by or followed by bad faith, dishonesty, concealment, overreaching or other violations of the State Bar Act or Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) ☐ **Trust Violation:** Trust funds or property were involved and Respondent refused or was unable to account to the client or person who was the object of the misconduct for improper conduct toward said funds or property.
- (4) ☐ **Harm:** Respondent's misconduct harmed significantly a client, the public or the administration of justice.
- (5) ☐ **Indifference:** Respondent demonstrated indifference toward rectification of or atonement for the consequences of his or her misconduct.

- (6) ☐ **Lack of Cooperation:** Respondent displayed a lack of candor and cooperation to victims of his/her misconduct or to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation or proceedings.
- (7) ☐ **Multiple/Pattern of Misconduct:** Respondent's current misconduct evidences multiple acts of wrongdoing or demonstrates a pattern of misconduct.
- (8) ☐ **No aggravating circumstances** are involved.

Additional aggravating circumstances:

Please see pp. 10-11, below.

C. Mitigating Circumstances [see standard 1.2(e)]. Facts supporting mitigating circumstances are required.

- (1) ☐ **No Prior Discipline:** Respondent has no prior record of discipline over many years of practice coupled with present misconduct which is not deemed serious.
- (2) ☐ **No Harm:** Respondent did not harm the client or person who was the object of the misconduct.
- (3) ☐ **Candor/Cooperation:** Respondent displayed spontaneous candor and cooperation with the victims of his/her misconduct and to the State Bar during disciplinary investigation and proceedings.
- (4) ☐ **Remorse:** Respondent promptly took objective steps spontaneously demonstrating remorse and recognition of the wrongdoing, which steps were designed to timely atone for any consequences of his/her misconduct.
- (5) ☐ **Restitution:** Respondent paid \$ on in restitution to without the threat or force of disciplinary, civil or criminal proceedings.
- (6) ☐ **Delay:** These disciplinary proceedings were excessively delayed. The delay is not attributable to Respondent and the delay prejudiced him/her.
- (7) ☐ **Good Faith:** Respondent acted in good faith.
- (8) ☐ **Emotional/Physical Difficulties:** At the time of the stipulated act or acts of professional misconduct Respondent suffered extreme emotional difficulties or physical disabilities which expert testimony would establish was directly responsible for the misconduct. The difficulties or disabilities were not the product of any illegal conduct by the member, such as illegal drug or substance abuse, and Respondent no longer suffers from such difficulties or disabilities.
- (9) ☐ **Severe Financial Stress:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered from severe financial stress which resulted from circumstances not reasonably foreseeable or which were beyond his/her control and which were directly responsible for the misconduct.
- (10) ☐ **Family Problems:** At the time of the misconduct, Respondent suffered extreme difficulties in his/her personal life which were other than emotional or physical in nature.
- (11) ☐ **Good Character:** Respondent's good character is attested to by a wide range of references in the legal and general communities who are aware of the full extent of his/her misconduct.
- (12) ☐ **Rehabilitation:** Considerable time has passed since the acts of professional misconduct occurred followed by convincing proof of subsequent rehabilitation.

- (13) ☐ No mitigating circumstances are involved.

Additional mitigating circumstances:

Please see p. 11, below.

D. Discipline:

- (1) ☒ **Stayed Suspension:**

- (a) ☒ Respondent must be suspended from the practice of law for a period of one (1) year.
- i. ☐ and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- ii. ☐ and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii. ☐ and until Respondent does the following:
- (b) ☒ The above-referenced suspension is stayed.

- (2) ☒ **Probation:**

• Respondent must be placed on probation for a period of two (2) years, which will commence upon the effective date of the Supreme Court order in this matter. (See rule 9.18, California Rules of Court)

- (3) ☒ **Actual Suspension:**

- (a) ☒ Respondent must be actually suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of sixty (60) days.
- i. ☐ and until Respondent shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of rehabilitation and present fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct
- ii. ☐ and until Respondent pays restitution as set forth in the Financial Conditions form attached to this stipulation.
- iii. ☐ and until Respondent does the following:

E. Additional Conditions of Probation:

- (1) ☐ If Respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he/she must remain actually suspended until he/she proves to the State Bar Court his/her rehabilitation, fitness to practice, and learning and ability in the general law, pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct.
- (2) ☒ During the probation period, Respondent must comply with the provisions of the State Bar Act and Rules of Professional Conduct.
- (3) ☒ Within ten (10) days of any change, Respondent must report to the Membership Records Office of the State Bar and to the Office of Probation of the State Bar of California ("Office of Probation"), all changes of

information, including current office address and telephone number, or other address for State Bar purposes, as prescribed by section 6002.1 of the Business and Professions Code.

- (4) ☒ Within thirty (30) days from the effective date of discipline, Respondent must contact the Office of Probation and schedule a meeting with Respondent's assigned probation deputy to discuss these terms and conditions of probation. Upon the direction of the Office of Probation, Respondent must meet with the probation deputy either in-person or by telephone. During the period of probation, Respondent must promptly meet with the probation deputy as directed and upon request.
- (5) ☒ Respondent must submit written quarterly reports to the Office of Probation on each January 10, April 10, July 10, and October 10 of the period of probation. Under penalty of perjury, Respondent must state whether Respondent has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of probation during the preceding calendar quarter. Respondent must also state whether there are any proceedings pending against him or her in the State Bar Court and if so, the case number and current status of that proceeding. If the first report would cover less than 30 days, that report must be submitted on the next quarter date, and cover the extended period.

In addition to all quarterly reports, a final report, containing the same information, is due no earlier than twenty (20) days before the last day of the period of probation and no later than the last day of probation.

- (6) ☐ Respondent must be assigned a probation monitor. Respondent must promptly review the terms and conditions of probation with the probation monitor to establish a manner and schedule of compliance. During the period of probation, Respondent must furnish to the monitor such reports as may be requested, in addition to the quarterly reports required to be submitted to the Office of Probation. Respondent must cooperate fully with the probation monitor.
- (7) ☒ Subject to assertion of applicable privileges, Respondent must answer fully, promptly and truthfully any inquiries of the Office of Probation and any probation monitor assigned under these conditions which are directed to Respondent personally or in writing relating to whether Respondent is complying or has complied with the probation conditions.
- (8) ☒ Within one (1) year of the effective date of the discipline herein, Respondent must provide to the Office of Probation satisfactory proof of attendance at a session of the Ethics School, and passage of the test given at the end of that session.
- ☐ No Ethics School recommended. Reason: .
- (9) ☒ Respondent must comply with all conditions of probation imposed in the underlying criminal matter and must so declare under penalty of perjury in conjunction with any quarterly report to be filed with the Office of Probation.
- (10) ☐ The following conditions are attached hereto and incorporated:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Law Office Management Conditions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Conditions |

F. Other Conditions Negotiated by the Parties:

- (1) ☒ **Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination:** Respondent must provide proof of passage of the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination ("MPRE"), administered by the National Conference of Bar Examiners, to the Office of Probation during the period of actual suspension or within one year, whichever period is longer. **Failure to pass the MPRE results in actual suspension without further hearing until passage. But see rule 9.10(b), California Rules of Court, and rule 5.162(A) & (E), Rules of Procedure.**

☐ No MPRE recommended. Reason:

- (2) ☐ **Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** Respondent must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (3) ☐ **Conditional Rule 9.20, California Rules of Court:** If Respondent remains actually suspended for 90 days or more, he/she must comply with the requirements of rule 9.20, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of the Supreme Court's Order in this matter.
- (4) ☐ **Credit for Interim Suspension [conviction referral cases only]:** Respondent will be credited for the period of his/her interim suspension toward the stipulated period of actual suspension. Date of commencement of interim suspension:
- (5) ☐ **Other Conditions:**

ATTACHMENT TO
STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION

IN THE MATTER OF: Lionel E. Giron

CASE NUMBER(S): 10-C-7206 & 10-C-7207-RAH (Consol.)

Case No. 10-C-7207

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND IN CONVICTION PROCEEDING.

1. This is a proceeding pursuant to sections 6101 and 6102 of the Business and Professions Code and rule 9.10 of the California Rules of Court.

2. On September 10, 2007, a one-count misdemeanor complaint was filed in the Los Angeles Superior Court in the matter entitled *People v. Lionel Giron*, case no. 7JB07278, charging Respondent with violating Penal Code section 273.5(a), corporal injury to cohabitant ["Ms. X"¹, the mother of his child].

3. On October 29, 2007, Respondent pled no contest to the single misdemeanor count and was convicted pursuant to his plea on that date.

4. Respondent's sentence was suspended, and he was placed on summary probation for a period of 3 years under several conditions, including performing 200 hours of community service and completing a one-year domestic violence counseling program.

5. Respondent was further ordered by the court to (among other things) have no contact with Ms. X; not come within 100 yards of Ms. X; and not annoy, harass, threaten, stalk, sexually abuse, commit any act of force or violence or otherwise disturb the peace of Ms. X.

6. On September 22, 2010, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order referring the matter to the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court "for a hearing and decision recommending the discipline to be imposed in the event that the hearing department finds that the facts and circumstances surrounding the violation of Penal Code section 273.5, subdivision (a) (willful

¹ This person's name is intentionally omitted from this Stipulation, to protect her privacy.

infliction of corporal injury), of which Lionel E. Giron was convicted, involved moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline.”

FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING RESPONDENT’S CONVICTION.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true:

7. On July 20, 2007, Respondent took Ms. X on a dinner cruise in Long Beach. Later that night, on the drive home from the cruise, Respondent and Ms. X got into an argument. Both Respondent and Ms. X had been drinking earlier that evening.

8. Upon arriving at Ms. X’s home, Respondent parked the car, and then got into a physical altercation with Ms. X.

9. During the altercation with Respondent, Ms. X managed to use her cell phone to telephone a girlfriend for help, stating that Respondent was “beating her up.”

10. Ms. X’s girlfriend came to the scene approximately fifteen minutes after Ms. X’s call. The girlfriend then called the Sheriff’s Department and paramedics, who responded to Ms. X’s residence. Respondent had left the scene before the arrival of Ms. X’s girlfriend.

11. Ms. X received paramedic assistance at the scene and was transported to a local hospital for further assessment, as she had sustained bruising around her head, ribs, upper body and legs, and had scratches on her hands, knees, and elbows. Ms. X stated to the Sheriff’s Deputies that she and Respondent had both had several alcohol drinks earlier that evening. Ms. X was advised about obtaining a restraining order against Respondent, but she refused to seek one. Ms. X was released from the hospital.

12. The next day, July 21, 2007, Respondent went to the Walnut Sheriff’s Station, having learned that the authorities were looking for him to talk to him about the fight he had had with Ms. X. Among other things, Respondent informed the Sheriff’s Department that he had been dating Ms. X for approximately six years, and that they had a child together, a son approximately 2 years of age. Respondent stated that he was currently married (to another woman) and had other children. Respondent gave a statement to the Sheriff’s Department. Respondent was then arrested and booked on a Penal Code section 273.5 (domestic violence) charge.

13. On July 24, 2007, the Sheriff’s Department further interviewed Ms. X, who provided the following information she had not previously provided to the authorities: Ms. X stated that during the evening of July 20, 2007, prior to the fight with Respondent, she had consumed at least four “shooter-size” apple martinis, and that Respondent had drunk beer; that Respondent had never physically abused her previously; that she had been in a relationship with Respondent for about six years; that they had an

approximately 2 1/2 year old son together; and that Respondent was married to another woman with whom he had children.

Case No. 10-C-7206

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND IN CONVICTION PROCEEDING.

14. This is a proceeding pursuant to sections 6101 and 6102 of the Business and Professions Code and rule 9.10 of the California Rules of Court.

15. On December 19, 2008, a two-count misdemeanor complaint was filed in the Los Angeles Superior Court in the matter entitled *People v. Lionel Giron*, case no. 8JB10879, charging Respondent with violating Penal Code section 273.6 (a) (violation of a restraining order), Count One; and violating Penal Code section 594 (a) (damage to an automobile not his own), Count Two.

16. On April 10, 2009, Respondent pled no contest to both counts, and was convicted of both counts pursuant to his plea. As to Count One, Respondent was placed on summary probation for 3 years, on various conditions, including the condition that he serve 21 days in the county jail. As to Count Two, Respondent was placed on summary probation for 3 years, on various terms and conditions, including inter alia making restitution to the victim, performing additional community service, and paying various fines/assessments.

17. On September 22, 2010, the Review Department of the State Bar Court issued an order referring the matter to the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court "for a hearing and decision recommending the discipline to be imposed in the event that the hearing department finds that the facts and circumstances surrounding the violation of Penal Code section 273.6, subdivision (a) (violating domestic relations restraining order) and 594, subdivision (a) (vandalism under \$400 damage), of which Lionel E. Giron was convicted, involved moral turpitude or other misconduct warranting discipline."

FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING RESPONDENT'S CONVICTION.

Respondent admits that the following facts are true:

18. On July 30, 2008, Respondent was in the driveway of the house where Ms. X was living. There was a car parked in the driveway at that time, belonging to a friend of Ms. X.

19. Respondent applied force to the right front passenger side window of the car parked in the driveway, causing the window to crack, thereby causing damage to the car window in an amount under \$400.00.

20. On July 30, 2008, there was a restraining order against Respondent in effect, prohibiting him from coming within 100 yards of Ms. X or her dwelling. The restraining order had been imposed following Respondent's conviction in 2007 (case no. 10-C-7207).

FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF BOTH CONVICTIONS DO NOT INVOLVE MORAL TURPITUDE.

21. The parties agree that the facts and circumstances of Respondent's convictions do not involve moral turpitude.

AGGRAVATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

22. Respondent represented to the Superior Court that he had completed his community service at an approved facility, when in fact Respondent knew that it was not an approved facility. The relevant facts are as follows:

23. On September 2, 2009, Respondent registered Community Service Agency ("CSA"). CSA advised Respondent that the place where Respondent wanted to complete his community service, Peace in the Valley Christian Center ("PV"), was not an organization registered and approved by CSA, and thus CSA could not refer Respondent to PV. Nevertheless, CSA contacted PV to inquire about its program, but was told that, because there was an elementary school attached to it, and children were present, no one convicted of certain offenses, including battery, would be accepted by PV for purposes of performing court-ordered community service.

24. On September 4, 2009, CSA informed Respondent that he could not perform his community service at PV, and referred Respondent to the American Recovery Center, Pomona, CA for completion of his court-ordered community service.

25. On April 5, 2010, Respondent came to CSA and turned in proof of hours he had completed at PV. CSA informed Respondent that it could not accept those hours from PV, because Respondent was supposed to complete his community service at the American Recovery Center.

26. On May 11, 2010, Respondent appeared before Superior Court Judge Geanene M. Yriarte, and told the judge that he had completed his 120 hours of court-ordered community service; that he was led to believe by CSA that the place where he did this community service [PV] was approved by CSA; but that now CSA would not give him credit for doing his community service as PV. The Court asked Respondent to return the next day.

27. On May 12, 2010, Respondent again appeared before Judge Yriarte, Respondent represented that he was told by CSA that CSA were going to send paperwork to PV to register with CSA as an approved community service location, and that CSA told him it was "okay for me to do the hours [at PV]." The Court then asked Respondent to bring a letter from CSA confirming this.

28. On June 14, 2010, CSA submitted a letter to Judge Yriarte, stating the facts as set forth in paragraphs 23 through 25, above.

29. On June 15, 2010, the Superior Court found that Respondent misrepresented the issue of his court-ordered community service and failed to complete his community service as ordered by the court. The Superior Court revoked Respondent's probation and ordered him to appear on July 27, 2010 for a probation violation hearing.

30. On July 27, 2010, Respondent appeared before the Superior Court and admitted to a violation of his probation. The Superior Court ordered Respondent to complete 43 days of service at a tree farm, in lieu of serving 30 days in county jail for his probation violation. All terms and conditions of probation previously ordered by the court remained in full force and effect.

MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.

31. Respondent cooperated with the State Bar by entering into this stipulation, thus obviating the need for a trial.

32. Respondent has no prior record of State Bar discipline.

PENDING PROCEEDINGS.

The disclosure date referred to, on page 2, paragraph A(7), was February 4, 2011.

AUTHORITIES SUPPORTING DISCIPLINE

In the Matter of Stewart (Review Dept. 1994) 3 Cal. State Bar Ct. Rptr. 52 (60-day actual suspension where attorney convicted of misdemeanor battery on police officer)

Standard 1.3 of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct provides:

The primary purposes of disciplinary proceedings conducted by the State Bar of California and of sanctions imposed upon a finding or acknowledgment of a member's professional misconduct are the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession; the maintenance of high professional standards by attorneys and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession. Rehabilitation of a member is a permissible object of a sanction imposed upon the member but only if the imposition of rehabilitative sanctions is consistent with the above-stated primary purposes of sanctions for professional misconduct.

The parties submit that the protection of the public, the courts and the legal profession, and the preservation of public confidence in the legal profession, will be served by the disposition in this matter, which focuses on the rehabilitation of Respondent through the imposition of a sixty-day period of actual suspension, coupled with a two-year period of probation. The parties submit that the disposition herein is consistent with the fundamental purpose of disciplinary proceedings, as articulated in Standard 1.3; and submit that the stipulated period of actual suspension and probationary conditions in this matter are sufficient assurance that Respondent will conform his future conduct to societal and ethical standards and will adequately protect the public, the courts and the profession.

STATE BAR ETHICS SCHOOL.

Because respondent has agreed to attend State Bar Ethics School as part of this stipulation, respondent may receive Minimum Continuing Legal Education credit upon the satisfactory completion of State Bar Ethics School. The MCLE credit for Ethics School will be in addition to Respondent's regular MCLE requirement.

In the Matter of:

Lionel E. Giron, #200450

Case number(s):

10-C-7206 & 10-C-7207 (Consol.)

SIGNATURE OF THE PARTIES

By their signatures below, the parties and their counsel, as applicable, signify their agreement with each of the recitations and each of the terms and conditions of this Stipulation Re Facts, Conclusions of Law, and Disposition.

Date 2/11/11




Respondent's Signature

Lionel E. Giron

Print Name

Date 2/17/2011



Respondent's Counsel Signature

Paul J. Virgo

Print Name

Date 17 FEB 11



Deputy Trial Counsel's Signature

Margaret P. Warren

Print Name

(Do not write above this line.)

In the Matter of: Lionel E. Giron, #200450	Case Number(s): 10-C-7206 & 10-C-7207-RAH (Consol.)
---	--

ACTUAL SUSPENSION ORDER

Finding the stipulation to be fair to the parties and that it adequately protects the public, IT IS ORDERED that the requested dismissal of counts/charges, if any, is GRANTED without prejudice, and:

- ☐ The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED and the DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- ☒ The stipulated facts and disposition are APPROVED AS MODIFIED as set forth below, and the DISCIPLINE IS RECOMMENDED to the Supreme Court.
- ☒ All Hearing dates are vacated.

1. On page 2 of the stipulation, section A.(8), the "X" in the box next to the paragraph beginning, "Until costs are paid in full..." is deleted.

The parties are bound by the stipulation as approved unless: 1) a motion to withdraw or modify the stipulation, filed within 15 days after service of this order, is granted; or 2) this court modifies or further modifies the approved stipulation. (See rule 5.58(E) & (F), Rules of Procedure.) **The effective date of this disposition is the effective date of the Supreme Court order herein, normally 30 days after file date (See rule 9.18(a), California Rules of Court.)**

Date

2/24/11

Judge of the State Bar Court

RICHARD A. HORN

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

[Rules Proc. of State Bar; Rule 5.27(B); Code Civ. Proc., § 1013a(4)]

I am a Case Administrator of the State Bar Court of California. I am over the age of eighteen and not a party to the within proceeding. Pursuant to standard court practice, in the City and County of Los Angeles, on February 25, 2011, I deposited a true copy of the following document(s):

STIPULATION RE FACTS, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND DISPOSITION AND ORDER APPROVING

in a sealed envelope for collection and mailing on that date as follows:


- ☒ by first-class mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, through the United States Postal Service at Los Angeles, California, addressed as follows:

PAUL JEAN VIRGO ESQ
PO BOX 67682
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

- ☒ by interoffice mail through a facility regularly maintained by the State Bar of California addressed as follows:

Margaret P. Warren, Enforcement, Los Angeles

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Los Angeles, California, on February 25, 2011.



Julieta E. Gonzales
Case Administrator
State Bar Court